

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/A/E/23/00001
RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :	16/01/2023
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/R/E/22/00037
RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :	14/11/2022
Name (नाम) :	Gender (लिंग) : Male
Address (पता) :	
Pin code (पिन कोड) :	110076
State (राज्य) :	Delhi
Country (देश) :	India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	
Status (स्थिति) :	Urban
Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :	
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian
Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :	Yes
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :	42642
Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :	Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided
Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	<p>CRRI SAYS JOINING LETTER SEND FOR LT LALLAN THAKUR FOR JOIN IN CRRI AT 18011993 AND VACCANY CACCANT ON CRRI WAS 17 02 1994 THAT MATTER CRRI OFFICER SAYS CRRI BEFORE THIRTEEN MONTH JOINING LETTER SEND FOR JOIN IN CRRI AND CRRI OFFICIAL ALSO SAYS JOINING LETTER IS SENDING ON 15 09 2003.</p> <p>AFTER WAITING OF JOINING LETTER LT. LALLAN THAKUR LETTER WRITTEN FOR THE INDIAN GOVERNMENT FOR SELF JOINING ON 13 07 2007</p> <p>THATS MEANS ALL OVER DATE CRRI IS MISLEADING SO I KANHIYA THAKUR ALSO WANT TO RECEIVING DETAILS OF LETTER LIKE WHO RECEIVED THE LETTER AND WHAT WAS DATE AND TIME WHICH IS SENT BY CRRI AS CRRI OFFICIAL</p>

Dated: 06.02.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, 02,03,04,05&06

To.

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 16/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023,  
20/01/2023, 20/01/2023 & 21/01/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO
1.	I Kanhiya Thakur also want to receiving details of Letter like who received The letter and what was date and time which is sent by CRRIN as CRRIN Official ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, dated 20/01/2023 )	1.It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.
2	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRIN. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00002, dated 20/01/2023 )	2.In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.
3	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRIN. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00003, dated 20/01/2023 )	
4	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRIN. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00004, dated 20/01/2023 )	
5	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRIN. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00005, dated 20/01/2023 )	
6	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRIN. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00006, dated 21/01/2023 )	

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhiya Thakur, visited CRR I on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. The Information regarding the post office Receiving Records/Files are not available in the Institute, Shri Kanhiya Thakur also searched related file (File no.1(573)93-PL Correspondence) and he did not require any further information from the above file. Hence, it is clear that, all the information related to the appellant, available in the institute has been provided to him. Accordingly, the hearing was **disposed off** after duly signed by the appellant (the same is enclosed the Scanned document for the reference).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,

CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

—



**Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)**  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members

1 Page Notification from PIO

CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
PERSONNEL CELL

Dated: 03.02.2023

Subject: RTI No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023, RTI No. 1126 & First Appeal No. 01 to 06--reg.

With reference to the following 07 (seven) Applications from  
RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) RTI Application No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/0007 dated 25.01.2023).
- (II) RTI Application No. 1126 dated 25.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/00005) dated 24.02.2023 transferred from MoRTH.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00001 dated 16.01.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00002 dated 20.01.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00003 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00004 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00005 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00006 dated 21.01.2023.

2. It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, \_\_\_\_\_ may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.

Forwarded to the CPIO, CRRI for further necessary action please.

AA  
1/2/23  
04/2/23

SOP

3/2/23

FAA/CPIO, CRRI



### सुनवाई की कार्यवाही

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022  
(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 3/9/2022

सुनवाई की तिथि: 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सम्बंध में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। तिथि: 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हाज़िर थे :


1. डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRR
2. श्री नरेश कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRR
3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRR
4. श्री निमित्त मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आनुवंशिक, CRR


2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

\* Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRR as CRR official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRR statement is it correct or not or CRR officials hide there scam of recruitment \*

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिफ़ोर्ड/फ़ाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फ़ाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फ़ाइल के निरक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज़ नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

  
(डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRR

  
Shri Kanhaiya Thakur,  
910, JJ Colony Ph-3,  
Madanpur Khadar,  
Sarita Vihar,  
Delhi-110076

श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर  
Date 30-9-2022  
Kanhaiya Thakur

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>		
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00002	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 20/01/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/T/22/00032	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 29/12/2022
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>		<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>		
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076	
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाइल नंबर) :</b>	
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>		
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b> Details not provided.
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	42642	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided	
<b>Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :</b>	Refused access to Information Requested	
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	<p>Dear Mam/sir,</p> <p>I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRR1.</p> <p>This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information.</p>	

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 06.02.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, 02,03,04,05&06

To,

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 16/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023 & 21/01/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO
1.	I Kanhiya Thakur also want to receiving details of Letter like who received The letter and what was date and time which is sent by CRRI as CRRI Official ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, dated 20/01/2023 )	1.It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.  2.In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.
2	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00002, dated 20/01/2023 )	
3	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00003, dated 20/01/2023 )	
4	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00004, dated 20/01/2023 )	
5	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00005, dated 20/01/2023 )	
6	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00006, dated 21/01/2023 )	



This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhiya Thakur, visited CRRI on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. The Information regarding the post office Receiving Records/Files are not available in the Institute, Shri Kanhiya Thakur also searched related file (File no.1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) and he did not require any further information from the above file. Hence, it is clear that, all the information related to the appellant, available in the institute has been provided to him. Accordingly, the hearing was **disposed off** after duly signed by the appellant (the same is enclosed the Scanned document for the reference).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,

CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in



**Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)**  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members



1 Page Notification from PIO

CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
PERSONNEL CELL

Dated: 03.02.2023

Subject: RTI No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023, RTI No. 1126 & First Appeal No. 01 to 06- reg.

With reference to the following 07 (seven) Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) RTI Application No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/0007 dated 25.01.2023).
- (II) RTI Application No. 1126 dated 25.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/00005) dated 24.02.2023 transferred from MoRTH.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00001 dated 16.01.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00002 dated 20.01.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00003 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00004 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00005 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00006 dated 21.01.2023.

2. It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.

Forwarded to the CPIO, CRRI for further necessary action please.

AA  
11/2  
04/2/23

3  
3/2/23

SQP

FAA/CPIO, CRRI

### सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 3/9/2022

सुनवाई की दिनांक : 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सन्दर्भ में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हजरि थे :


1. डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRR
2. श्री नरेश कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRR
3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRR
4. श्री निमिष मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आसुतिपिक, CRR

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :


\* Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRR as CRR official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRR statement is it correct or not or CRR officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिपोर्ट/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल [No. 1(573)-93-PL Correspondence] का निरक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

  
Shri Kanhaiya Thakur,  
910, JJ Colony Ph-3,  
Madanpur Khadar,  
Sarita Vihar,  
Delhi-110076

श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर  
Date 30-9-2022  
Kanhaiya Thakur

  
(डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRR

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>			
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00003	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b>	20/01/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/T/23/00001	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b>	02/01/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>		<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b>	Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>			
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076		
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b>	India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>		<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>	
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>			
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>	
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b>	Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	42642	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided		
<b>Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :</b>	Refused access to Information Requested		
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	<p>Dear Mam/sir,</p> <p>I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRR1.</p> <p>This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information.</p>		

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 06.02.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, 02,03,04,05&06

To,

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 16/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023 & 21/01/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO
1.	I Kanhiya Thakur also want to receiving details of Letter like who received The letter and what was date and time which is sent by CRR as CRR Official ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, dated 20/01/2023 )	1.It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.  2.In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.
2	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRR. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00002, dated 20/01/2023 )	
3	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRR. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00003, dated 20/01/2023 )	
4	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRR. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00004, dated 20/01/2023 )	
5	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRR. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00005, dated 20/01/2023 )	
6	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRR. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00006, dated 21/01/2023 )	



This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhiya Thakur, visited CRRi on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. The Information regarding the post office Receiving Records/Files are not available in the Institute, Shri Kanhiya Thakur also searched related file (File no.1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) and he did not require any further information from the above file. Hence, it is clear that, all the information related to the appellant, available in the institute has been provided to him. Accordingly, the hearing was **disposed off** after duly signed by the appellant (the same is enclosed the Scanned document for the reference).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,

CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in



**Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)**  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members

1 Page Notification from PIO

CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
PERSONNEL CELL

Dated: 03.02.2023

Subject: RTI No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023, RTI No. 1126 & First Appeal No. 01 to 06- reg.

With reference to the following 07 (seven) Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) RTI Application No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/0007 dated 25.01.2023).
- (II) RTI Application No. 1126 dated 25.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/00005) dated 24.02.2023 transferred from MoRTH.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00001 dated 16.01.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00002 dated 20.01.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00003 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00004 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00005 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00006 dated 21.01.2023.

2. It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.

Forwarded to the CPIO, CRRI for further necessary action please.

AA  
11/02  
03/2/23

3/2/23

SQP

FAA/CPIO, CRRI

### सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022  
(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 3/9/2022

सुनवाई की दिनांक : 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सन्दर्भ में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हजरि थे :

1. डॉ नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRR
2. श्री नरेश कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRR
3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRR
4. श्री निमिष मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आशुलिपिक, CRR

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRR as CRR official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRR statement is it correct or not or CRR officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल [No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence] का निरीक्षण भी करवाया गया एवं फाइल के निरीक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर कोई दस्तावेज नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

  
Shri Kanhaiya Thakur,

  
(डॉ नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRR

श्रील मिश्रा  
Date 30-9-2022  
Kanhaiya Thakur

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>			
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00004	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b>	20/01/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/T/22/00033	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b>	30/12/2022
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>		<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b>	Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>	-- --		
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076		
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b>	India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर)</b>		
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>			
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>	
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b>	Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	42642	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided		
<b>Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information		
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	<p>Dear Mam/sir,</p> <p>I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRI.</p> <p>This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information.</p>		



Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 06.02.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, 02,03,04,05&06

To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 16/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023 & 21/01/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO
1.	I Kanhiya Thakur also want to receiving details of Letter like who received The letter and what was date and time which is sent by CRRi as CRRi Official ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, dated 20/01/2023 )	1.It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.
2	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRi. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00002, dated 20/01/2023 )	2.In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.
3	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRi. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00003, dated 20/01/2023 )	
4	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRi. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00004, dated 20/01/2023 )	
5	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRi. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00005, dated 20/01/2023 )	
6	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRi. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00006, dated 21/01/2023 )	

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhiya Thakur, visited CRRR on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. The Information regarding the post office Receiving Records/Files are not available in the Institute, Shri Kanhiya Thakur also searched related file (File no.1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) and he did not require any further information from the above file. Hence, it is clear that, all the information related to the appellant, available in the institute has been provided to him. Accordingly, the hearing was **disposed off** after duly signed by the appellant (the same is enclosed the Scanned document for the reference).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,

CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in



**Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)**  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members

1 Page Notification from PIO

CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
PERSONNEL CELL

Dated: 03.02.2023

Subject: RTI No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023, RTI No. 1126 & First Appeal No. 01 to 06-- reg.

With reference to the following 07 (seven) Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) RTI Application No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/0007 dated 25.01.2023).
- (II) RTI Application No. 1126 dated 25.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/00005) dated 24.02.2023 transferred from MoRTH.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00001 dated 16.01.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00002 dated 20.01.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00003 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00004 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00005 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00006 dated 21.01.2023.

2. It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.

Forwarded to the CPIO, CRRI for further necessary action please.

AD  
M/In  
03/2/23

SOP

3/3/23

FAA/CPIO, CRRI

## सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 3/9/2022

सुनवाई की दिनांक : 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के संदर्भ में, हो सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हजरि थे :


1. डॉ नैलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRR
2. श्री नोरा कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRR
3. श्री चंद्र कौत, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRR
4. श्री निमिष मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आसुतिपिक, CRR

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRR as CRR official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRR statement is it correct or not or CRR officials hide there scam of recruitment "


3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरीक्षण भी करवाया गया एवं फाइल के निरीक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

  
30/09/2022

(डॉ नैलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं

First Appellate Authority, CRR

  
Shri Kanhaiya Thakur,

910, JJ Colony Ph-3,  
Madanpur Khadar,  
Sarita Vihar,  
Delhi-110076

श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर  
Date 30-9-2022  
Kanhaiya Thakur



RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)		
Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/A/E/23/00005	RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) : 20/01/2023
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/R/T/22/00031	RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) : 28/12/2022 ✓
Name (नाम) :		Gender (लिंग) : Male
Address (पता) :		
Pin code (पिन कोड) :	110076	
State (राज्य) :	Delhi	Country (देश) : India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :	
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :		
Status (स्थिति) :	Urban	Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian	Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) : Yes
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :	42642	Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) : Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided	
Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :	Refused access to Information Requested	
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	<p>Dear Mam/sir,</p> <p>I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRR1.</p> <p>This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information.</p>	

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 06.02.2023

No. CRRIFAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, 02,03,04,05&06

To.

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 16/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023 & 21/01/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO
1.	I Kanhiya Thakur also want to receiving details of Letter like who received The letter and what was date and time which is sent by CRRRI as CRRRI Official ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, dated 20/01/2023 )	1.It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.
2	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00002, dated 20/01/2023 )	2.In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.
3	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00003, dated 20/01/2023 )	
4	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00004, dated 20/01/2023 )	
5	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00005, dated 20/01/2023 )	
6	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00006, dated 21/01/2023 )	

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhiya Thakur, visited CRRl on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. The Information regarding the post office Receiving Records/Files are not available in the Institute, Shri Kanhiya Thakur also searched related file (File no.1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) and he did not require any further information from the above file. Hence, it is clear that, all the information related to the appellant, available in the institute has been provided to him. Accordingly, the hearing was **disposed off** after duly signed by the appellant (the same is enclosed the Scanned document for the reference).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,

CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in



**Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)**  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members

1 Page Notification from PIO

CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
PERSONNEL CELL

Dated: 03.02.2023

Subject: RTI No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023, RTI No. 1126 & First Appeal No. 01 to 06- reg.

With reference to the following 07 (seven) Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) RTI Application No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/0007 dated 25.01.2023).
- (II) RTI Application No. 1126 dated 25.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/00005) dated 24.02.2023 transferred from MoRTH.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00001 dated 16.01.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00002 dated 20.01.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00003 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00004 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00005 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00006 dated 21.01.2023.

2. It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.

Forwarded to the CPIO, CRRI for further necessary action please.

AA  
04/2/23

3/3/23

SOP

FAA/CPIO, CRRI



### सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 3/9/2022

सुनवाई की तिथि: 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के संदर्भ में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। तिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हजरि थे :

1. डॉ नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRRI
2. श्री नरेश कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRRI *m.kellam*
3. श्री चंद्र कांत, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRRRI
4. श्री निमित्त मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRRI *30/9/22*
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRRI *30/9/22*
6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आनुवंशिक, CRRRI *30/9/22*

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRRRI as CRRRI official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRRI statement is it correct or not or CRRRI officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

*Kanhैया Thakur*  
Shri Kanhैया Thakur,

*N. N. Chakraborty*  
(डॉ नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRRRI  
30/09/2022

*श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर*  
Date 30-9-2022  
*Kanhैया Thakur*

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>		
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00006	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 21/01/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/E/22/00040	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 26/12/2022
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>		<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>		
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076	
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	Details not provided	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>		
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Rural	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	42642	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided	
<b>Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :</b>	Refused access to Information Requested	
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	<p>Dear Mam/sir,</p> <p>I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRL.</p> <p>This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information.</p>	

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 06.02.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, 02,03,04,05&06

To,

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 16/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023, 20/01/2023 & 21/01/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO
1.	I Kanhiya Thakur also want to receiving details of Letter like who received The letter and what was date and time which is sent by CRRRI as CRRRI Official ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00001, dated 20/01/2023 )	1.It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.  2.In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.
2	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00002, dated 20/01/2023 )	
3	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00003, dated 20/01/2023 )	
4	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00004, dated 20/01/2023 )	
5	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00005, dated 20/01/2023 )	
6	I kanhiya thakur s/o late Lallan thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details after 1994 till 2022 in CRRRI. This is my right to know so please provide me appropriate and valid information ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00006, dated 21/01/2023 )	



This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhiya Thakur, visited CRRl on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. The Information regarding the post office Receiving Records/Files are not available in the Institute, Shri Kanhiya Thakur also searched related file (File no.1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) and he did not require any further information from the above file. Hence, it is clear that, all the information related to the appellant, available in the institute has been provided to him. Accordingly, the hearing was **disposed off** after duly signed by the appellant (the same is enclosed the Scanned document for the reference).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,

CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

**Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)**  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee  
Members



**1 Page Notification from PIO**

**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**

Dated: 03.02.2023

Subject: RTI No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023, RTI No. 1126 & First Appeal No. 01 to 06– reg.

With reference to the following 07 (seven) Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) RTI Application No. 1129 dated 30.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/0007 dated 25.01.2023).
- (II) RTI Application No. 1126 dated 25.01.2023 (CRRIN/R/T/23/00005) dated 24.02.2023 transferred from MoRTH.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00001 dated 16.01.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00002 dated 20.01.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00003 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00004 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00005 dated 20.01.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00006 dated 21.01.2023.

2. It is informed that the applicant has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority and he has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date.

Forwarded to the CPIO, CRRI for further necessary action please.

AA  
M/1129  
03/2/23

3/3/23

SQP

FAA/CPIO, CRRI

### सुनवाई की कार्यवाही

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022  
(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 3/9/2022

सुनवाई की दिनांक : 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सन्दर्भ में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हज़िर थे :


1. डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRR
  2. श्री नरेश कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRR
  3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRR
  4. श्री निमित्त मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
  5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
  6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आगुलितिक, CRR
2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

\* Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRR as CRR official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRR statement is it correct or not or CRR officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिपोर्ट/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज़ नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

  
Shri Kanhaiya Thakur,

  
(डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRR

श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर  
Date 30-9-2022  
Kanhaiya Thakur

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00007
<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b>	23/01/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/R/E/22/00039
<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b>	21/12/2022
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>	<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>	
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	
<b>State (राज्य) :</b> Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>	
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b> Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b> Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> No
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b> 16332	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	Respected Sir/Madam I filed RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/22/00039 on 21/12/2022 and received reply 20-01-2022. In the reply, the information provided by CPIO CRR1 is purely incomplete, Misleading and False. No Direction is given by CPIO for my RTI Q no. 1, 2, 3, 5, 8,9 & 10. Therefore, I request kindly provide correct and true information. My RTI question and reply provided by CPIO are attached

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 08.02.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00007

To,

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 23/01/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, as the appellant has asked the only for the Quarry No. 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 & 10, so the same was sought from the PIO, SO (Establishment -II), which is as under:

S.no.	Your Queries	Reply to Your Queries as received from PIO
1	Photocopies of contract worker's attendance register kept at the entrance of CRR main Gate from 1 <sup>st</sup> July 2022 to Nov 2022	The Custodian of the sought information /documents is the Contract and this section has no such register
2	Photocopies of contract workers attendance register kept at the entrance of CRR main Gate from 1 <sup>st</sup> Dec 2022 to 15 Dec 2022	
3	Total no. of Manpower provided by the new manpower agency as on 15th Dec 2022	No Such information is available in this section. However, as per the Claim bill submitted by M/S. Sybex Support Services Pvt. Ltd. For the month of Dec 2022, the firm has claimed payment in r/o 190 number of workers deployed by the firm in CSIR-CRR.
5	Notice issued to old manpower agency i.e. regarding the termination /end of contract	No such notice has been issued to M/s Akal Information System Ltd, by this institute. However, the copy of the letter issued to the agency has already been provided by this institute and enclosed again.
8	Paper /document on which basis administration/SO E-1 took decision to refuse contract workers to not work in CRR on 05-12-2022 as a contract workers, even new manpower contract ready to take them on its payroll.	It does not pertain to this section
9	No. of contract worker whom letter of deployment is issued by contract manpower supplying agency	No such information is available in this Section
10	Technical Bid Evaluation Sheet regarding hiring New manpower supplier agency in year 2022	This paper size of the technical bid evaluation sheet is very big and there is no such size of paper available on which the copy of the same may be done. Hence, the applicant may be asked to come and see the requisite document. (with Mutual convenient date from PIO (SO, E-II).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

  
Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 8368721775

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO



Establishment-II

PUC is a first appeal in r/o RTI no. CRRIN/A/E/23/00039 (PIO/RTI-1105) dated 21.12.2022 filed by Sh. Dharmendra Singh, wherein he has stated that the information provided by CPIO, CRRJ is purely incomplete, misleading and false. No Direction is given by CPIO for his RTI Q.No. 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 & 10.

In view of the above, Q.No. 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 & 10 of the said RTI are reproduced as under:

1. Photocopies of contract worker's attendance register kept at the entrance of CRRJ main Gate, from 1st July to 30 Nov 2022.
2. Photocopies of contract worker's attendance register kept at the entrance of CRRJ main Gate, from 1st Dec 2022 to 15th Dec 2022.
3. Total no. of manpower provided by the new Manpower agency as on 15 Dec 2022.
5. Notice issued to old manpower agency i.e. Akal information System Ltd regarding termination/end of contract.
8. Paper/document on which basis administration/ SO E-I took decision to refuse contract workers to not work in CRRJ on 05-12-2022 as a contract worker, even new manpower contractor ready to take them on its payroll.
9. No. of Contract worker whom Letter of deployment is issue by contractor manpower supplying agency.
10. Technical Bid Evaluation sheet regarding hiring New manpower supplier agency in year 2022.

The point-wise reply of this Section is as under:

**Reply of 1& 2:** The custodian of the sought information/ documents is the Contractor and this Section has no such register.

**Reply of para 3:** No Such information is available in this Section. However, as per the Claim bill submitted by M/s Sybex Support Services Pvt. Ltd. for the month of Dec. 2022, the firm has claimed payment in r/o 190 number of workers deployed by the firm in CSIR-CRRJ.

**Reply of para 5:** No such notice has been issued to M/s Akal Information System Ltd. by this Institute. However, the copy of the letter issued to the agency has already been provided by this Institute and enclosed again.

**Reply of para 8:** It does not pertain to this Section.

**Reply of para 9:** No such information is available in this Section.

**Reply of para 10:** The paper size of the technical bid evaluation sheet is very big and there is no such size of paper available on which the copy of the same may be done. Hence, the applicant may be asked to come and see the requisite document.

Submitted for kind consideration and approval please.

11/12/22  
24/12/22  
SO(E-II)  
AO  
CBA  
CPIO

The above reply may be sent to CPIO and its Appellate authority after approval pl.

*[Signature]*  
For Appellate Authority

24/12/22

25/12/22

25/12/22

Appeal No.CRR1/A/E/23/00008

RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)	
Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/A/E/23/00008 RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) : 09/02/2023
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/R/T/23/00013 RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) : 07/02/2023
Name (नाम) :	Gender (लिंग) : Male
Address (पता) :	
Pin code (पिन कोड) :	110076
State (राज्य) :	Delhi Country (देश) : India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	
Status (स्थिति) :	Urban Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) : Yes
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :	16332 Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) : Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided
Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRR1 Post 1994 to 2022

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 07.03.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, 09,10,11,12, 13,14,15, 16 & 17  
To.

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 09/02/2023, 13/02/2023 & 14/02/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quaries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1.	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lailan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRR Post 1994 to 2022 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00009, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00010, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00011, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00012, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00013, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00014, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00015, dated 09/02/2023 ) ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00016, dated 13/02/2023 ) & ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00017, dated 14/02/2023 )	1. It is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time. 2. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhaiya Thakur, visited CRR on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. All the information available in the institutional file have been shown to him during the hearing on 30/09/2022. As appellant had not asked any further information from the files shown to him by the PIO (Section Officer (Personnel)) during hearing, hence the hearing was concluded after duly signed by the appellant (Hearing report enclosed at page 3).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, **CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:**

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members

1 Page Notification from PIO  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**  
**No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL**  
**PERSONNEL CELL**

Dated: 06.03.2023

**Subject: First Appeal No. 08 to 17- reg.**

With reference to the following 10 (Ten) First Appeal Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00008 dated 24.02.2023.
- (II) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00009 dated 24.02.2023.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00010 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00011 dated 24.02.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00012 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00013 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00014 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00015 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IX) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00016 dated 24.02.2023.
- (X) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00017 dated 24.02.2023.

2. In this connection, it is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

Forwarded to FAA, CRRRI for further necessary action.

*AD*  
*Td/ey*  
*06/03/23*

*2/*  
*06/03/23*

**SO/PT**

**FAA, CRRRI**



सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 30.09.2022

सुनवाई की तिथि : 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सन्दर्भ में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हज़िर थे :

1. श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRJ
2. श्री नोल कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRJ *William*
3. श्री चंद्र कंत, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRRJ
4. श्री निमित्त मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ *30/9/22*
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ *30/9/22*
6. श्री धरम सिंह, कनिष्ठ आनुवंशिक, CRRJ *30/9/22*

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRRJ as CRRJ official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRJ statement is it correct or not or CRRJ officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरीक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरीक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज़ नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

*William*  
30/9/2022

(श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं

First Appellate Authority, CRRJ

*Kanhैया Thakur*

Shri Kanhैया Thakur,

910, JJ Colony Ph-3,

Madanpur Khadar,

Sarita Vihar,

Delhi-110076

*श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर*  
Date 30.9.2022  
*Kanhैया Thakur*

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>			
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00009	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b>	09/02/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/T/23/00012	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b>	07/02/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>	—	<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b>	Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>			
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076		
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b>	India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>		
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>			
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>	
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b>	Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided		
<b>Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information		
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRR1 Post 1994 to 2022		

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 07.03.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, 09,10,11,12, 13,14,15, 16 & 17  
To.

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 09/02/2023, 13/02/2023 & 14/02/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Queries	Reply to Your Queries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1.	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lailan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRR Post 1994 to 2022 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00009, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00010, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00011, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00012, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00013, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00014, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00015, dated 09/02/2023 ) ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00016, dated 13/02/2023 ) & ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00017, dated 14/02/2023 )	1. It is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time. 2. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhaiya Thakur, visited CRR on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. All the information available in the institutional file have been shown to him during the hearing on 30/09/2022. As appellant had not asked any further information from the files shown to him by the PIO (Section Officer (Personnel)) during hearing, hence the hearing was concluded after duly signed by the appellant (**Hearing report enclosed at page 3**).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, **CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission.** The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members



1 Page Notification from PIO  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**

Dated: 06.03.2023

Subject: First Appeal No. 08 to 17- reg.

With reference to the following 10 (Ten) First Appeal Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00008 dated 24.02.2023.
- (II) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00009 dated 24.02.2023.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00010 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00011 dated 24.02.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00012 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00013 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00014 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00015 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IX) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00016 dated 24.02.2023.
- (X) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00017 dated 24.02.2023.

2. In this connection, it is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

Forwarded to FAA, CRRI for further necessary action.

AD  
TAKS  
06/03/23

2/06/3/23

SOPT

FAA, CRRI



### सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022  
(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 3/9/22

सुनवाई की तिथि: 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सम्बन्ध में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। तिथि: 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हज़िर थे :

1. श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRJ
2. श्री नरेश कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRJ *nikkhan sharma*
3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बन्धित PIO, CRRJ
4. श्री निमिष मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ — *nimish*
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ — *sachin*
6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आनुसंधानिक, CRRJ — *30/9/22*

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRRJ as CRRJ official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRJ statement is it correct or not or CRRJ officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बन्धित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज़ नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

*N. N. Chakraborty*  
(श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRRJ  
30/09/2022

*Kanhaiya Thakur*

*श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर*  
Date 30.9.2022  
*Kanhaiya Thakur*

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00010 <b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 09/02/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/T/23/00011 <b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 07/02/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>	<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>	
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi <b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>	
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban <b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian <b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332 <b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRRRI Post 1994 to 2022

## Reply



### OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 07.03.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, 09,10,11,12, 13,14,15, 16 & 17  
To,

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 09/02/2023, 13/02/2023 & 14/02/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Queries	Reply to Your Queries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1.	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRR I Post 1994 to 2022 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00009, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00010, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00011, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00012, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00013, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00014, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00015, dated 09/02/2023 ) ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00016, dated 13/02/2023 ) & ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00017, dated 14/02/2023 )	1. It is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time. 2. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhaiya Thakur, visited CRR I on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. All the information available in the institutional file have been shown to him during the hearing on 30/09/2022. As appellant had not asked any further information from the files shown to him by the PIO (Section Officer (Personnel)) during hearing, hence the hearing was concluded after duly signed by the appellant (Hearing report enclosed at page 3).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below.

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members



1 Page Notification from PIO  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**

Dated: 06.03.2023

**Subject: First Appeal No. 08 to 17- reg.**

With reference to the following 10 (Ten) First Appeal Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00008 dated 24.02.2023.
- (II) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00009 dated 24.02.2023.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00010 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00011 dated 24.02.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00012 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00013 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00014 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00015 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IX) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00016 dated 24.02.2023.
- (X) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00017 dated 24.02.2023.

2. In this connection, it is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

Forwarded to FAA, CRRI for further necessary action.

*AD*  
*1/1/23*  
*06/03/23*

*2/*  
*06/03/23*

**SOPT**

**FAA, CRRI**



### सुनवाई की कार्यवाही

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 30.09.2022

सुनवाई की तिथि : 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सम्बन्ध में, श्री कन्दैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्दैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्दैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हज़िर थे :


1. श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRJ
2. श्री मोक्ष कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRJ
3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बन्धित PIO, CRRJ
4. श्री निमित्त मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ
6. श्री भवन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आगुलितिक, CRRJ

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्दैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRRJ as CRRJ official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRJ statement is it correct or not or CRRJ officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्दैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्दैया ठाकुर को सम्बन्धित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरक्षण के बाद श्री कन्दैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज़ नहीं मांगा गया।


4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

  
30/09/2022

(श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं

First Appellate Authority, CRRJ



श्री कन्दैया ठाकुर  
Date 30.9.2022  


<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>			
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00011	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b>	09/02/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/T/23/00010	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b>	07/02/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>		<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b>	Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>			
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076		
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b>	India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>		<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>	
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>			
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>	
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b>	Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided		
<b>Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information		
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRRRI Post 1994 to 2022		

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 07.03.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, 09,10,11,12, 13,14,15, 16 & 17  
To.

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 09/02/2023, 13/02/2023 & 14/02/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1.	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRR/ Post 1994 to 2022 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00009, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00010, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00011, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00012, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00013, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00014, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00015, dated 09/02/2023 ) ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00016, dated 13/02/2023 ) & ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00017, dated 14/02/2023 )	1. It is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time. 2. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhiya Thakur, visited CRR/ on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. All the information available in the institutional file have been shown to him during the hearing on 30/09/2022. As appellant had not asked any further information from the files shown to him by the PIO (Section Officer (Personnel)) during hearing, hence the hearing was concluded after duly signed by the appellant (Hearing report enclosed at page 3).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO

2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members



1 Page Notification from PIO  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**

Dated: 06.03.2023

**Subject: First Appeal No. 08 to 17- reg.**

With reference to the following 10 (Ten) First Appeal Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00008 dated 24.02.2023.
- (II) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00009 dated 24.02.2023.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00010 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00011 dated 24.02.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00012 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00013 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00014 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00015 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IX) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00016 dated 24.02.2023.
- (X) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00017 dated 24.02.2023.

2. In this connection, it is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

Forwarded to FAA, CRRI for further necessary action.

A.D.  
T/11/23  
06/03/23

2/06/03/23

SOFT

**FAA, CRRI**



सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 30.09.2022

सुनवाई की तिथि: 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सम्बन्ध में, श्री कन्देवा ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्देवा ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्देवा ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हाजिर थे :

1. श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRJ
2. श्री नरेश कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRJ
3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बन्धित PIO, CRRJ
4. श्री निधिष मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ
6. श्री यश्वन् सिंह, कनिष्ठ आधुनिक, CRRJ

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्देवा ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :


" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lalian Thakur to join CRRJ as CRRJ official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRJ statement is it correct or not or CRRJ officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्देवा ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिपोर्ट/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्देवा ठाकुर को सम्बन्धित फाइल [No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence] का निरक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरक्षण के बाद श्री कन्देवा ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

  
(श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRRJ



श्री कन्देवा ठाकुर  
Date 30.9.2022  


<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00012 <b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 09/02/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/R/T/23/00007 <b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 25/01/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>	<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>	
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi <b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	Details not provided <b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>	
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban <b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian <b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332 <b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :</b>	Refused access to Information Requested
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	CRRIN department is requested that from 17 02 1994 to 23 01 2023, how many times the recruitment has come out according to the working period, I need complete information in detail



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 07.03.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, 09,10,11,12, 13,14,15, 16 & 17  
To:

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 09/02/2023, 13/02/2023 & 14/02/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quaries	Reply to Your Quaries as received from PIO (Page 2)
1.	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lailan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRRi Post 1994 to 2022 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00009, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00010, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00011, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00012, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00013, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00014, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00015, dated 09/02/2023 ) ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00016, dated 13/02/2023 ) & ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00017, dated 14/02/2023 )	1. It is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time. 2. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhaiya Thakur, visited CRRi on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. All the information available in the institutional file have been shown to him during the hearing on 30/09/2022. As appellant had not asked any further information from the files shown to him by the PIO (Section Officer (Personnel)) during hearing, hence the hearing was concluded after duly signed by the appellant (**Hearing report enclosed at page 3**).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, **CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advisal opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below.**

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members



1 Page Notification from PIO  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**

Dated: 06.03.2023

**Subject: First Appeal No. 08 to 17- reg.**

With reference to the following 10 (Ten) First Appeal Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00008 dated 24.02.2023.
- (II) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00009 dated 24.02.2023.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00010 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00011 dated 24.02.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00012 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00013 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00014 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00015 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IX) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00016 dated 24.02.2023.
- (X) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00017 dated 24.02.2023.

2. In this connection, it is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he wants to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

Forwarded to FAA, CRRI for further necessary action.

*AD*  
*06/03/23*

*06/03/23*

**SOPT**

**FAA, CRRI**



सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 5/9/2022

सुनवाई की दिनांक : 30.09.2022

उत्तरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सम्बन्ध में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हज़िर थे :

1. श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRJ
2. श्री मोहन कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRJ
3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बन्धित PIO, CRRJ
4. श्री निमित्त मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ
6. श्री भवन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आनुवंशिक, CRRJ

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lahan Thakur to join CRRJ as CRRJ official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRJ statement is it correct or not or CRRJ officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बन्धित फाइल (No. I(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरिक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज़ नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उत्तरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

Kanhaiya Thakur

*(Handwritten Signature)*  
30/09/2022

(श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं

First Appellate Authority, CRRJ

प्राप्त किया  
Date 30.9.2022  
Kanhaiya Thakur

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>		
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00013	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 09/02/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/T/23/00005	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 24/01/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>		<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>		
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076	
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>		<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>		
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided	
<b>Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information	
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	CRRIN department is requested that from 17 02 1994 to 23 01 2023, how many times the recruitment has come out according to the working period, I need complete information in detail	

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 07.03.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, 09,10,11,12, 13,14,15, 16 & 17  
To:

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 09/02/2023, 13 /02/2023 & 14/02/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1.	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lalfan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRR I Post 1994 to 2022 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00009, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00010, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00011, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00012, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00013, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00014, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00015, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00016, dated 13/02/2023 ) & ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00017, dated 14/02/2023 )	1. It is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time. 2. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhaiya Thakur, visited CRR I on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. All the information available in the institutional file have been shown to him during the hearing on 30/09/2022. As appellant had not asked any further information from the files shown to him by the PIO (Section Officer (Personnel)) during hearing, hence the hearing was concluded after duly signed by the appellant (Hearing report enclosed at page 3).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority, if you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members



1 Page Notification from PIO  
CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
PERSONNEL CELL

Dated: 06.03.2023

Subject: First Appeal No. 08 to 17- reg.

With reference to the following 10 (Ten) First Appeal Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00008 dated 24.02.2023.
- (II) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00009 dated 24.02.2023.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00010 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00011 dated 24.02.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00012 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00013 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00014 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00015 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IX) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00016 dated 24.02.2023.
- (X) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00017 dated 24.02.2023.

2. In this connection, it is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

Forwarded to FAA, CRRI for further necessary action.

*AD*  
*14/03/23*  
*06/03/23*

*2/*  
*08/3/23*

SOPT

FAA, CRRI



### सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 5/9/2022

सुनवाई की तिथि: 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के शून्य में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। तिथि 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हज़िर थे:

1. डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRJ
2. श्री जेठ कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRJ *W. Khan*
3. श्री चंद्र कंठ, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRRJ
4. श्री निमित्त मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ — *30/9/22*
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ — *30/9/22*
6. श्री भवन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आनुवंशिक, CRRJ — *30/9/22*

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी:

\* Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRRJ as CRRJ official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRJ statement is it correct or not or CRRJ officials hide there scam of recruitment \*

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरीक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरीक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज़ नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

*Kanhaiya Thakur*

*N. Misra*  
*30/9/2022*  
(डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRRJ

*श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर*  
*Date 30.9.2022*  
*Kanhaiya Thakur*

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00014 <b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 09/02/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/T/23/00002 <b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 12/01/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>	<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>	
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi <b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>	
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban <b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian <b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332 <b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :</b>	Refused access to Information Requested
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	Kanhaiya Thakur again applied to the Ministry of Home Affairs, I want complete details for the proceedings of North Block, page number 2305154 dated 15 March 2022 and page no. 2357606 Dated 10th May 2022 Lallanthakur is in the list since 1986 and has done the duty of CRR I till 1988 I demand CBI inquiry against Kanya Thakur CRR I as CRR I say they sent us application for the post but we didn't get any such application Have not received the letter, want that appropriate action should be taken in this matter. My father was assured by the court and CRR I that

whenever recruitment comes, you will be called but nothing happened that my father died due to CRRRI and action should be taken against whoever is the accused in this case. Here we want that there should be a CBI inquiry and justice should be done to us

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 07.03.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, 09,10,11,12, 13,14,15, 16 & 17  
To,

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 09/02/2023, 13/02/2023 & 14/02/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Queries	Reply to Your Queries as received from PIO (Page 2)
1.	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRR Post 1994 to 2022 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00009, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00010, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00011, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00012, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00013, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00014, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00015, dated 09/02/2023 ) & ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00016, dated 13/02/2023 ) & ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00017, dated 14/02/2023 )	1. It is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time. 2. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhaiya Thakur, visited CRR on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. All the information available in the institutional file have been shown to him during the hearing on 30/09/2022. As appellant had not asked any further information from the files shown to him by the PIO (Section Officer (Personnel)) during hearing, hence the hearing was concluded after duly signed by the appellant (**Hearing report enclosed at page 3**).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, **CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below.**

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members



1 Page Notification from PIO  
CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
PERSONNEL CELL

Dated: 06.03.2023

subject: First Appeal No. 08 to 17-reg.

With reference to the following 10 (Ten) First Appeal Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00008 dated 24.02.2023.
- (II) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00009 dated 24.02.2023.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00010 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00011 dated 24.02.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00012 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00013 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00014 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00015 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IX) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00016 dated 24.02.2023.
- (X) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00017 dated 24.02.2023.

2. In this connection, it is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

Forwarded to FAA, CRRRI for further necessary action.

A.D.  
1st/03/23  
06/03/23

3/06/03/23

SQ/PT

FAA, CRRRI

### सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 30.09.2022

सुनवाई की तिथि : 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के श्रद्धार्थ में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। तिथि 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हजरि थे :

1. डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRJ
2. श्री जेठ कृष्ण शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRJ *W. Khan*
3. श्री चंद्र कंत, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRRJ
4. श्री निमित्त मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ — *30/9/22*
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ — *30/9/22*
6. श्री भस्म सिंह, कनिष्ठ आगुलितिक, CRRJ — *30/9/22*

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

\* Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lalan Thakur to join CRRJ as CRRJ official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRJ statement is it correct or not or CRRJ officials hide there scam of recruitment \*

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरीक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरीक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

*Kanhaiya Thakur*

*Dr. Neelima Chakravarti*  
30/09/2022

(डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRRJ

*श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर*  
Date 30.9.2022  
*Kanhaiya Thakur*

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>			
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00015	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b>	09/02/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/T/23/00003	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b>	18/01/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>		<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b>	Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>			
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076		
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b>	India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>		<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>	
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>			
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>	
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b>	Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided		
<b>Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information		
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	Kanhaiya Thakur again applied to the Ministry of Home Affairs, I want complete details for the proceedings of North Block, page number 2305154 dated 15 March 2022 and page no. 2357606 Dated 10th May 2022 Lallanthakur is in the list since 1986 and has done the duty of CRR I till 1988 I demand CBI inquiry against Kanya Thakur CRR I as CRR I say they sent us application for the post but we didn't get any such application Have not received the letter, want that appropriate action should be taken in this matter. My father was assured by the court and CRR I that		



whenever recruitment comes, you will be called but nothing happened that my father died due to CRRI and action should be taken against whoever is the accused in this case. Here we want that there should be a CBI inquiry and justice should be done to us.



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 07.03.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, 09,10,11,12, 13,14,15, 16 & 17  
To.

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 09/02/2023, 13/02/2023 & 14/02/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Queries	Reply to Your Queries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1.	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRRIN Post 1994 to 2022 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00009, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00010, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00011, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00012, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00013, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00014, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00015, dated 09/02/2023 ) ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00016, dated 13/02/2023 ) & ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00017, dated 14/02/2023 )	1. It is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time. 2. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhaiya Thakur, visited CRRIN on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. All the information available in the institutional file have been shown to him during the hearing on 30/09/2022. As appellant had not asked any further information from the files shown to him by the PIO (Section Officer (Personnel)) during hearing, hence the hearing was concluded after duly signed by the appellant (Hearing report enclosed at page 3).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, **CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below.**

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members

1 Page Notification from PIO  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**

Dated: 06.03.2023

Subject: First Appeal No. 08 to 17- reg.

With reference to the following 10 (Ten) First Appeal Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00008 dated 24.02.2023.
- (II) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00009 dated 24.02.2023.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00010 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00011 dated 24.02.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00012 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00013 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00014 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00015 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IX) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00016 dated 24.02.2023.
- (X) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00017 dated 24.02.2023.

2. In this connection, it is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

Forwarded to FAA, CRRI for further necessary action.

*AD*  
*Talwar*  
*06/03/23*

*2/*  
*06/03/23*

SOPT

FAA, CRRI



सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 30.09.2022

सुनवाई की तिथि: 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सन्दर्भ में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। तिथि 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हाज़िर थे :

1. श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRJ
2. श्री जेतन कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRJ *24/9/22*
3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRRJ
4. श्री निमित्त मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ *24/9/22*
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ *24/9/22*
6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आनुवंशिक, CRRJ *24/9/22*

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRRJ as CRRJ official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRJ statement is it correct or not or CRRJ officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज़ नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

*Kanhaiya Thakur*

*Nilima Chakraborty*  
30/09/2022

(श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं

First Appellate Authority, CRRJ

*श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर*  
Date 30.9.2022  
*Kanhaiya Thakur*

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>			
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00016	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b>	13/02/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/T/23/00014 —	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b>	10/02/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>	.	<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b>	Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>			
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076		
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b>	India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>		<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>	
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>			
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>	
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b>	Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided		
<b>Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information		
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRR I Post 1994 to 2022		

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 07.03.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, 09,10,11,12, 13,14,15, 16 & 17  
To.

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 09/02/2023, 13 /02/2023 & 14/02/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Queries	Reply to Your Queries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1.	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRR I Post 1994 to 2022 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00009, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00010, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00011, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00012, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00013, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00014, dated 09/02/2023 ) , ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00015, dated 09/02/2023 ) ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00016, dated 13/02/2023 ) & ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00017, dated 14/02/2023 )	1. It is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time. 2. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhaiya Thakur, visited CRR I on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. All the information available in the institutional file have been shown to him during the hearing on 30/09/2022. As appellant had not asked any further information from the files shown to him by the PIO (Section Officer (Personnel)) during hearing, hence the hearing was concluded after duly signed by the appellant (**Hearing report enclosed at page 3**).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, **CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission.** The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayltha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members



1 Page Notification from PIO  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**

Dated: 06.03.2023

Subject: First Appeal No. 08 to 17- reg.

With reference to the following 10 (Ten) First Appeal Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00008 dated 24.02.2023.
- (II) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00009 dated 24.02.2023.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00010 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00011 dated 24.02.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00012 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00013 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00014 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00015 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IX) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00016 dated 24.02.2023.
- (X) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00017 dated 24.02.2023.

2. In this connection, it is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

Forwarded to FAA, CRRI for further necessary action.

AD  
T/15/21  
06/3/23

2/06/3/23

~~SOPT~~

**FAA, CRRI**

सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 30.09.2022

सुनवाई की तिथि : 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के शब्दों में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। तिथि 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हज़िर थे :

1. श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRJ
2. श्री नरेश कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRJ *W. Khan*
3. श्री चंद्र कंठ, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRRJ
4. श्री निमित्त मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ — *Sanjay*
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ — *Sanjay*
6. श्री भस्म सिंह, कनिष्ठ आसुलियिक, CRRJ — *Sanjay*

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lalan Thakur to join CRRJ as CRRJ official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRJ statement is it correct or not or CRRJ officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज़ नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

*Kanhaiya Thakur*

*N. Misra*  
30/09/2022

(श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं

First Appellate Authority, CRRJ

मांगी निरस्त  
Date 30.9.2022  
*Kanhaiya Thakur*

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>			
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00017	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b>	14/02/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/T/23/00015	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b>	10/02/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>		<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b>	Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>			
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076		
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b>	India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>		<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>	
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>			
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>	
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b>	Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided		
<b>Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information		
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	मैं कन्हैया ठाकुर स्वर्गीय लल्लन ठाकुर आपसे अनुरोध करता हूँ कि मुझे सीआरआरआई पोस्ट 1994 में 2022 तक भर्ती रिक्ति विवरण प्रदान करें।		



Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 07.03.2023

No. CRRRI/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, 09,10,11,12, 13,14,15, 16 & 17  
To.

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 09/02/2023, 13/02/2023 & 14/02/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Queries	Reply to Your Queries as received from PIO (Page 2)
1.	I Kanhaiya Thakur Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me recruitment vacancy details in CRRRI Post 1994 to 2022 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00008, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00009, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00010, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00011, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00012, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00013, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00014, dated 09/02/2023 ), ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00015, dated 09/02/2023 ) ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00016, dated 13/02/2023 ) & ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00017, dated 14/02/2023 )	1. It is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time. 2. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhaiya Thakur, visited CRRRI on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. All the information available in the institutional file have been shown to him during the hearing on 30/09/2022. As appellant had not asked any further information from the files shown to him by the PIO (Section Officer (Personnel)) during hearing, hence the hearing was concluded after duly signed by the appellant (Hearing report enclosed at page 3).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below.

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures: 1. 1 Page Notification from PIO  
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members

1 Page Notification from PIO  
CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
PERSONNEL CELL

Dated: 06.03.2023

Subject: First Appeal No. 08 to 17- reg.

With reference to the following 10 (Ten) First Appeal Applications from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur under RTI Act, 2005:

- (I) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00008 dated 24.02.2023.
- (II) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00009 dated 24.02.2023.
- (III) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00010 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IV) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00011 dated 24.02.2023.
- (V) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00012 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VI) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00013 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00014 dated 24.02.2023.
- (VIII) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00015 dated 24.02.2023.
- (IX) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00016 dated 24.02.2023.
- (X) First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00017 dated 24.02.2023.

2. In this connection, it is informed that Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has filed many applications under RTI Act, 2005 seeking the same information each time through CPIO and First Appellate Authority. Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur has been provided with all the information accordingly each and every time.

3. In this regard, Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date. Further, he may also be asked what documents he want to inspect, so that concerned files/documents can be presented for inspection.

Forwarded to FAA, CRRI for further necessary action.

*AD*  
*Td/pt*  
*06/03/23*

*2/*  
*06/03/23*

SOPT

FAA, CRRI

सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated 11.09.2022  
(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 3/9/2022

सुनवाई की दिनांक : 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सम्बन्ध में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हाज़िर थे :

1. श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRJ
2. श्री मोहन कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRJ *m.kellam*
3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बन्धित PIO, CRRJ
4. श्री निमिष मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ *Nimish Mishra*
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ *Sachin Kumar*
6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आगुस्तिक, CRRJ *Bhajan Singh*

2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

\* Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lahan Thakur to join CRRJ as CRRJ official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRJ statement is it correct or not or CRRJ officials hide there scam of recruitment \*

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बन्धित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज़ नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

*Nimish Mishra*  
(श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRRJ  
30/09/2022

*Kanhaiya Thakur*

*श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर*  
Date 30.9.2022  
*Kanhaiya Thakur*



<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00018 <b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 20/03/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/E/23/00003 <b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 21/02/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>	<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>	
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	201304
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	<b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>	
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban <b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b> Above Graduate
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian <b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> No
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332 <b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :</b>	Refused access to Information Requested
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	Dear sir First of all it is to submit that the information provided in pdf format as Annexure I&II are scanned copies of the documents which are not readable clealy. I therefore request you to please provide them through post which are readable documents.  secondly some of the information and particularly the correspondence / opinion of HOD(BES) through noting / correspondence to Administration has not been provided as requested.

Further it is also mentioned that as per s.no. 7 of the letter no. 17(76)/FtoG/18-19-PL dated 26.9.2022 that my representation will be forwarded to HOD(BES) for his comments, however the comments of HOD(BES) were not provided.

It is also to state that the formation of a FFC is not a confidential document and therefore it shall be provided without hiding the names of the committee members.

I feel that the above information is not covered under section 8(1)(e), and therefore the same may please be provided.

Thanking you

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 19.04.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00018

To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 20/03/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.NO.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1	Annexures are scanned copies of the documents which are not readable clearly	The copies of all correspondence took place between CRR/ and CSIR-RAB/DG,CSIR, was provided to the CPIO. Therefore, he may be requested to provide the same to the appellant through Speed Post also.
2	Correspondence/ opinion of HOD (BES) through noting /correspondence to Administration has not been provided as requested.	The file noting is basically part of the decision making process. It contains and includes information / opinion/ views/ facts, opinion etc. given in fiduciary capacity and in confidence. It may not be in larger public interest to disclose such information.
3	As per S. No. of the letter No. 17(76)/FtoG/18-19-PL dated 26.9.2022 that my representation will be forwarded to HOD (BES) for his comments,	Therefore, the information could not be disclosed to the applicant under section 8(1) (e).
4	Provided the FFC names of the committee members.	Copy of OM containing the constitution of the committee & Report submitted was provided to the appellant through CPIO. The appellant was provided the information by severance/hiding the names of the members as per Section 10 of the RTI Act. Further the names of the committee members could not be disclosed under Section 8(1)(g) of RTI Act, 2005. Apart from above, the appellant has also not established the involvement of large public interest. Therefore, the names of the Committee members could not be disclosed.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9953290998

Enclosures: 1. Reply from PIO (Pages 1)  
2) Reply sent to RTI Appellant earlier by CPIO ( Pages 14)



Reply from PIO

**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**

Dated: 06.04.2023


Subject: First Appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00018 dated 20.03.2023 received from Sh. D C Sharma- reg.

This section is in receipt of First Appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00018 dated 20.03.2023 received from Sh. D C Sharma under RTI Act, 2005 against RTI No.CRRIN/R/E/23/00003 dated 21.02.2023.

2. The appellant has sought the following information and information which can be provided to him under RTI Act, 2005 is as under:

S.No.	Information Sought	Information to be provided
01	The information provided in PDF Format as Annexure I & II are scanned copies of the documents which are not readable clearly. I therefore request you to please provide them through post which are readable documents.	The copies of all correspondence took place between CRRRI and CSIR-RAB/DG,CSIR, was provided to the CPIO. Therefore, he may be requested to provide the same to the appellant through Speed Post also.
02	Some of information and particularly the correspondence/ opinion of HOD (BES) through noting /correspondence to Administration has not been provided as requested.	The file noting is basically part of the decision making process. It contains and includes information / opinion/ views/ facts, opinion etc. given in fiduciary capacity and in confidence. It may not be in larger public interest to disclose such information. Therefore, the information could not be disclosed to the applicant under section 8(1) (e).
03	As per S. No. of the letter No. 17(76)/FtoG/18-19-PL dated 26.9.2022 that my representation will be forwarded to HOD (BES) for his comments, however the comments of HOD (BES) were not provided.	
04	The formation of a FFC is not a confidential documents and therefore it shall be provided without hiding the names of the committee members.	Copy of OM containing the constitution of the committee & Report submitted was provided to the appellant through CPIO. The appellant was provided the information by severance/hiding the names of the members as per Section 10 of the RTI Act. Further the names of the committee members could not be disclosed under Section 8(1)(g) of RTI Act, 2005. Apart from above, the appellant has also not established the involvement of large public interest. Therefore, the names of the Committee members could not be disclosed.

3. The above information may be provided to the appellant. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority CRRRI.

  
SOP  
FAA CRRRI

  
06/04/23

Print

File No: RTI-1147

1147

**Reply sent to RTI Appellant earlier by CPIO**

**CSIR - Central Road Research Institute  
Mathura Road, New Delhi**

Dated: 16/03/2023

To

**Registration Number : CRRIN/R/E/23/00003**

Dear Sir/Madam

I am to refer to your Request for Information under RTI Act 2005, received vide letter dated 21/02/2023 and to say that Ref: Letter No. 17(76)/FtoG/18-19-PL dated 05.09.2022. Reply of Point No. 1: The copies of all correspondence took place between CRRRI and CSIR-RAB/DG,CSIR, attached at Annexure- 1 ( 09 pages).

Reply of Point No. 2: The file noting is basically part of the decision making process. It contains and included information /opinion/views/facts etc. given in fiduciary capacity and in confidence. It may not be in larger public interest to disclose such information. Therefore, the information cannot be provided to the applicant under Section 8(1) (e).

Reply of Point No. 3 & 4 : Copy of OM containing the constitution of the committee & report submitted by the committee, attached at Annexure-II (01 page) is being provided by severance hiding the name of the members as per Section 10 of the RTI Act..

In case, you want to go for an appeal in connection with the information provided, you may appeal to the Appellate Authority indicated below within **thirty days** from the date of receipt of this letter.

**KAYITHA RAVINDER**

FAA & Chief Scientist

Address: CSIR-Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road, CRRRI Post, New Delhi-110025

Phone No: 011-26832173

CSIR-CRRRI

**OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI-110 025**



Dated : 16.03.2023.

Ref. No. – CPIO/1147

To

Subject : - RTI Application Regn No. CRRIN/R/E/22/00003 dt. 21.02.2023.

Ref. : - Letter No. 17(76)/FtoG/18-19-PL dated 05.09.2022.

Sir,


With reference to the above RTI received online, reply of the same as received from concerned Section is as under:-

**Reply of Point No. 1:** The copies of all correspondence took place between CRRRI and CSIR-RAB/DG, CSIR, attached at **Annexure-I (09 pages)**.

**Reply of Point No. 2:** The file noting is basically part of the decision making process. It contains and included information /opinion/views/facts etc. given in fiduciary capacity and in confidence. It may not be in larger public interest to disclose such information. Therefore, the information cannot be provided to the applicant under Section 8(1) (e).

**Reply of Point No. 3 & 4 :** Copy of CM containing the constitution of the committee & report submitted by the committee, attached at **Annexure-II (01 page)** is being provided by severance/hiding the name of the members as per Section 10 of the RTI Act.

In case, you are not satisfied with above information, you may refer an appeal to Dr. K. Ravinder, FAA, RTI.

  
Nareesh Kumar Sharma /  
CPIO, CRRRI

Enc's. As above.



1147

**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**  
 No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**

Dated: 02.03.2023

Subject: RTI No. 1147 dated 22.02.2023 received from Sh. D.C.Sharma-reg.

This section is in receipt of Application No. 1147 dated 22.02.2023 (CRRIN/R/X/23/00003 dated 21.02.2023) received from Sh. D C Sharma under RTI Act, 2005.

2. The applicant has sought the following information and information which can be provided to him under RTI Act, 2005 is as under:

S.No.	Information Sought	Information to be provided
Ref: Letter No. 17(76)/FtoG/18-19-PL dated 05.09.2022		
01	Copies of all correspondence made so far from CSIR-CRRI to Head, RAB/DG CSIR and received from CSIR-RAB/DG-CSIR.	The copies of all correspondence took place between CRRI and CSIR-RAB/DG,CSIR, as placed at <u>Flag-A</u> , may be provided to the applicant.
02	Copies of all internal noting/correspondence between HoD, BES, CRRI and Director, CRRI	The file noting is basically part of the decision making process. It contains and includes information / opinion/ views/ facts, opinion etc. given in fiduciary capacity and in confidence. It may not be in larger public interest to disclose such information. Therefore, the information cannot be provided to the applicant under section 8(1) (e).
03	Copies of OM, recommendation of Internal Committee Constituted, if any.	Copy of OM containing the constitution of the committee & Report submitted by the committee, as placed at <u>Flag-B</u> , may be provided to the applicant by severance hiding the name of the members as per Section 1U of the RTI Act.
04	Action taken by CSIR-CRRI on contents of S.No. 7 of the OM No.17(76)/FtoG-18-19-PL dated 5.9.2022.	

3. This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority, CSIR, CPIO, CRRI is requested to do the needful please.

*(Handwritten signatures and stamps)*

593 Annexure-I

By Speed Post

No. 17(76)/F to G/2018-19-PL

Dated: 09.05.2022

To,

**Subject: Representation of Sh. D C Sharma, Sr. Pr. Scientist (retd.) regarding his Assessment to the level of 'Chief Scientist' and allegation of discrimination & harassment by Prof. Satish Chandra, former Director, CSIR-CRRI -reg.**

Madam,

I am directed to forward herewith the representation dated 30.03.2022 of Sh. D C Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (retd.) for further necessary action at your end please. It is also informed that his earlier representation dated 01.02.2022 has already been forwarded to you.

2. It is also informed that Prof. Satish Chandra, former Director, CSIR-CRRI has completed his tenure and relieved on 17.01.2022(A/N) in order to join his parent office i.e. IIT, Roorkee, against whom Sh. D C Sharma has raised the said complaint.

This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority, CSIR-CRRI.

Yours faithfully,

(Chander Kaur)  
Section Officer

To: The representation dated 30-03-2022 of Sh. D C Sharma, Sr. Pr. Scientist (retd.) regarding his assessment to the level of Chief Scientist and allegation of discrimination & harassment by Prof. Satish Chandra, former Director, CSIR-CRRI -reg.

599

(2)

No. 17(76)/F to G/2018-19-PL

Dated: 05.05.2022

To,

**Subject: Representation of Sh. D C Sharma, Sr. Pr. Scientist (retd.) regarding his Assessment to the level of 'Chief Scientist' and allegation of discrimination & harassment by Prof. Satish Chandra, former Director, CSIR-CRRI -reg.**

Madam,

This institute is in receipt of a representation dated 01.02.2022 of Sh. D C Sharma, Senior Principal Scientist (retired) of CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi regarding his Assessment to the level of 'Chief Scientist' and allegations of discrimination & harassment by Prof. Satish Chandra, former Director, CSIR-CRRI.

In this regard, I am directed to forward the above representation dated 01.02.2022 of Sh. D C Sharma to your good office for further necessary action at your end please. Kindly find the representation dated 01.02.2022 of Sh. D C Sharma attached herewith.

In addition, it is also informed that Prof. Satish Chandra, former Director, CSIR-CRRI has completed his tenure and relieved on 17.01.2022(AN) in order to join his parent office i.e. IIT, Roorkee.

This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority, CSIR-CRRI.

Yours faithfully,





सी एस आर आई  
**CSIR - RECRUITMENT & PROMOTION BOARD**  
 वैज्ञानिक एवं औद्योगिक अनुसंधान परिषद्  
**Council of Scientific & Industrial Research**



By Speed Post  
 Dated 05<sup>th</sup> May, 2022

No. 1-2(15)/2014-RAB

To,

**Subj:** Request of Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Pr. Scientist (retd) to review the proceedings for AY 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19 and declare them 'Null and Void' being arbitrary in nature leading to harassment & discrimination of scientific staff- reg.

**Ref:** CSIR-CRRR letter No 17(76)/FCG/2018-19-PL dated 21.03.2022.

Sr.

I am directed to refer to your letter No.17(76)/FCG/2018-19-PL dated 21.03.2022 forwarding therein representation dated 01.02.2022 of Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (retd), CSIR-CRRR addressed to Chairman, RAB whereby he has requested to review the proceedings of the assessment period i.e 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19 and declare them 'Null and Void' being arbitrary in nature leading to harassment & discrimination of scientific staff. In this context, it is stated that the matter has been thoroughly considered by the Competent Authority and it is observed that there is no procedural lapse in the conduct of assessment proceeding in respect of Shri Sharma.

2. It is further stated that the Peer Committee is a high profile Committee consisting of experts in the broad subject area of the scientists concerned. The Committee has the discretion to devise its own criteria for objective assessment of suitability and merit of the candidates being considered by it. The Peer Committee, based on information / input furnished by the Scientists concerned in the 'Work Report' submitted by him / her for the residency period, collectively assesses the work done by the scientist and in its collective wisdom awards marks. If the marks obtained by the candidate is equal to or more than the threshold marks as given in Rule 7.5.5 of the CSIRAP Rules, 2001, as amended vide CSIR Letter No.7-14(2)/2005-R&A dated 12.03.2007, the Committee recommends the candidate as 'Fit for Promotion'. If the marks obtained is falling short by upto two marks for promotion, then the candidate is recommended for 'Promotion deferred by one year'. If the marks obtained by the candidate is below two marks of threshold marks, then the candidate is considered as 'Not Yet Fit For Promotion'. The recommendations of Peer Committee are endorsed by the Chairman, RAB and approved by VP, CSIR. Since, Shri Sharma could not obtain the threshold marks, therefore, the Peer Committee did not recommend him for promotion to the next higher level.

3. There is no provision in the CSIRAP Rules 2001 for reconsideration or review after recommendations of Peer Committee has been approved by the Competent Authority except for any procedural lapse. It is stated that there is no procedural lapse in conduct of assessment of Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (retd). Furthermore, it is pertinent to mention that Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist, CSIR-CRRR, New Delhi has not alleged any procedural lapse in the representation. Therefore, the request Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (retd) to review the proceedings for AY 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19 and declare them 'Null and Void' being arbitrary in nature leading to harassment & discrimination of scientific staff cannot be acceded to.

Enclosure

*Dr. Harv*  
12/5/22

*AO*

592

4

Continue from page 292

4. However, CSIR-CRRJ has not provided any comments on the alleged issues of work report, harassment & discrimination by CRRJ authorities as alleged by Shri Sharma. The representation need to be examined and responded by CSIR-CRRJ on these aspects. CSIR-CRRJ may, therefore, examine the representation of Shri Sharma and take appropriate action as per rules. It is again requested that no such representation may be forwarded to CSIR-RAB without initial examination by the concerned Lab./Instt.
5. This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Yours faithfully,  
  
05/15/2022  
(Lokesh Mittar)  
Section Officer, RAB



548

सी एस आई आर - भर्ती एवं मूल्यांकन बोर्ड  
**CSIR - RECRUITMENT & ASSESSMENT BOARD**  
 वैज्ञानिक एवं औद्योगिक अनुसंधान परिषद्  
**Council of Scientific & Industrial Research**



By Speed Post

No.1-2(15)/2014-RAB

Dated 30<sup>th</sup> May, 2022

✓ To,

[Redacted Address]

Sub: Request of Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (retd), CSIR-CRRI to review his assessment from Sr. Principal Scientist to Chief Scientist - reg

Sr,

I am directed to refer to your letter No. CSIR-CRRI letter No.17(75)/FicG/2015-19-PI dated 09.09.2022 forwarding Sworn statement dated 20.03.2022 of Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (retd), CSIR-CRRI.

2. In this regard, reply of RAB communicated vide RAB letter No.1-2(15)/2014-RAB dated 05.05.2022 is stands returned. With regard to the judgment in the case of Dr. Anil Earnest, Scientist, CSIR-4PI, Bangalore, it is stated that CSIR has filed Review Petition in the WP No.30845/2019 (CSIR-4PI & ors. Vs. Dr. Anil Earnest) before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka.

7/6/2022  
 1107/-  
 H. P. S. S. P.  
 Sr. Secy - CSIR-CRRI  
 On leave  
 27/5/22

30/5/2022  
 (Lakshmi Sider)  
 Section Officer, S&F



598

6

सी एस आई आर - भर्ती एवं मूल्यांकन बोर्ड  
**CSIR - RECRUITMENT & ASSESSMENT BOARD**  
 वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान परिषद्  
**Council of Scientific & Industrial Research**

7  
 सांख्यिकीय  
 अंकन प्रणाली

सी.एस.आई.आर.  
 दिनांक: 18.08.2022

सं. 1-2(15)/2014-22

To,  
 Sir,  
 The Director,  
 जी.आर.आर.आई. - वैज्ञानिक एवं औद्योगिक अनुसंधान संस्थान,  
 Central Road Research Inst. (CRR),  
 डा.मथुरा रोड, पो. सी.आर.आर. आई  
 Delhi-Mathura Road, P.O. CRR,  
 नई दिल्ली / New Delhi-110025.

**Subject:** Request of Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist, CSIR-CRR to review the Assessment Promotion from Sr. Principal Scientist to Chief Scientist in the light of amended rules / guidelines of CSIR with all the benefits w.e.f. 01.04.2015 - 11g

I am directed to refer to representation dated 14.06.2022 of Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist, CSIR-CRR addressed to DG, CSIR which is forwarded by Office of DG, CSIR vide its Dy. No 1305 dated 30.06.2022 wherein he has made the following request :-

(a) To quash the decision relating to his assessment as communicated vide :-

- OM No 17(76)/2012-PL dated 05.05.2016 for the Assessment Year 2016-16;
- OM No 17(76)/2013-PL dated 14.06.2016 for the Assessment Year 2016-17;
- OM No 17(76)/PtoC-17-18-PL dated 19.05.2021 (Assessment Year 2017-18); and
- OM No.17(76)/PtoG/18-19-PL dated 03.12.2021 (Assessment Year 2018-19)

On completion of the residency period of 3, 5, 7 & 8 years respectively.

(b) To review the Assessment Promotion of the undersigned from Sr. Principal Scientist to Chief Scientist which in the light of the amended rules / guidelines of CSIR and Judgment of Hon'ble Tribunal's order given in the case of Dr. Anil Ernest, CSIR-CCRI, to extend the benefits of promotion with all benefits w.e.f. 01.04.2015.

2. In his above representation, Shri DC Sharma has alleged the following procedural lapses / non adherence in his assessment. The comments of CSIS-HAD on his allegations are hereunder :-

Sl. No.	Issue	ISAR comments
1.	The Peer Committee has given the APR / PMS marks and assessment was carried out only on the basis of the marks awarded in the work report for the period of assessment by Peer Committee and debared his 'NOT YET FIT FOR PROMOTION' thereby violated the amended guidelines issued by CSIR leading to depriving him assessment promotion to Chief Scientist.	The Peer Committee had conducted the Assessment Meeting in accordance with the procedure laid down in CSIRAP Rules, 2001 (as amended from time to time).

Continued...



599

2

Continued from pre-page

<p>2. The documentary evidence submitted along with representation dated 01.02.2022 clearly explain the reasons and circumstances under which Prof. Satish Chandra, the then Director, CSIR-CRRI and CSIR-CRRI representative in the Peer Committee has deliberately misguided the Peer review Committee for the reasons best known to him and who in turn assured that the undersigned (Sri DC Sharma) shall not be assessed to the post of Chief Scientist.</p>	<p>It is stated that the representation dated 01.02.2022 of Sri DC Sharma was considered by the Competent Authority in accordance with the procedure laid down in CSRAP Rules, 2001 (as amended from time to time) and reply was communicated to CSIR-CRRI vide CSIR-RAB letter No 1-2(10)2014-RAB dated 25.05.2022.</p>
<p>3. The Judgment was given by the Hon'ble CAT, Bangalore Bench, Bangalore (OA No 72/2017) dated 19.03.2019 in the case of Dr. Anil Earnest. Hon'ble Court has given clear Guidelines and Direction that for the Assessment of Scientist, averaging of marks given in the PMS on APARA and marks given by the Peer Committee on the work report, be done to arrive at the Final Marks awarded to the Scientist to decide whether the Scientist has achieved the Threshold Marks for Assessment after the residency period as per guidelines. The Peer Committee should take into account the marks of ACR(PMS) and the Work Reports for the year covered under the residency period be clubbed together and the assessment must be done on the basis of the average of PMS marks and marks awarded on work report by Peer Committee.</p>	<p>In this regard, it is submitted that CSIR has filed Review Petition in the WP No.36646/2019 (CSIR-DR &amp; ors. Vs Dr. Anil Earnest) before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka.</p>

2. It is further stated that Sri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (retd.) CSIR- CRRI was considered for his assessment promotion to the next higher grade as per the following order -

- a) Sri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (retd.) was considered by Peer Committee for his assessment promotion from the post of Sr. Principal Scientist to the post of Chief Scientist by the Peer Committee duly constituted under the provisions of CSRAP Rules 2001(as amended from time to time), in its meeting held on 06.02.2016 for the Assessment Year 2015-16 for sat.sharma. The Peer Committee constituted in the area of "Engineering Science & Technology" after considering his work reports and his APARs for the residency period, awarded 78 marks against requisite threshold of 85 and recommended him Not yet fit for Promotion. The recommendations of Peer Committee was also communicated to CSIR-CRRI vide RAB letter No 1-6(01)2015-16-RAB dated 07.08.2016.
- b) Sri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (retd.) was again considered by Peer Committee for his assessment promotion from the post of Sr. Principal Scientist to the post of Chief Scientist by the Peer Committee duly constituted under the provisions of CSRAP Rules, 2001 (as amended from time to time) . In its meeting held on 29.01.2020 for the Assessment Year 2016-17 for his sc00061238508. The Peer Committee constituted in the area of "Engineering Science & Technology" after considering his work reports and his APARs for the residency period, awarded 72 marks against requisite threshold of 80 and recommended him Not yet fit for Promotion. The recommendations of Peer Committee was also communicated to CSIR-CRRI vide RAB letter No 1-6(01)2016-17-RAB dated 24.04.2020.
- c) Sri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (retd.) was again considered by Peer Committee for his assessment promotion from the post of Sr. Principal Scientist to the post of Chief Scientist by the Peer Committee duly constituted under the provisions of CSRAP Rules, 2001 (as amended from time to time). In its meeting held on 26.03.2021 for the Assessment Year 2017-18 for his 1001\_260321. The Peer Committee constituted in the area of "Engineering Science & Technology" after considering his work reports and his APARs for the residency period, awarded 80 marks against requisite threshold of 72 and recommended him Not yet fit for Promotion. The recommendations of Peer Committee was also communicated to CSIR-CRRI vide RAB letter No. 1-6(01)2017-18-RAB dated 26.04.2021.

Continued -

Continued from pre-page

600

3) Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (std.) was again considered by Peer Committee for his assessment promotion from the post of Sr. Principal Scientist to the post of Chief Scientist by the Peer Committee duly constituted under the provisions of CSRP Rules, 2001 (as amended from time to time) in its meeting held on 23.09.2021 for the Assessment Year 2018-19 for his 5020302020. The Peer Committee constituted in the area of "Engineering Science & Technology" after considering his work reports and his APARs for the residency period, awarded 60 marks against requisite threshold of 70 and recommended him Not yet fit for Promotion. The recommendations of Peer Committee were also communicated to CSIR-CRR vide RAB letter No.1-5(14)2018-19-RAB dated 24.11.2021.

4. In the above context, it is stated that the Peer Committee is a high profile Committee consisting of experts in the broad subject area of the scientists concerned. The area wise Committee is common to all the candidates falling within the subject area. Further, as per CSRP Rules, 2001 (as amended from time to time), the Peer Committee inter alia consists of Director of the concerned Lab / Inst. or his nominee as one of the members. The Committee has the discretion to evolve its own criteria for objective assessment of suitability and merit of the candidates being considered by it. The Peer Committee, based on information / input furnished by the Scientists concerned in the 'Work Report' submitted by him / her for the residency period, collectively assesses the work done and his / her PDS awarded by the Collegiums / Unpowered Committee to the scientist and the Peer Committee in its collective wisdom awards the marks. If the marks obtained by the candidate is equal to or more than the threshold marks as given in Rule 7.3.3 of the CSRP Rules, 2001, as amended vide CSIR Letter No.7-14(2)/2005-RAB dated 12.03.2007, the Committee recommends the candidates as 'Fit for Promotion'. If the marks obtained is falling short by upto two marks for promotion, then the candidate is recommended for 'Promotion deferred by one year'. If the marks obtained by the candidate is below two marks of threshold marks, then the candidate is considered as 'Not Yet Fit for Promotion'. The recommendations of Peer Committee are endorsed by the Chairman, RAB and approved by CSIR.

5. It is further stated that there is no provision in the CSRP Rules 2001 for reconsideration or review after recommendations of Peer Committee has been approved by the Competent Authority except for any procedure above. It is submitted that there is no procedural lapse in conduct of assessment of Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist. Therefore, the request of Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (std.) to review the proceedings for AY 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19 cannot be acceded to.

6. Since the above representation dated 14.06.2022 of Shri DC Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (std.), CSIR-CRR is on the similar grounds, as of his earlier representation dated 01.02.2022, therefore, the reply of CSIR-CRR of his representation dated 01.02.2022, communicated vide CSIR-RAB letter No.1-2(15)2014-RAB dated 05.05.2022 is referred.

7. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours faithfully

(Signature) / (Lokesh Mittal)

अध्यापक अधिकारी, री / Section Officer, RAB

By Speed Post

No. 17(76)/F to G/2018-19-PL

Dated: 09.05.2022

To, \_\_\_\_\_

**Subject: Representation of Sh. D C Sharma, Sr. Pr. Scientist (retd.) regarding his Assessment to the level of 'Chief Scientist' and allegation of discrimination & harassment by Prof. Satish Chandra, former Director, CSIR-CRRI -reg.**

Madam,

I am directed to forward herewith the representation dated 30.03.2022 of Sh. D C Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist (retd.) for further necessary action at your end please. It is also informed that his earlier representation dated 01.02.2022 has already been forwarded to you.

2. It is also informed that Prof. Satish Chandra, former Director, CSIR-CRRI has completed his tenure and relieved on 17.01.2022(A/N) in order to join his parent office i.e. IIT, Roorkee, against whom Sh. D C Sharma has raised the said complaint.

This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority, CSIR-CRRI.

Yours faithfully,

(Chander Kant)  
Section Officer

Encls: Representation dated 30.03.2022 of Sh. D C Sharma, Sr. Pr. Scientist (retd.) of CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi-110025

Annex-II

①

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

CSIR-CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
DELHI-MATHURA ROAD  
NEW DELHI-110 025

No. 17(76)F to G/18-19-PL

Dated: 06.12.2022

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

It is being informed that one retired Senior Principal Scientist of this Institute, namely Sh. Dinesh Chandra Sharma, has raised allegations that the then Director, CRRI (Prof. Satish Chandra) has provided biased adverse opinion in the Peer Committee meeting in which he was being considered for Assessment from Sr. Pr. Scientist to Chief Scientist from AY 2015-2016 to AY 2018-19. Further, Sh. D C Sharma has also alleged that in spite of grading like Outstanding/Excellent / Very Good and his major contributions which have been reflected in his Work Reports, Prof. Satish Chandra (former Director, CSIR-CRRI) has deliberately misguiding the Peer Review Committee and made attempts to deprive him from promotion to the post of Chief Scientist leading to discrimination and harassment.

2. In view of the above allegations and instructions received from CSIR-RAB, the Director, CSIR-CRRI has constituted the following Committee to review the case of Sh. D C Sharma, Sr. Pr. Scientist (Retd.) and submit its report in 30 days time:

- (i) \_\_\_\_\_, Chandigarh
- (ii) \_\_\_\_\_, CRRI, New Delhi
- (iii) \_\_\_\_\_, CRRI, New Delhi

3. This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority, CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi.

(Chander Kant) 06/12/2022  
Section Officer(G)

- To,
- (i) \_\_\_\_\_, Chandigarh
  - (ii) \_\_\_\_\_, CRRI, New Delhi
  - (iii) \_\_\_\_\_, CRRI, New Delhi

Copy to:

- (i) PPS-1, Director, CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi
- (ii) New Director, CSIR-CRRI, Chandigarh
- (iii) PPS-1, CRRI, New Delhi
- (iv) \_\_\_\_\_



2

*By Speed Post*  
Dated: 02.02.2023

17(76)FtoG/18-19-PL

The Head,  
Recruitment and Assessment Board (RAB)  
CSIR Complex, Library Avenue,  
PUSA, New Delhi-110 012

**Sub: Allegations of harassment raised by Sh. D C Sharma, Sr. Pr. Scientist (retd.), CSIR-CRRI on former Director, CRRI - reg.**

Sir,

With reference to CSIR-RAB letters No. 1-2(15)2014-RAB dated 05.05.2022 and dated 16.08.2022, I am directed to inform you that CSIR-RAB vide aforementioned letters, has directed to examine the allegations raised by Sh. D C Sharma, Sr. Principal Scientist, CSIR-CRRI (retired) on former Director, CSIR-CRRI (Prof. Satish Chandra) for deliberately misguiding the Peer Review Committee during the assessment leading to discrimination and harassment.

2. In this regard, it is informed that Director, CSIR-CRRI had constituted a Committee consisting of Chief Scientists of this Institute as well as from outside the Institute. The Committee has examined the case and submitted its report to the Director, CSIR-CRRI. As per the findings of the Committee, the Director, CSIR-CRRI has made the following remarks:

*"On perusing facts and Report submitted by PFC, I am of the opinion that it cannot be corroborated that Prof. Satish Chandra (former Director, CSIR-CRRI) has deliberately misguided the Peer Review Committee and made attempts to deprive Sh. D C Sharma from promotion to the post of Chief Scientist leading to discrimination and harassment as alleged by Sh. D C Sharma in all his representation."*

3. This is for your kind information and further necessary action, if any please.

This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority, CSIR-CRRI.

Yours faithfully,

*(Chander Shekhar)*  
Section Officer (CS)  
2/2/23

1. To the Director, CSIR-CRRI  
2. To the Director, RAB  
3. To the Director, CSIR

## Appeal No.CRRIN/A/E/23/00019

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00019 <b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 20/04/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई-अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/R/E/23/00009 <b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 29/03/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>	<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>	
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b> 110076	
<b>State (राज्य) :</b> Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b> Details not provided	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>	
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b> Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b> Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b> 16332	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b> Details not provided	
<b>Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	मे सीआरआरआई के कार्यालय ज्ञापन संख्या की एक प्रति चाहता हू। 352 84 पीएल दिनांक 28 जनवरी 1988 कृपया मुझे प्रदान करें।

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 19.05.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00019  
To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 20/04/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.NO.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1	Copy of CRR's Office Memorandum no. 1 352 84 PL Date 28, Jan 1988  मैं सीआरआरआई के कार्यालय जापन सख्या की एक प्रति चाहता हू। 1 352 84 पीएल दिनांक 28 जनवरी 1988 कृपया मुझे प्रदान करे	The information sought "clearly falls under the ambit of personal information. Such information is expressly barred from disclosure as per Section – 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act unless the appellant exhibits involvement of public interest."

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9953290998

Enclosures: 1. Reply from PIO (Pages 1)

UKHENT

**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**  
No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**

Dated: 19.05.2023

Subject: First Appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/00019 dated 13.05.2023 received from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur-rcg.

इस अनुभाग में RTI Act, 2005 के अंतर्गत First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00019 दिनांक 13.05.2023 श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर से प्राप्त हुआ है जो उनके RTI CRRIN/R/E/23/0009 दिनांक 29.03.2023 के संदर्भ में है।

2. अपीलकर्ता ने RTI Act, 2005 के अंतर्गत निम्न जानकारी मांगी है :

**Information Sought**

में सीआरआरआई के कार्यालय ज्ञापन संख्या की प्रति चाहता हूँ। 1 352 84 पीएल दिनांक 28 जनवरी 1988 कृपया मुझे प्रदान करें।

3. उपरोक्त संदर्भ में यह सूचित किया जाता है कि इस First Appeal से संबंधित आवेदक के RTI Application में Third Party information होने के कारण आवेदक को उपलब्ध नहीं कारवाई गई थी (प्रति संलग्न है)। साथ ही यह भी सूचित किया जाता है कि श्री लल्लन ठाकुर का दिनांक 01.12.2007 को स्वर्गवास हो चुका है (मृत्यु प्रमाण पत्र संलग्न है)।

3. उपरोक्त मांगी गई सूचना श्री ललन ठाकुर के daily-wage पर नियुक्ति हेतु आदेश है। अतः आरटीआई अधिनियम की धारा 8(1)(j) के अनुसार आवेदक द्वारा मांगी गई सूचना व्यक्तिगत सूचना है। इसके अलावा, इसमें कोई बड़ा जनहित शामिल नहीं है, इसलिए इसे आरटीआई अधिनियम, 2005 की धारा 8(1)(j) के तहत प्रकटीकरण से प्रतिबंधित किया गया है। साथ ही यह भी सूचित किया जाता है कि माननीय CIC द्वारा CRR vs कन्हैया ठाकुर के मामले (संख्या CIC/CRRID/A/2022/129934) में आदेश पारित करते करते हुये यह सूचित किया है "...The joining letter and other letters issued by the respondent authority to Late Shri Lalan Thakur contained personal information of Shri Lalan Thakur which clearly falls under the ambit of personal information. Such information is expressly barred from disclosure as per Section - 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act unless the appellant exhibits involvement of public interest."

Submitted please.

19/05/2023  
निमित्त मिश्रा  
जीएच सचिवालय, दिल्ली (PIA)  
~~CoA, CRRI~~  
~~PIO, CRRI~~  
FAA, CRRI

दिनांक 19/05/23  
वीर मिश्रा  
19/05/2023



Appeal No.CRRIN/A/23/00020

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/A/E/23/00020 RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) : 06/05/2023
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):	CRRIN/R/X/23/00019 RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) : 05/04/2023
Name (नाम) :	Gender (लिंग) : Female
Address (पता) :	
Pin code (पिन कोड) :	560087
State (राज्य) :	Country (देश) : India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Details not provided Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) : Details not provided
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	
Status (स्थिति) :	Urban Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) : Above Graduate
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) : No
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :	Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) : Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided
Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :	Refused access to Information Requested
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	As the reply received, RESPONSES have been given only for Q.6 & 7 and responses have not been given for Q.1 TO Q.5. The query clearly mentions that response is sought from CSIR & its constituent laboratories and CSIR has transferred the RTI to its labs. Hence Q.1 TO Q.5 also pertain to CRRI. HENCE REQUEST FOR CORRECT RESPONSES.

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 05.06.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00020  
To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 06/05/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO HOD (PME) Division & PIO Accounts Section and the reply received is as below:

S.NO.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1	Questions related to 1 to 5	Information is not available with the PIO , HOD( PME) Enclosed page 2.
1	Questions related to 1 to 5	Information from PIO, Accounts Section is still awaited, will be sent shortly by Email as soon as it received.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9953290998

Enclosures: 1. Reply from PIO (Pages 1)

Reply from PIO



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00020


Dated: 25/05/2023

Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference Number as Above Received Online on 06/05/2023

It is requested to give a suitable Point Wise Reply to the above RTI First Appeal by Ms. Neha Kumari, within Five days ( 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2023) under the RTI Act, 2005

Ms. Neha Kumari appealed to the FAA (enclosed as an Annexure-I) against the RTI CRRIN/R/X/23/00019, dated 05/04/2023. Kindly give the reply within Five (5) working days from the date of issue of this letter failing which further action will be initiated.

It would be worthy to highlight hereunder the relevant provision of the act, u/s 5(4) and 5(5) that makes every person involved in the chain of processing information under RTI Act, responsible for the delay or non-provision of information considered eligible under this act. As per the provisions of the Act, u/s 20(1), non-compliance of submission of information within the time specified under sub-section (1) of the section 7 or misleadingly denied the request for information or knowingly given incorrect / incomplete or misleading information, shall impose a penalty of Rs.250/- each day till information is furnished, on the officer responsible for the same. The information may be provided after approval from competent authority.

  
(Dr Kayitha Ravinder)  
First Appellate Authority  
& Chief Scientist

Sr.Co (F & A :)


✓ HOD (PME)

CPIO for information and kindly send the related files photocopy

(CoA) for information

Reply from CRRI (PME)

1. W.r.t. CSR no information is available in PME-CRRI.
2. Information not available with PME.
3. Information may be obtained from CSR HQ.
4. Not available with PME
5. Not available with PME.
6. Info. not available.
7. Info. not available.

  
HOD, PME  
PAA (CRRI)

  
21/6/2023

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/A/E/23/00021 RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) : 15/05/2023
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/R/E/23/00010 RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) : 27/04/2023
Name (नाम) :	Gender (लिंग) : Male
Address (पता) :	
Pin code (पिन कोड) : 282004	
State (राज्य) : Uttar Pradesh	Country (देश) : India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Mobile Number (मोबाइल नंबर) :
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	
Status (स्थिति) : Urban	Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) : Above Graduate
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) : Indian	Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) : No
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) : 42642	Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) : Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided
Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :	Refused access to Information Requested
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Because the information sought has been not made available to the appellant on legally impermissible grounds.</li> <li>2. Because the UP Expressway Industrial Development Authority (UPEIDA) is an authority established by the State Government under the UP Industrial Area Development Act, 1976 and as such is a Government body and not a private entity.</li> <li>3. Because the Road Safety Audit Report and the suggestions and recommendations were made by CRRRI to improve the safety on the Agra Lucknow Expressway.</li> <li>4. Because the public interest requires that the report given by the CRRRI to the UPEIDA should be disclosed as the larger public interest so warrants the disclosure.</li> <li>5. Because the above Road Safety Audit Report by the CRRRI was obtained by UPEIDA by spending public money and therefore the public interest prevails, requiring disclosure of the information.</li> <li>6. Because avoiding accidents and following the advice and suggestions, given by CRRRI, is of prime importance and that is possible only when there is a disclosure-only report by the CRRRI.</li> <li>7. Because no clause of the RTI Act, exempting CRRRI from disclosing information about the Road Safety Report, is applicable in the peculiar fact of the present case.</li> <li>8. Because in view of the facts and circumstances, this appeal deserves to be allowed and the information sought should be made available to the appellant.</li> </ol>



Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 14.06.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00021  
To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 15/05/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO HOD (TES) Division and the reply received is as below:

S.NO.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1	Sharing of the Road Safety Audit Report by the CRR, where the client was UP Expressway Industrial Development Authority (UPEIDA)	Point wise reply given by the PIO is enclosed in pages 2 to 4 . Further, PIO written an email to get the consent to share the report, reply from UPEIDA is awaited, as and when the reply received from UPEIDA it is will be communicated to the applicant.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9953290998

Enclosures: 1. Reply from PIO (Pages 2)  
2. Email Sent ( Pages 1)

Reply from PIO

Traffic Engineering and Safety Division

Dated: 14/06/2023

Reply to letter No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00021 Dated 01/06/2023



Subject: RTI-First Appeal Reference Number as Above Received Online on 15/05/2023



S.N.	Quarry	Reply
1	Because the information sought has been not made available to the appellant on legally impermissible grounds.	As a policy matter we are not authorised to provide the information related to our clients to the third part.
2	Because the UP Expressway Industrial Development Authority (UPEIDA) is an authority established by the State Government under the UP Industrial Area Development Act, 1976 and as such is a Government body and not a private entity.	For CRR, (UPEIDA) is a client and as a policy matter we are not allowed to impart client's property to a third party. Hence the RTI is referred (as per prevailing RTI norms) to UPEIDA. It is for UPEIDA to share or not to share the same.
3	Because the Road Safety Audit Report and the suggestions and recommendations were made by CRR to improve the safety on the Agra Lucknow Expressway.	Safety audit was done by CRR as consultancy assignment for UPEIDA, and the ownership of the report is with UPEIDA.
4	Because the public interest requires that the report given by the CRR to the UPEIDA should be disclosed as the larger public interest so warrants the disclosure.	It is in the purview of UPEIDA, not CRR to disclose the report in the larger public interest
5	Because the above Road Safety Audit Report by the CRR was obtained by UPEIDA by spending public money and therefore the public interest prevails, requiring disclosure of the information.	It is in the purview of UPEIDA not CRR to disclose the report in the larger public interest as the report was prepared by CRR for UPEIDA as a consultancy assignment.
6	Because avoiding accidents and following the advice and suggestions, given by CRR, is of prime importance and that is possible only when there is a disclosure-only report by the CRR.	It is for UPEIDA to accept or not and follow and act upon the advice and suggestions, given by CRR and disclose the same or not.
7	Because no clause of the RTI Act, exempting CRR from disclosing information about the Road Safety Report, is applicable in the peculiar fact of the present case.	As a policy and understanding with the client, CRR can not disclose the information about the outcome of the work given by the client to CRR without the consent of the client.
8	Because in view of the facts and circumstances, this appeal deserves to be allowed and the information sought should be made available to the appellant.	Keeping the above in view the information sought cannot be made available to the appellant by CRR.

It is to further inform that we have sent email and letter and has sent the copy of the RTI to the client for giving us permission to share the required information or reply the RTI themselves. The reply from the client/UPEIDA is awaited. In view of the

above it is again advised to forward the RTI application of Mr. Kishan Chand Jain to the client (UPEIDA) or he may be directed to ask the above information regarding the RSA report and copy of the report from Chief Engineer, Uttar Pradesh Expressways Industrial Development Authority (UPEIDA), Lucknow as suggested earlier in our reply to the RTI to CPIO, CRRRI dated 11.05.2023. (Address of the client attached already attached with our reply to CPIO).

\* Copy of the email attached -

<p> 14/06/2023 Subhash Chand Sr. Principal Scientist &amp; PL</p>	<p> 14/06/2023 Dr. A. Mohan Rao Sr. Principal Scientist &amp; (Discuss over phone) Team Member <i>(Discuss over phone and email)</i></p>
--	--

  
14/06/2023  
for HOD, TES  
  
14/06/2023  
First Appellate Authority

## Email Sent

**From:** "Subhash Chand" <sc\_200@ncr.ri>  
**To:** "k. sponk" <k.sponk@upeda.com>, "on sponk" <on.sponk@upeda.com>, "Technical Cell UPEIDA" <tc@upeda.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 9, 2023 11:50:48 AM  
**Subject:** RTI related to Road Safety Audit of Agra Lucknow Expressway conducted by CRR

### Most Urgent

Dear Sir,

CRR-Central Road Research Institute, New Delhi has conducted Road Safety Audit (RSA) of Agra Lucknow Expressway in the year 2018 and has submitted its report to UPEIDA in June 2018. The RSA study was sponsored by UPEIDA. We are in receipt of an RTI (**copy attached**) from Mr Kishan Chand Jain from Agra asking us to provide information related to RSA findings and the copy of report. We have sent the reply of RTI through our CPIO (**copy attached**) stating that we can not provide required information as its ownership is with the client (UPEIDA). However the appellant has further appealed to our First Appellate Authority (Copy attached). In view of the above you are requested to provide us your standview point whether you allow or not allow CRR (being a consultant of UPEIDA for conduct of above RSA study) to directly provide the required information and copy of the RSA report to the RTI appellant. If you allow, kindly provide necessary permission/ no objection to CRR to share/impart the required information on the subject matter to the RTI appellant. Alternatively we are forwarding the RTI to UPEIDA for further necessary action at your end as deemed fit.

### Regards,

---

Subhash Chand  
Senior Principal Scientist & PL,  
Traffic Engineering and Safety Division,  
CRR-Central Road Research Institute (C.R.R.I.),  
Mall Road, New Delhi-110025, INDIA.



## Appeal No.CRRIN/A/E/23/00022

RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)	
Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/A/E/23/00022 RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) : 21/05/2023
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/R/T/23/00021 RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) : 15/05/2023
Name (नाम) :	Gender (लिंग) : Male
Address (पता) :	
Pin code (पिन कोड) :	110076
State (राज्य) :	Delhi Country (देश) : India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	
Status (स्थिति) :	Urban Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) : Yes
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :	42642 Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) : Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided
Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :	Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	mujhe pradhan mantree kaaryaalay se pooree jaanakaaree chaahie sankhya kee pooree jaanakaaree den dinaank 28 8 2021 se 2023 hamen pooree jaanakaaree chaahie ki kya kaarravaee kee gaee ha

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 20.06.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00022  
To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 21/05/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO SO (PC) Division and the reply received is as below:

S.NO.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1	"I want full details from Prime Minister Office give me full details of number date 28 8 202 to 2023 we need full details what action has been taken"	Reply given by the PIO is enclosed in page 2. " it has been found that the information asked by the applicant is not clear"

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9953290998

Enclosures: 1. Reply from PIO (Page 2)

Reply from PIO

F.No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL

PERSONNEL CELL  
CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi

Dated: 16.06.2023

Sub: FAANo. CRRI/A/E/23/00022 dated: 21.05.2023 (Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur) under RTI-Act 2005

With reference to FAA Application No. CRRI/A/E/23/00022 dated: 21.05.2023 received from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur seeking following information under RTI-Act 2005:

"I want full details from prime minister office give me full details of number date 28 8 2021 to 2023 we need full details what action has been taken"

2. On perusal of the First Appeal Application submitted by the applicant Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur (placed below as PUC), it has been found that the information asked by the applicant is not clear.

3. In view thereof, if agreed, the appellant may be requested to ask clearly the information that he desires.

4. CPIO & FAA, CRRI is requested to kindly do the needful please.

16/6/2023  
SO/PT

प्रतिभा 321  
16/06/23

CPIO, CRRI

FAA-CRRI

Received on 19/6/2023  
19/6/2023

## Appeal No.CRRIN/A/E/23/00023

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/A/E/23/00023 RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) : 21/05/2023
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/R/T/23/00020 RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) : 15/05/2023
Name (नाम) :	Gender (लिंग) : Male
Address (पता) :	
Pin code (पिन कोड) : 110076	
State (राज्य) : Delhi	Country (देश) : India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	
Status (स्थिति) : Urban	Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) : Indian	Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) : Yes
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) : 42642	Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) : Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided
Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	Kindly give complete details of dairy number MORTH E 2021 12090 dated 8 10 2021



Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 20.06.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00023  
To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 21/05/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO SO (PC) Division and the reply received is as below:

S.NO.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1	"Kindly give complete details of dairy number MORTH E 2021 12090 dated 8 10 2021 "	Reply given by the PIO is enclosed in page 2. " it has been found that reference of MORTH Diary number made by the applicant is not available in this section."

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9953290998

Enclosures: 1. Reply from PIO (Page 2)

Reply from PIO

F.No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL.  
**PERSONNEL CELL**  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**


Dated: 16.06.2023


Sub: FAANo. CRR/FA/E/23/00023 dated: 21.05.2023 (Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur) under RTI-Act 2005

With reference to FAA Application No. CRR/FA/E/23/00023 dated: 21.05.2023 received from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur seeking following information under RTI-Act 2005:

"Kindly give complete details of dairy number MORTH E 2021 12090 dated 8 10 2021"

2. On perusal of the First Appeal Application submitted by the applicant Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur (placed below as PUC), it has been found that the reference of MORTH Dairy number made by the applicant is not available in this section.
3. In view thereof, if agreed, the appellant may be informed about the same and the applicant may be requested to provide the copy of the said letter, so that status of the said document can be informed, if available in this office.
4. CPIO & FAA, CRRI is requested to kindly do the needful please.


  
16/6/23  
SOPT

  
16/06/23

CPIO, CRRI

FAA, CRRI

Received on 19/6/2023

  
19/6/2023

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00024 <b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 04/07/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/R/E/23/00014 <b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 07/06/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>	<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>	
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b> 110065	
<b>State (राज्य) :</b> Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b> +91-.....
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>	
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b> Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b> Above Graduate
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b> Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> No
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b> 16332	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	The Information sought has not been provided. It has been informed that information pertaining to point no. 5 is exempted under section 8(1) (j) of RTI Act, which is not accepted. Information sought in point no. 5 is not at all is personal information, but related to official proceedings only, where the service rules provisions have been violated. Hence, may please be provided. Also, the copy of the CSIR letters mentioned in the reply to point no 1, 2 and 3 may also be provided please, since information sought could not be find at the given reference. Name of the employees whose extension cases were approved during 2019 - 2021 may please be given.



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 03.08.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00024  
To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 04/07/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO SO (E-I) Section and the reply received is as below:

S.NO.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1	"Name of the employees whose extension cases were approved during 2019 - 2021 may please be given"	"A. K. Dhal, J .K.Goyal, Nitesh Goyal, A.K.Sabharwal" Further, detailed reply given by the PIO is enclosed in page 2.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9953290998

Enclosures: 1. Reply from PIO (Page 2)



Reply from PIO

Ref: RTI Appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/0024 dated 04.07.2023 of Sh. Ajay Kumar Jain

With reference to the appeal of Sh. Ajay Kumar Jain following are submitted:

Para No.	RTI	Appeal	Reply
1	Copy of extant service rule regarding total period of deputation in entire service life.	CSIR letters mentioned in the reply to Point 1,2,3 may also be provided please, since the information sought could not be find at the given reference.	The information is available in public domain at CSIR website vide notifications dated 29.05.2020 and 30.03.2011. the same may be downloaded.
2	Copy of order for delegation of powers to the authorities of Govt. of India/CSIR for relaxing the service rule.		
3	Copy of the order for powers delegated to CSIR-CRRI authorities for relaxing the said service rules.		
4	Name of deputationist from CSIR-CRRI whose extension cases had been processed and approved during 2019-2021	Name of employees whose extension cases were approved during 2019-2021 may please be given	AK Dhal, JK Goyal, Nitesh Goyal, AK Sabharwal
5	Copy of notesheets relevant to point No. 4 above	Information pertaining to point No. 5 is exempted under section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act, is not accepted. Information sought in Point No. 5 is not at all is personal information, but related to official proceedings only, where the service rules provisions have been violated. Hence, may please be provided.	The applicant has sought the copies of file notings related with decisions taken on requests of some employees regarding extension of their deputation tenure. The information sought is personal in nature as it is primarily a matter between the employee and employer and should be disclosed only if it would serve a larger public interest, as per DoPT OM No. 11/2/2013-IR(Pt.) dated 14 <sup>th</sup> August,2013 regarding "Disclosure of personal information under the RTI Act,2005".

If approved, the same may be communicated to First Appellate Authority for onward transmission to the applicant Sh. Ajay Kumar Jain.

SO  
CMA  
RVC  
308  
2023  
3/8/23

Seenu  
3/8/2023

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00025 <b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 01/08/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/R/X/23/00027 <b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 12/07/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>	<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>	
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	<b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	Details not provided <b>Mobile Number (मोबाइल नंबर) :</b>
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>	- -
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b> Rural	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b> Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> No
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b> 42642	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>Ground for Appeal (अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	Dear sir With referenc to above RIT's point no. 3 &4 you replied "Point 3 & 4 : Since, the roster for ASO through Department Test Quota is maintained at CSIR Hqrts, therefore the information is not available with CSIR-CRRI". But this is not true, as all other Labs are providing data for point no. 3 & 4, roster is maintained by the HQrs but the no. of posts againt DTQ is fixed in your lab, which 25% of the total santonned strength of ASOs in your lab. You simply have to give data about the vacant positions against DTQ in your Lab.

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 25.08.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00025  
To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 01/08/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO SO (Personel) Section and the reply received is as below:

S.NO.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1	"Roster is maintained by the HQrs but the no. of posts against DTQ is fixed in your lab, which 25% of the total sanctioned strength of ASOs in your lab. You simply have to give data about the vacant positions against DTQ in your Lab"	"It is informed that the roster of ASO (through DTQ mode) is maintained at CSIR Hqrs as per CSIR Circulars No.3-6(e)/2022-E1(RC) dated 26.08.2022 and 01.12.2022. Therefore, vacancy as per roster points are not available in this office." Further, detailed reply given by the PIO is enclosed in page 2 for your reference.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9953290998

Enclosures: 1. Reply from PIO (Page 2)

Reply from PIO

F.No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**  
CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi

Dated: 11.08.2023

**Sub: FAANO. CRR/A/E/23/00025 dated: 01.08.2023 (Sh. Amit Chaudhary) under RTI-Act 2005**

With reference to FAA Application No. CRR/A/E/23/00025 dated: 01.08.2023 received from Sh. Amit Chaudhary under RTI-Act 2005 against his RTI Application No. CRRIN/R/X/23/0002 dated 12.07.2023.

The appellant has sought the following information

- i. Provide the Vacancy Position of the post of ASO(G/F&A/S&P) for Departmental Test Quota (DTQ) as on 01.01.2023.
- ii. Provide the Vacancy Position of the post of ASO(G/F&A/S&P) for Departmental Test Quota (DTQ) as on 01.01.2024.

2. On perusal of the First Appeal Application submitted by the appellant, following information can be provided to the appellant:

(i) Vacancy position (as on 01.01.2023) of ASO (through DTQ) as per the incumbent available in CRRRI is as under:

ASO(G) : 03 ASO(F&A): 01 ASO(S&P): Nil

However, it is informed that the roster of ASO (through DTQ mode) is maintained at CSIR Hqs as per CSIR Circulars No.3-6(e)/2022-E1(RC) dated 26.08.2022 and 01.12.2022. Therefore, vacancy as per roster points are not available in this office.

(ii) Vacancy Position as on 01.01.2024 is not available as on date. Since, the public authority under the RTI Act is not supposed to create information; or to interpret information; or to solve the problems raised by the applicants; or to furnish replies to hypothetical questions. Only such information can be had under the Act which already exists with the public authority.

3. The FAA, CRRRI is requested to do the needful. This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority, CRRRI.

  
11/8/2023

SO(P)- on leave  
COA, CRRRI  
FAA, CRRRI

  
11/08/2023



## Appeal No.CRRIN/A/E/23/00026

RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)	
Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/A/E/23/00026 RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) : 26/10/2023
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या) :	CRRIN/R/E/23/00019 RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) : 11/10/2023
Name (नाम) :	Gender (लिंग) : Male
Address (पता) :	
Pin code (पिन कोड) :	
State (राज्य) :	Country (देश) : India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	
Status (स्थिति) : Urban	Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) : Indian	Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) : No
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) : 54100	Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision (सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) : Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided
Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	Dear Ma am Sir I Kanhiya Thakur S o Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me the details of recruitment vacancies in CRRRI from Date 1 1 1994 till 1 10 2023

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 20.11.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00026  
To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 26/10/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO SO (Personel) Section and the reply received is as below:

S.NO.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO ( Page 2)
1	I Kanhiya Thakur s o Late Lallan Thakur request you to provide me the details of recruitment vacancies in CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00026 from date 1 1 1 1994 till 1 10 2023.	The information sought by the applicant is not specific and thus the same is scattered in various files and thus not available in the form as sought by the applicant. As per section 7(9) of the RTI Act, providing the desired information will involve diversion of scarce manpower resources of the Institute. Keeping this in view, the applicant may be requested to ask for details for a specific post and/or year. Further, the applicant may be requested to visit the office on a mutually convenient date for inspecting the desired information  Further, detailed reply given by the PIO is enclosed in page 2 for your reference.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9953290998

Enclosures: 1. Reply from PIO (Page 2)



<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>	
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00027 <b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 07/11/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/E/23/00021 <b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 21/10/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>	<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>	
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	222201
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	<b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>	
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Rural <b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b> Above Graduate
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian <b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> No
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332 <b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	The project on-Development and Design of Thin Stone Matrix Asphalt (TSMA) Mixes as Preventive Maintenance Treatment has been completed by CRRRI and the report was published, as mentioned was in Highway Research Record Number 38 (General Report on Road Research Work Done in India During 2010-11). Kindly share the mentioned report.  The RTI report mentioned -As the reply received from concerned Division, it is informed that the report mentioned in the above said RTI is not available in CSIR-CRRRI.



As aforementioned the project was carried out by CSIR-CRRI, Please look into the matter and share the report.

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 05.12.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRINA/E/23/00027  
To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 07/11/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO, Head (FP Division) and the reply received is as below:

S.NO.	Your Queries	Reply to Your Queries as received from PIO (Page 2)
1	<p>The project on-Development and Design of Thin Stone Matrix Asphalt (T SMA) Mixes as Preventive Maintenance Treatment has been completed by CRR I and the report was published, as mentioned was in Highway Research Record Number 38 (General Report on Road Research Work Done in India During 2010-11). Kindly share the mentioned report.</p> <p>The RTI report mentioned -As the reply received from concerned Division, it is informed that the report mentioned in the above said RTI is not available in CSIR-CRRI. As aforementioned the project was carried out by CSIR-CRRI, Please look into the matter and share the report</p>	<p>The reply received from PIO is " The above said project is more than 10 years, as out come of the above project a research paper was published. The same is enclosed herewith for your kind reference /information"</p> <p>Further, if the appellant is not satisfied with the reply, it is requested to visit the office to a meeting with the PIO.</p>

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186538  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9953290998

Enclosures: 1. Reply from PIO



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 20/11/2023

No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00027

Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference Number as Above Received Online on 07/11/2023

It is requested to give a suitable Point Wise Reply to the above RTI First Appeal by Shri Akant Yadav, within Five days ( 24<sup>th</sup> November 2023) under the RTI Act, 2005

Shri Akant Yadav appealed to the FAA (enclosed as an Annexure-I) against the RTI CRRIN/R/E/23/00021, dated 21/10/2023. Kindly give the reply within Five (5) working days from the date of issue of this letter failing which further action will be initiated.

It would be worthy to highlight hereunder the relevant provision of the act, u/s 5(4) and 5(5) that makes every person involved in the chain of processing information under RTI Act, responsible for the delay or non-provision of information considered eligible under this act. As per the provisions of the Act, u/s 20(1), non-compliance of submission of information within the time specified under sub-section (1) of the section 7 or mala fide denied the request for information or knowingly given incorrect / incomplete or misleading information, shall impose a penalty of Rs.250/- each day till information is furnished, on the officer responsible for the same. The information may be provided after approval from competent authority.

(Dr Kayitha Ravinder)

Chief Scientist & First Appellate Authority

HOD (FP) / HOD (PED)

CPIO for information and kindly send the related files photocopy

The report asked in this appeal is not available in the division, as the concerned Scientist (Dr P. X Jain) has retired.

Recd.

21/11/23

HOD (PmG) may pls indicate to whom the files were transferred (before retirement), so that the report may be looked into those files. Please treat this as urgent.

Amrta  
21/11/23

HOD (PmG) Sh. Anshul Srivastava Head HOD (FP)

Above report belongs to OIA-0451, where  
HOD (FP) (Dr. Anshul Srivastava) 21/11/23

Discussed with <sup>C.</sup> Kamranj. is on leave.  
He is saying that report is not available  
in CAP as Dr. P. K. Jain, PL has been  
retired long back.

Jali  
24/11/23

HOD (FPD)   
26/11/23  
Kishaly

~~CCPD~~ Dr. K. Raghinder (First Appellate Authority)

Received  
  
24/11/2023 3:45 pm

Dear Dr. Kamranj please please see any information  
is available about the said subject.

25/11/2023  
Dr. K. Raghinder  
FAA.

Dr. Kamranj, FP Division

The above said project is more than  
10 years old as out come of the ~~at~~ above project  
a research paper was published. The same  
is enclosed herewith for your kind reference/  
information.

28/11/23  
(Dr. C. Kamranj)

Dr. K. Raghinder, FAA.

The information received is placed below  
for your reference

Received   
30/11/2023  
3:45 pm

28/11/2023  
FAA, CDR-CCD



## LABORATORY INVESTIGATION ON THE PERFORMANCE OF WARM MIX BASED THIN STONE MATRIX ASPHALT MIX FOR URBAN ROADS

*C.Kamaraj, M.N.Naghabhushana, P.K.Jain, B.M.Sharma and S.Gangopadhyay, Central Road Research Institute, New Delhi, India*

*Prashant and M.S.Amarnath, Department of Civil Engineering, Bangalore University, Bangalore, India*

---

### ABSTRACT

SMA offers improved functional characteristics over conventional dense graded bituminous mixes (DBM and BC). The use of 9.5 mm and 4.75 mm nominal size aggregate in bituminous mixes has been an attractive alternative to highway profession because of their potential use as preventive maintenance treatment on urban roads to restrict thickness and save aggregates. The key element of investigation is their modeling to a mix in thin as well as ultra thin layers to minimize usage of such material and better performance on roads there as well as ultra thin SMA is one option. The development of Thin Stone Matrix Asphalt (TSMA) is the need of the day specifically for maintenance of city roads, where raise in pavement thickness cannot be afforded. Various performance based test like indirect tensile stiffness modulus and dynamic creep modulus of thin SMA mix was evaluated.

---

### INTRODUCTION

SMA was first used in Europe as a mixture that would resist the wear of studded tires, however, an additional benefit found with SMA was that it was highly rut resistant. SMA is a gap graded bituminous mixture which is proved to be durable having high skid resistance and has been successfully used in many parts of the world. SMA offers improved functional characteristics over conventional dense graded bituminous mixes (DBM and BC). The use of 9.5 mm and 4.75 mm nominal size aggregate in bituminous mixes has been an attractive alternative to highway profession because of their potential use as preventive maintenance treatment on urban roads to restrict thickness and save aggregates. The key element of investigation is their modeling to a mix in thin as well as ultra thin layers to minimize usage of such material and better performance on roads there as well as ultra thin SMA is one option. Stone Matrix Asphalt (SMA) was initially developed in the 60's in Germany, by Zinchner of the strabag-Bau AG Central laboratory, to prevent damage to roads caused by vehicles fitted with spiked tyres. At the same time, such types of surfacing course should also guarantee stability under heavy traffic and at high temperatures. SMA consists of a gap graded mineral mix, bitumen or modified bitumen as binder and stabilizing additives. The key differences of SMA to conventional dense graded bituminous mixes are:

- (i) Very high content of crushed coarse aggregate
- (ii) Gap-grading
- (iii) High bitumen contents
- (iv) Thick mortar films, stabilized with drain-inhibiting additives

SMA principally is the mineral aggregate composition (gap-graded) which gives a coarse and firm skeleton of coarse aggregates. The stresses imposed by traffic loads can thus be

absorbed by coarse aggregates and recovered by the stone-on-stone contact in the aggregate skeleton. Thus, there is always be sufficient space for higher amounts of mortar, rich in bitumen and stabilized with additives. These bind the crushed aggregate skeleton into a durable, firm layer and limit the void contents to the desired level. The development of Thin Stone Matrix Asphalt (TSMA) is the need of the day specifically for maintenance of city roads, where raise in pavement thickness can not be afforded.

## EXPERIMENTAL

A detailed laboratory investigation has been carried out on constituent materials used for production of TSMA, which included Delhi quartzite of 9.5 mm nominal sized stone aggregate, stone dust, lime as mineral filler, cellulose fibre in the form of pellets as stabilizing additives and polymer modified bitumen. Marshall method of mix design was adopted, wherein the optimum binder content was calculated based on the criterion of 4.5% air voids in the mix and voids in mineral aggregates at minimum 17 percent. The laboratory performance tests like Indirect Tensile Modulus test using UTM-16 and Dynamic Creep test using UTM-19 were also conducted on TSMA mixtures. Also, an attempt was made using a warm mix additive mixed into the polymer modified bitumen to make special polymer modified bitumen (SPMB) to reduce the compaction temperature to achieve energy efficiency during construction of TSMA.

This paper brings out the laboratory test results of TSMA compacted at various compaction temperature and discusses the potential use of TSMA as an alternative surface layer for urban roads.

### Design of thin stone matrix asphalt mixtures

- (a) **Constituent Materials:** Delhi quartzite aggregate with nominal size of 9.5 mm, crushed stone dust, hydrated lime, appropriate stabilizing additive, conventional PMB-40 and Special PMB are used as constituent material for design of warm TSMA. The properties of binders and aggregates used for design of SMA are given in Table 1 and 2 respectively.

Table 1: Properties of VG-30 Paving Grade Bitumen

Properties	Unit	Test Method	Test Values	Permissible Values
Penetration at 25 0C	0.1mm	IS 1203:1978	40	60-70
Softening Point, R&B	0 C	IS 1205:1978	65	55
Flash point		IS 1448	230	220
Ductility, minimum	Cm	IS 1208:1978	55	50
Viscosity, at 60°C	Poise	IS 1206:1978	3006	min 2400
	GST	IS 1206:1978	325	max 350

absorbed by coarse aggregates and recovered by the stone-on-stone contact in the aggregate skeleton. Thus, there is always be sufficient space for higher amounts of mortar, rich in bitumen and stabilized with additives. These bind the crushed aggregate skeleton into a durable, firm layer and limit the void contents to the desired level. The development of Thin Stone Matrix Asphalt (TSMA) is the need of the day specifically for maintenance of city roads, where raise in pavement thickness can not be afforded.

## EXPERIMENTAL

A detailed laboratory investigation has been carried out on constituent materials used for production of TSMA, which included Delhi quartzite of 9.5 mm nominal sized stone aggregate, stone dust, lime as mineral filler, cellulose fibre in the form of pellets as stabilizing additives and polymer modified bitumen. Marshall method of mix design was adopted, wherein the optimum binder content was calculated based on the criterion of 4.5% air voids in the mix and voids in mineral aggregates at minimum 17 percent. The laboratory performance tests like Indirect Tensile Modulus test using UTM-16 and Dynamic Creep test using UTM-19 were also conducted on TSMA mixtures. Also, an attempt was made using a warm mix additive mixed into the polymer modified bitumen to make special polymer modified bitumen (SPMB) to reduce the compaction temperature to achieve energy efficiency during construction of TSMA.

This paper brings out the laboratory test results of TSMA compacted at various compaction temperature and discusses the potential use of TSMA as an alternative surface layer for urban roads.

### Design of thin stone matrix asphalt mixtures

- (a) **Constituent Materials:** Delhi quartzite aggregate with nominal size of 9.5 mm, crushed stone dust, hydrated lime, appropriate stabilizing additive, conventional PMB-40 and Special PMB are used as constituent material for design of warm TSMA. The properties of binders and aggregates used for design of SMA are given in Table 1 and 2 respectively.

Table 1: Properties of VG-30 Paving Grade Bitumen

Properties	Unit	Test Method	Test Values	Permissible Values
Penetration at 25 0C	0.1mm	IS 1203:1978	40	60-70
Softening Point, R&B	0 C	IS 1205:1978	65	55
Flash point		IS 1448	230	220
Ductility, minimum	Cm	IS 1208:1978	55	50
Viscosity, at 60°C	Poise	IS 1206:1978	3006	min 2400
	GST	IS 1206:1978	325	max 350

Table 2: Properties of Aggregates

Properties	Unit	Method of Test	Test Value	Permissible Values
Aggregate Impact Value	%	IS: 2386(IV)	18	20
Flakiness and Elongation Indices (combined)	%	IS: 2386(I)	28	30
Polished Stone Value	%	BS: 812(114)	60	50
Soundness with Sodium Sulphate	%	IS: 2386(Part-3)	2	12
Water Absorption	%	IS: 2386(Part-3)	0.2	1
Water Sensitivity in terms of Tensile Strength Ratio(TSR)	%	AASHTO-T-283	82	>80

- (b) **Gradation of Aggregates:** Gradation of aggregates is one of the most important factors for design of TSMA mix. To achieve the specified TSMA grading, various constituent materials viz. 80 percent of 9.5 mm nominal size aggregate, 10 percent of crushed stone dust and 10 percent of hydrated lime were mixed together to get the desired grading of TSMA. The adopted gradation of mix for SMA in the present study is given in Figure1.




Figure 1: Adopted Gradations for Design of TSMA Mixtures



Table.3 Composition of Thin Stone Matrix asphalt (TSMA)

Property	Criterion	
Course where used	Binder Course	
Nominal Size of Aggregate	9.5 mm	
Nominal Layer Thickness	15 mm	Adopted Grading
IS Sieve (mm)	Limits	Percent Passing by weight
13.2	100	100
9.5	90	90-100
6.3	52	30-55
4.75	35	20-40
2.36	20	15-28
1.18	17	13-24
0.6	14	12-21
0.3	12	10-18
0.15	11	9-14
0.075	7	8-12

The requirements of SMA mix as given by Indian Roads Congress (IRC) are given in Table – 4.

Table.4 SMA Mix Requirements

Mix Design Parameters	Requirement
Air Voids content, percent	4-5
Bitumen content by weight of mix, percent	5.8 (minimum)
Voids in mineral aggregate (VMA), percent	17 (minimum)
VCA mix, percent	Less than VCA (dry rodded)
Tensile strength Ratio (TSR) Percent	80 (minimum)
Drain down, percent	Less than 0.30

(c) **Stabilizing Additives:** In this study, cellulose fiber in pellet form were used as additive to prevent drain down of bitumen. The additive increases the binder viscosity at high temperature and prevents drain down of binder from the mix. Cellulose fibers provide the highest level of binder reinforcement, exceptionally high surface area and resistance to drain down at high temperature.

(d) **Warm Mix Additive:** Additive performing twin functions (i) adhesion promoter (ii) reduced combustion temperature is used.

## Procedure: design of stone matrix asphalt

### Determination of voids in coarse aggregate (dry rodded method)

The voids in coarse aggregate (VCA) are determined by compacting the aggregate by dry-rodded method according to AASHTO T-19. The acronym "VCA<sub>DRC</sub>" is used to indicate the voids in coarse aggregate fraction in the dry-rodded condition. This value is used for the determination of stone-to-stone contact in SMA mixture and is calculated as follows:

$$VCA_{DRC} = \frac{(G_{sb} Y_w - Y_s)}{G_{sb} Y_w}$$

Where

$G_{sb}$  = bulk specific gravity of coarse aggregate, (Kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

$Y_w$  = density of water (kg/m<sup>3</sup>), and

$Y_s$  = unit weight of aggregate in dry- rodded condition (kg/m<sup>3</sup>).

The value of  $VCA_{calc}$  for coarse aggregate fraction is found to be 46%.

### SMA mix design by Marshall method

Marshall mix design method is performed to determine the Optimum Binder Content (OBC) of SMA Mix. The mix is designed to sustain heavy traffic using 50 blows by Marshall Method at different binder contents viz = 5.0, 5.5, 6.0 and 6.5 percent by the weight of mix respectively. Mix was prepared using warm mix additive (Reset) modified (bitumen: Special Polymer Modified Bitumen (SPMB)) at different compaction temperature viz: 110°C, 135°C, 145°C and 160°C. SMA mixtures were prepared using stabilizing additives using dosage of 0.3 percent by weight of total mixture. The samples were tested for bulk specific gravity ( $G_{mb}$ ) as per AASHTO T-166. The maximum theoretical special gravity ( $G_{mm}$ ) as per AASHTO: T-9, the air voids ( $V_a$ ); voids in mineral aggregate (VMA), and voids in coarse aggregate (VCA) were calculated using the following relationships:

$$V_a, \% = 100 \times \left[ 1 - \frac{G_{mb}}{G_{mm}} \right]$$

$$VCA_{MAX}, \% = 100 - \left[ \frac{G_{mb}}{G_{ca}} \times P_{CA} \right]$$

$$VMA, \% = 100 - \left[ \frac{G_{mb}}{G_{sb}} \times P_s \right]$$

Where,

$P_s$  = Percent of aggregate in mixture

$P_{CA}$  = Percent coarse aggregate in the total mixture

$G_{mb}$  = Bulk specific gravity of compacted mixture

$G_{mm}$  = Theoretical maximum density of the mixture

$G_{sb}$  = Bulk specific gravity of the total aggregate, and

$G_{ca}$  = Bulk specific gravity of the coarse aggregate fraction,

The volumetric properties of various TSMA mixes were plotted in Figure 2 a-c.




Figure 2 a: Volumetric Properties of SMA Mixture




Figure 2 b: Volumetric Properties of SMA Mixture




Figure 2 c: Volumetric Properties of SMA Mixture

### Properties of SMA mixture at optimum binder content

Optimum Binder Content (OBC) was estimated at which the air voids (Va), and voids in mineral aggregates (VMA) are minimum 4.5 and 17 percent respectively. Volumetric analysis of SMA mixtures at OBC is presented in Table- 5.

### LABORATORY PERFORMANCE TESTS ON SMA MIXTURE

Various laboratory per tests were performed on the adopted mixture of SMA to assess their performance.

#### Drain down

The test developed by Schellenberg Institute in Germany was adopted for drain down study. One kg of mixture of mix was prepared at the mixing temperature which was then placed into tarred and dried glass beaker of 800 ml capacity and weighed nearest to 0.1g. The beaker was then covered with Aluminium foil and stored for 60 minutes at 1700C. After storage, the mixture was removed from the beaker and placed in tarred bowl by quickly turning the beaker upside down without shaking. The final weight of the mixture was then taken and drain down (Dn) calculated.

$$D_n, \text{ percent} = \frac{100 \times (\text{Weight of initial sample} - \text{Weight of final sample})}{\text{Weight of initial sample}}$$



**Table 5: Test Results of TSMA Mix at Optimum Binder Content at Various Compaction Temperature using PMB-40 Bitumen**

Properties	Type of Binder/ Compacted temperature, °C				
	PMB/160	SPMB/110	SPMB/130	SPMB/145	SPMB/160
Optimum Binder Content, Agg	5.3	6	5.7	5.5	5.5
Optimum Binder Content, Mix	5.03	5.66	5.39	5.21	5.21
Bulk Specific Gravity of Compacted Mixture, Gmb	2.32	2.33	2.33	2.34	2.32
Air Voids, percent	4.5	4.9	4.5	4.49	4.5
VMA, percent	17.20	17.4	17.16	17.1	17.36
VCADRC, percent	43.000				
VCA mix, percent	42.61	42.63	42.63	42.39	42.87
Drain Down, percent	.05	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.12
TSR, percent	82	82	84	86	85

The drain down values of SMA mixtures with stabilizing additives are 0.15 and 0.10 percent by weight of mix for Topcel and Genicel respectively, which is less than the specified maximum value of 0.30 percent.

### Indirect tensile stiffness modulus

The indirect tensile stiffness Modulus test as per ASTM D-4123-82 was conducted using UTM-16 equipment at different testing temperatures i.e. 15, 25 and 35°C, at a pulse width of 100 ms and peak load of 1600 N. The Resilient Modulus of the mixes was as shown in Fig 3 a-c with respect to temperature for different mixes.

### Dynamic creep test

A dynamic Creep test was conducted on all the samples as per NCHRP-9-19. The peak load of 100 kPa was applied with loading time of 0.1 second and the rest period of 0.9 second under repetitive unconfined compressive, using UTM 19 equipment. The samples were tested at 50°C for 3600 cycles. Permanent deformation and percent accumulated strain was obtained for the different samples and the test results are given in Table 6 and Fig 4 a-c.

Table 6: Indirect Tensile Stiffness modulus test Results at various binder and compaction temperatures

Compaction Temperature, °C / Binder Contents	Resilient Modulus at 15°C, MPa			
	5.0%	5.5%	6.0%	6.5%
110	510	445	180	130
130	700	894	621	480
145	531	712	696	566
160	621	758	690	512
	Resilient Modulus at 25°C, MPa			
	5.0%	5.5%	6.0%	6.5%
110	480	685	618	300
130	600	750	629	480
145	602	615	580	520
160	500	515	490	480
	Resilient Modulus at 35°C, MPa			
	5.0%	5.5%	6.0%	6.5%
110	439	585	450	250
130	400	425	382	280
145	430	530	293	260
160	400	514	415	390




Fig 3 a. The Resilient Modulus of the mixes at various temperature




Fig 3 b. The Resilient Modulus of the mixes at various temperature




Fig 3 c. The Resilient Modulus of the mixes at various temperature




Fig 3 d. Indirect tensile modulus test

Table : Dynamic Creep test Results at various binder and compaction temperatures

Compaction temperature, °C / Binder Content	Accumulated Strain, %				Permanent Deformation, mm			
	5.0%	5.5%	6.0%	6.5%	5.0%	5.5%	6.0%	6.5%
110	3.299	2.866	3.177	2.859	2.375	2.603	2.490	2.387
130	3.791	2.865	2.05	1.28	1.80	1.92	2.38	2.255
145	3.459	3.788	1.689	2.102	2.01	1.37	1.13	2.32
160	3.599	3.350	3.468	0.861	1.91	0.86	1.40	0.55






Fig 4 a. Creep value at different binder content




Fig 4 b. Creep value at different binder content




Fig 4 c. Creep value at different binder content




Fig 4 d. Creep value at different binder content




Fig 4 e. Creep value at different binder content




Fig 4 f. Creep value at different binder content




Fig 4 g. Creep value at different binder content





Fig 4 h. Creep value at different binder content





## ANALYSIS OF TEST DATA

### Design of SMA

Design of SMA done with the available aggregates in Delhi region fulfilled the minimum design criteria of SMA. 80 percent of 10 mm nominal size aggregate, 10 percent of stone dust and 10 percent of hydrated lime were mixed together to get the desired grading of SMA. It can be seen from Table 5 that the OBC was about 5.3 for PMB and 5.66 samples compacted at 110°C, 5.39 for sample compacted at 130°C and 5.21 for samples compacted at both 145°C and 160°C respectively. It can also be seen that VCA Mix of all the SMA mixes are less than equal to VCA DRC and VMA are more than 17 percent. Thus, SMA mixes designed are having good stone-on-stone contact.

### Drain down studies

It can be inferred that at OBC, the drain down values were 0.05 to 0.12, which is much less than the maximum allowable limit of 0.30 percent.

### Indirect Tensile Stiffness Modulus

It can be seen from Figure 3 a-c that the observed resilient modulus at various compaction temperatures are 500 MPa at 15°C, 400-500 MPa at 25°C and greater than 400 MPa at 35°C of the samples compacted at 110°C, 135°C, 145°C and 160°C respectively. These values indicate that this thin SMA is able to possess significant stiffness for improved performance.

### Dynamic creep modulus

It can be seen from Figure 4 a-g that the accumulated tensile strain is in the range of 3% and the permanent deformation is in the range of 1-2 mm which the samples compacted at 110°C, 135°C, 145°C and 160°C which shows that the samples are resistance to rutting potential.

## CONCLUSIONS

- SMA mixes designed with available aggregates showed good stone-on-stone contacts.
- The criterion of 17 percent voids in mineral aggregate and 3 percent air voids in the mix were fulfilled as SMA mix design requirements.
- It can be seen that the accumulated tensile strain and the permanent deformation of the samples are compacted at 110°C, 135°C, 145°C and 160°C contributed towards resistance to permanent deformation under heavy traffic conditions.
- TSR value is found to be in the range of more than 80
- The draindown values of SMA mixes investigated are in the range of 0.05 to 0.12 percent against the stipulated value of 0.3 percent. Hence, use of stabilizing agent reduced the draindown of SMA mixes at hot storage and during transportation.
- In view of improved performance properties of thin SMA mixes modified with warm mix additive not only improved the properties but also significantly contributed in temperature reduction during compaction which leads to energy savings.

## REFERENCES

- S.G.Williams, "Development of 4.75 mm Superpave mixes" MBTC 2030 final report, 2006
- L.A.Cooley, E.R.Brown, "Potential of Using Stone Matrix Asphalt (SMA) for Thin Overlays, NCAT, Report 03-01, April 2003.
- H.Xie, L.A.Cooley, M.H. Huner, "4.75 mm NMAS Stone matrix Asphalt (SMA) Mixtures, December 2003.
- Kamaraj,C, Kumar G, Sharma G, Jain P.K and Babu P.V 2004 "Laboratory Studies On The Behaviour Of Stone Matrix Asphalt Vis-À-Vis Dense Graded Bituminous Mixes Using Natural Rubber Powder (Wet Process)", *Highway Research Bulletin, No 71*
- Kamaraj C, Jain P.K, Sood V.K and Sikdar P.K 2006 "Design Of Stone Matrix Asphalt Using Different Stabilizing Additives" *Journal of Indian Roads Congress*.
- B.M.Sharma and C.Kamaraj, "Construction And Performance Evaluation Of Experimental Sections Laid On Urban Roads Using Stone Matrix Asphalt Mixtures", 23<sup>rd</sup> ARRB Conference – Research Partnering with Practitioners, Adelaide Australia, 2008.
- Technical Note 16 – Stone Mastic Asphalt, Austroads, Supersedes APRG Technical Note 2, August 1993

## AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

**Brij Mohan Sharma:** Master degree in Civil Engineering and is currently the Head of Flexible Pavements Division. Has 25 years of experience in the field of pavement design, structural and functional evaluation, road materials and maintenance management system. Worked as Senior highway engineer for Botswana Road Department. Completed more than 100 R&D and consultancy assignments. Key person for the development of pavement deterioration models and introduction of SMA technology in India. Has more than 25 research papers and more than 50 technical reports to his credit.

**Chidambaram Kamaraj:** Master degree Civil Engineer, having 20 years experience in the field of pavement engineering and road materials. Completed 10 sponsored research project and 40 technical/ consultancy projects in the area of flexible pavements. Published 32 research papers on various national and international journals and conferences. Currently working on high performance mixes like SMA, OGFC, Microsurfacing and thin SMA surfacing and using leather industry waste in road construction etc.

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>		
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00028	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b> 18/11/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/E/23/00022	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b> 23/10/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>		<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b> Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>		
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	Details not provided	
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Details not provided	<b>Country (देश) :</b> India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	Details not provided	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b> Details not provided
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>		
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Details not provided	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b> Details not provided.
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b> No
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	54100	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b> Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided	
<b>Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information	
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	The response to certain queries raised in RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/23/00022 are incorrect.  Query no. 1 of the said RTI sought the application number of all patents (Indian and Foreign) filed since the inception of CSIR-CRRI till date, for inventions developed by researchers of CSIR-CRRI. However, the response provides the number of applications filed, instead of providing the applications numbers.	

Query no. 2 of the said RTI sought details of all patents which have been licensed to industries for deployment and commercialization. In the response to RTI, it is mentioned that the requested details are available in the website of CSIR-CRRI. However, the application number of the patents which have been licensed are not available in the website.

Query no. 11 of the said RTI sought the name of the member secretary of the current Research Council of CSIR-CRRI. In the response to RTI, it is mentioned that there is no such post in the Research Council of CSIR-CRRI. Please note that as per the guidelines of CSIR-Central Planning Directorate, the secretary of the Research Council is to be nominated by the Director of the concerned laboratory. The minutes of the 129th Research Council meeting of CSIR-CRRI (enclosed) too mentions the name of the member secretary of the then constituted Research Council.

The First Appellate Authority is requested to provide accurate information to the above mentioned queries raised in RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/23/00022.



Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 15.12.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00027  
To

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 18/11/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO, Head (PME) and the reply received is as below:

S.NO.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO (Page 2)
1	<p>Query no. 1 of the said RTI sought the application number of all patents (Indian and Foreign) filed since the inception of CSIR-CRRI till date, for inventions developed by researchers of CSIR-CRRI. However, the response provides the number of applications filed, instead of providing the applications numbers</p> <p>Query no. 2 of the said RTI sought details of all patents which have been licensed to industries for deployment and commercialization. In the response to RTI, it is mentioned that the requested details are available in the website of CSIR-CRRI. However, the application number of the patents which have been licensed are not available in the website.</p> <p>Query no. 11 of the said RTI sought the name of the member secretary of the current Research Council of CSIR-CRRI. In the response to RTI, it is mentioned that there is no such post in the Research Council of CSIR-CRRI. Please note that as per the guidelines of CSIR-Central Planning Directorate, the secretary of the Research Council is to be nominated by the Director of the concerned laboratory. The minutes of the 129th Research Council meeting of CSIR-CRRI (enclosed) too mentions the name of the member secretary of the then constituted Research Council.</p>	<p>The reply received from PIO is enclosed herewith for your kind reference /information"</p> <p>Further, if the appellant is not satisfied with the reply, it is requested to visit the office to have a meeting with the PIO with prior intimation.</p>

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9953290998

Enclosures: 1. Reply from PIO

Reply from PIO

योजना, अनुवीक्षण एवं मूल्यांकन प्रभाग  
Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation Division (PME)

No. PME/RTI-1216  
Dated: 22 November 2023

विषय/Sub सूचना के अधिकार : 2005 के अन्तर्गत सूचना प्राप्त करने के सम्बन्ध में / Seeking information under RTI Act, 2005 reg.

Ref: RTI- MATTER, No. CRR/PIO/RTI-1216 Dated 26.10.2023  
RTI- First Appeal Ref No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00028 Dated 20.11.2023

उपरोक्त विषय के संदर्भ में, प्रासंगिक जानकारी को संकलित करके तैयार किया गया है और आवश्यक कार्रवाई के लिए इसके साथ प्रस्तुत किया गया है /  
With reference to the above subject, the reply / information from PME division is given as follows:

**Ques.1.** Query no. 1 of the said RTI sought the application number of all patents (Indian and Foreign) filed since the inception of CSIR-CRRI till date, for inventions developed by researchers of CSIR-CRRI. However, the response provided the number of application filed instead of providing the application numbers.

**Ans. 1.** The application numbers of all patents (Indian and Foreign) filed since the inception of CSIR-CRRI till date, for inventions developed by researchers of CSIR-CRRI are as follows:

S. NO.	TITLE OF PATENT	COUNTRY	APPLN. NO.
1	AN AUTOMATIC PROFILE RECORDING SYSTEM OF THE BUMP INTEGRATOR	India	113037
2	AN ADJUSTABLE JOINT/CONNECTION DEVICE FOR DRAW BARS OF ANIMAL DRAWN VEHICLES	India	0580DEL1980
3	BITUMASTIC JOINTING COMPOSITION	India	54074
4	A REINFORCED BAMBOO STRUCTURE	India	56703
5	A NOVEL BEARING	India	56704
6	A REINFORCED BAMBOO STRUCTURE LIKE SPRINGS OR BEAMS	India	64541
7	A BEARING	India	64554
8	AN ANTI-STRIPPING AGENT FOR ROAD BINDERS	India	85608
9	EXPANSION JOINT FILTERS FROM COCONUT PITH, A WASTE PRODUCT OF THE COIR INDUSTRY	India	87958
10	IMPROVEMENTS IN OR RELATING TO THE MANUFACTURE OF REACTIVE SURKHI	India	93726
11	IMPROVEMENTS IN OR RELATING TO THE MANUFACTURE OF LIME SURKHI MIXTURES FROM SURKHI MANUFACTURED IN DOWN-DRAUGHT KILN	India	90470
12	IMPROVEMENTS IN OR RELATING TO PITCH MASTIC COMPOSITIONS	India	92526

S. NO.	TITLE OF PATENT	COUNTRY	APPLN. NO.
13	A PROCESS FOR THE TREATMENT OF STYRENE PITCH TO OBTAIN A VISCOUS RESIDUE AND A PUNGENT SMELLING LIQUID DISTILLATE	India	96710
14	IMPROVEMENTS IN OR RELATING TO THE BITCH MASTIC COMPOSITIONS FOR ROAD SURFACING, CONCRETE JOINT SEALING, FLEAZING, ROOFING, COATING OR LIKE PURPOSES	India	95305
15	IMPROVEMENTS IN OR RELATING TO BITUMINOUS BINDERS AND AGGREGATES HAVING ANTI-STRIPPING PROPERTIES FOR ROAD AND BUILDING CONSTRUCTION WORK	India	92113
16	PREPARATION OF A JET OIL SPILLAGE RESISTANT JOINT - SEALING COMPOSITION	India	104775
17	COAL MODIFIED TAR BINDER FOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION WORK	India	114925
18	A PROFILOGRAPH FOR CHECKING PAVEMENT UNEVENNESS	India	111532
19	FATIGUE TESTING MACHINE	India	114420
20	AN UNEVENNESS INDICATOR FOR INDICATING PAVEMENT IRREGULARITIES	India	121776
21	A MARKING OR/AND INDICATING DEVICE SUITABLE FOR ATTACHMENT TO AN UNEVENNESS MEASURING MACHINE	India	121777
22	A PROFILOGRAPH FOR CHECKING PAVEMENT UNEVENNESS	India	122114
23	ROAD UNEVENNESS TESTER DEVICE	India	0697CAL1976
24	AN AUTOMATIC MECHANICAL PROFILE RECORDING DEVICE PARTICULARLY SUITED FOR ROAD UNEVENNESS TESTER AND SIMILAR DEVICES	India	0698CAL7
25	AN AUTOMATIC MARKING DEVICE FOR USE WITH PROFILE RECORDER OF A ROAD UNEVENNESS TESTER DEVICE	India	0699CAL1976
26	IMPROVED SCREED VIBRATOR FOR SURFACE COMPACTION PURPOSE	India	1312CAL1976
27	A REMOTE CONTROL HYDRAULIC SETTLEMENT GAUGE	India	0095DEL1977
28	A COMPACT DEVICE FOR THE SIMULTANEOUSLY MEASURING THE SETTLEMENT CHARACTERISTICS OF BUILDING AND LIKE CIVIL ENGINEERING STRUCTURES	India	0228DEL1977
29	AN IMPROVED DEVICE FOR STATIC TESTING OF FATIGUE PARAMETERS OF CARTS AND LIKE SLOW MOVING VEHICLES	India	0483DEL1980
30	IMPROVED WHEEL ASSEMBLY FOR CARTS AND LIKE VEHICLES	India	0641DEL1980

S. NO.	TITLE OF PATENT	COUNTRY	APPLN. NO.
31	A MULTI STRAIN GAUGE FOR MEASURING PORE WATER	India	0515DEL1986
32	A MOULD FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PRECAST CONCRETE BLOCKS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND OTHER RIDING SURFACES	India	1084DEL1986
33	A FASTENING DEVICE TO PREVENT PIPES FROM SLIPAGE	India	0461DEL1986
34	FLEXIBLE ELEMENT FOR CART WHEEL AXLE AND A CART WHEELS INCORPORATING THE SAID FLEXIBLE ELEMENT	India	0722DEL1987
35	AN EXPENDIBLE BIT FOR THE INSTALLATION OF HORIZONTAL DRAINS FOR PREVENTING LANDSLIDES	India	0674DEL1988
36	AN ELECTRONIC PROBE FOR THE DETECTION OF METAL EMBEDDED IN EARTHEN EMBANKMENTS	India	0675DEL1988
37	A DEVICE FOR TESTING PERMEABILITY OF GEOTEXTILES	India	0736DEL1988
38	A STRAIN GAUGE SLOPE INDICATOR USED TO MEASURE LATERAL DISPLACEMENT OF HILL SLOPES AND OTHER EARTH WORKS	India	0643DEL1988
39	DEVICE FOR SENSING AND MEASURING MOISTURE CONTENT IN SOILS AND OTHER POROUS MATERIALS	India	0369DEL1988
40	A PROCESS FOR THE PREPARATION OF A NEW BINDER USEFUL FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND FOR THE FORMATION OF SURFACES FOR AIR FIELDS	India	1256DEL1989
41	AN IMPROVED PROCESS FOR THE PREPARATION OF MAGNESIUM PHOSPHATE CEMENT USEFUL FOR SPEEDY REPAIR OF CEMENT CONCRETE STRUCTURES	India	0087DEL1990
42	A DEVICE FOR MEASURING VERTICAL GRADIENT OF ROADS AND OTHER PLANE SURFACES	India	0122DEL1990
43	A DEVICE USEFUL FOR THE MEASUREMENT OF ROUGHNESS OF ROADS	India	0308DEL1992
44	A DEVICE FOR THE MEASUREMENT OF VOLUME CHANGE OF TRIAXIAL SOIL SAMPLES DURING TRIAXIAL TEST FOR CALCULATING COMPRESSIBILITY CROSS SECTIONAL AREA AND STRESS AT FAILURE	India	1284DEL1992
45	A DEVICE USEFUL FOR MEASURING DISPLACEMENTS IN ROAD PAVEMENT LAYERS	India	0315DEL1994
46	A PORTABLE DEVICE USEFUL FOR MEASURING INSITU CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO VALUES OF ROAD PAVEMENT MATERIALS	India	1807DEL1996
47	A METHOD FOR STABILISING SANDY SOILS FOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION IN DESERT SANDY AREAS	India	1095/DEL/2003
48	A DEVICE USEFUL FOR EVALUATION OF ROAD CONDITIONS	India	0325/DEL/2004



S. NO.	TITLE OF PATENT	COUNTRY	APPLN. NO.
49	A PROCESS FOR THE PREPARATION OF WASTE PLASTIC MODIFIED BITUMEN USEFUL FOR RUT RESISTANT AND WATER RESISTANT BITUMINOUS MIX FOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION	India	2309/DEL/2004
50	STEPWISE REPEATED DESTABILIZATION AND STABILIZATION OF HIGHLY COLLAPSIBLE SOIL MASS BY 'SOIL NAILING TECHNIQUE' USED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF RAILWAY/ROAD UNDERPASS	India	136/DEL/2012
51	VEHICLE MOUNTED AUTOMATIC CONTROLLED MOBILE BRIDGE INSPECTION DEVICE	India	2984/DEL/2012
52	UPGRADED DEVICE FOR ROAD CONDITION EVALUATION	India	201611003957
53	USE OF THERMOCOL(EXPANDED POLYSTYRENE) WASTE IN HOT BITUMINOUS MIXES FOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION	India	1258/DEL/2014
54	A WET PROCESS FOR THE PREPARATION OF WASTE PVC MODIFIED BITUMEN USEFUL FOR PAVING APPLICATIONS	India	1368/DEL/2014
55	ELECTRO MECHANICAL FIELD DENSITY GAUGE	India	1632/DEL/2014
56	HIGH PERFORMANCE HIGHLY MODIFIED BITUMEN AND PROCESS THEREOF	India	201711036095
57	NEW PROCESS FOR THE FORMATION OF REJUNERATING AGENT (RA) FOR USE IN RECYCLING OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT (RAP)	India	3746/DEL/2015
58	STEPWISE REPEATED DESTABILIZATION AND STABILIZATION OF HIGHLY COLLAPSIBLE SOIL MASS BY 'SOIL NAILING TECHNIQUE' USED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF RAILWAY/ROAD UNDERPASS	United States	14/415.553
59	STEPWISE REPEATED DESTABILIZATION AND STABILIZATION OF HIGHLY COLLAPSIBLE SOIL MASS BY 'SOIL NAILING TECHNIQUE' USED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF RAILWAY/ROAD UNDERPASS	Singapore	11201500373T
60	STEPWISE REPEATED DESTABILIZATION AND STABILIZATION OF HIGHLY COLLAPSIBLE SOIL MASS BY 'SOIL NAILING TECHNIQUE' USED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF RAILWAY/ROAD UNDERPASS	Sri Lanka	18089

S. NO.	TITLE OF PATENT	COUNTRY	APPLN. NO.
61	STEPWISE REPEATED DESTABILIZATION AND STABILIZATION OF HIGHLY COLLAPSIBLE SOIL MASS BY 'SOIL NAILING TECHNIQUE' USED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF RAILWAY/ROAD UNDERPASS	United Kingdom	1502552
62	A PATCHFILL REPAIR MACHINE	India	821/DEL/2014
63	CAR DRIVING SIMULATOR WITH DRIVER DIAGNOSTIC AND TRAINING METHOD	India	201611040851
64	PROCESS FOR LAYING ROADS USING COLD-MIX TECHNOLOGY	India	201611039241 PCT/IN2017/050285
65	CARBON BASED MIXTURE FOR IMPROVED PERFORMANCE OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENTS AS ONE OF BITUMEN ADDITIVES OR FILLERS AND METHODS OF PREPARATION THEREOF	India	201611008235
66	NEW PROCESS FOR PREPARATION OF HARDER GRADE BITUMEN (VG40 AND VG50) FOR FORMATION OF ASPHALT SURFACING FOR ROADS AND AIRFIELDS	India	2837DEL/2015
67	DESIGN OF NOISE BARRIER BASED ON DIFFERENT FREQUENCIES	India	201811047606
68	ENGINEERING OF BITUMEN EMULSION BASED POTHOLE REPAIR SYSTEM	India	201911017676
69	PROCESS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF SHALLOW MULTIDIRECTIONAL UNDERPASS INTERSECTION BY BOX JACKING AND SOIL NAILING WITHOUT EFFECT EXISTING TRAFFIC	India	201911033449
70	METHOD FOR INSTANT IMPROVEMENT OF FOUNDATION SOIL DURING BOX JACKING OPERATION WITHOUT AFFECTING THE LIVE RAILROAD TRAFFIC THEREOF	India	202011034410
71	REJUVENATOR FOR RECYCLING OF AGED BITUMINOUS MIXES	India	202111017168 PCT/IN2022/053466
72	NOVEL PIEZO BASED DEVICE FOR MULTIPURPOSE NON DESTRUCTIVE EVALUATION VIBRO-INTEGRITY SENSING DEVICE (VInSD)	India	202111057196
73	METHOD FOR ENHANCING THE BEARING CAPACITY OF EXISTING STRUCTURES USING STEEL FIBER REINFORCED GROUT COLUMN	India	202211045099
74	AGGRANDIZING THE MECHANICAL BEHAVIOUR AND ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT USING IMPERIAL SMELTING FURNACE SLAG (ISFS)	India	202211063794
75	AGGRANDIZING THE MECHANICAL BEHAVIOUR AND ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT OF RIGID PAVEMENT USING IMPERIAL SMELTING FURNACE SLAG (ISFS)	India	202211063795

S. NO.	TITLE OF PATENT	COUNTRY	APPLN. NO.
76	A BITUMINOUS REJUVENATING AGENT AND A PROCESS FOR RECYCLING OF BITUMINOUS PAVEMENTS	India	202311009049

**Ques.2.** Query no. 2 of the said RTI sought details of all patents, which have been licensed to industries for deployment and commercialization. In the response to RTI, it is mentioned that the requested details are available in the website of CSIR-CRRI. However, the application number of the patents which have been licensed are not available in the website.

**Ans. 2.** The application numbers of all patents, which have been licensed to industries for deployment and commercialization, are as follows:

S. NO.	TITLE OF PATENT	APPLN. NO.
1	A PROCESS FOR THE PREPARATION OF A NEW BINDER USEFUL FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND FOR THE FORMATION OF SURFACES FOR AIR FIELDS	1256/DEL/1989
2	A DEVICE USEFUL FOR THE MEASUREMENT OF ROUGHNESS OF ROADS	0308/DEL/1992
3	A PROCESS FOR THE PREPARATION OF WASTE PLASTIC MODIFIED BITUMEN USEFUL FOR RUT RESISTANT AND WATER RESISTANT BITUMINOUS MIX FOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION	2309/DEL/2004
4	VEHICLE MOUNTED AUTOMATIC CONTROLLED MOBILE BRIDGE INSPECTION DEVICE	2984/DEL/2012
5	CAR DRIVING SIMULATOR WITH DRIVER DIAGNOSTIC AND TRAINING METHOD	201611040851
6	PROCESS FOR LAYING ROADS USING COLD-MIX TECHNOLOGY	201611039241 PCT/IN2017/050285
7	NEW PROCESS FOR PREPARATION OF HARDER GRADE BITUMEN (VG40 AND VG50) FOR FORMATION OF ASPHALT SURFACING FOR ROADS AND AIRFIELDS	2837DEL/2015
8	DESIGN OF NOISE BARRIER BASED ON DIFFERENT FREQUENCIES	201811047606
9	REJUVENATOR FOR RECYCLING OF AGED BITUMINOUS MIXES	202111017168 PCT/IB2022/053466

**Ques.11.** Please provide the name of the member secretary of the current Research Council of CSIR-CRRI.

**Ans. 11.** It is to clarify that, as previously stated, there is no such position in RC. It should be noted, though, that the designation in the 128th and 129th RC minutes of the meeting that are available on the CSIR-CRRI website is a typographical error. Instead of "Member Secretary," the position in RC is "Secretary." As of right now, Dr. S. Velmungan, Chief Scientist of CSIR-CRRI, is the RC Secretary.

PME  
2453  
20/11/23

HOD, P/E of CRRI

CPID, CRRI

22/11/23

FAA Received  
22/11/23

22/11/23

Appeal No.CRRIN/A/E/00029

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS</b>			
<b>RTI Appeal Registration No. :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00029	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date :</b>	27/12/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. :</b>	CRRIN/R/E/23/00027	<b>RTI Request Registration Date :</b>	01/12/2023
<b>Name :</b>		<b>Gender :</b>	Male
<b>Address :</b>			
<b>Pin Code :</b>	110076		
<b>State :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country :</b>	India
<b>Phone :</b>		<b>Mobile No :</b>	
<b>Email :</b>			
<b>Status :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status :</b>	
<b>Citizenship :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant below poverty line ? :</b>	Yes
<b>CPIO of Public Authority Approached :</b>	16332	<b>CPIO's Order/Decision Date :</b>	Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. :</b>	Details not provided		
<b>Ground For Appeal :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information		
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal :</b>	I am Kanhaiya Thakur, son of Late Lallan Thakur, I need information about recruitment of my father who is not recruited in CRRRI, All small to big information is required which is available in CRRRI official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement of CRRRI Director is necessary please do not mislead me and fabricate information because it is my right to know and I want to get justice. I will move forward		



Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 26.01.2024

No. CRRIFAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00029, 30 & 31

To.

Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 27/01/2023

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO
1.	I am Kanhaiya Thakur, son of Late Lallan Thakur, I need information about recruitment of my father who is not recruited in CRRRI, All small to big information is required which is available in CRRRI official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement of CRRRI Director is necessary please do not mislead me and fabricate information because it is my right to know and I want to get justice. I will move forward (RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00029, Dt. 27/12/2023)	With regard to the RTI appeals (RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00029, Dt. 27/12/2023, RTI appeal No CRRIN/A/E/23/00030, dated 27/12/2023 and RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00031, Dt. 27/12/2023 replied received from PIO as " it is informed that all the information sought by the appellant has already been provided to him through the replies of various RTI and First Appeal applications filed by him. Even after getting the information, the appellant is seeking similar information through RTI applications, which are similar and repetitive". Kindly refer the attachments for further information.
2	I am Kanhaiya Thakur, son of Late Lallan Thakur, I need information about recruitment of my father who is not recruited in CRRRI, All small to big information is required which is available in CRRRI official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement of CRRRI Director is necessary please do not mislead me and fabricate information because it is my right to know and I want to get justice. I will move forward (RTI appeal No CRRIN/A/E/23/00030, dated 27/12/2023 )	
3	Transfer to CSIR-CRRRI New Delhi Laboratory/Institute. Under Section 6(3) of RTI Act 200 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00003, dated 20/01/2023 ) (Transferred appeal, same as above) (RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00031, Dt. 27/12/2023)	

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhiya Thakur, visited CRRRI on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. Shri Kanhiya Thakur also searched related file (File no.1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) and he did not require any further information from the above file. Hence, it is clear that, all the information related to the appellant, available in the institute has been provided to him. Accordingly, the hearing was **disposed off** after duly signed by the appellant (the same is enclosed the Scanned document for the reference).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,

CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in



**Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)**  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures:

1. 2 Page Notification from PIO
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members

2 Page Notification from PIO

F.No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL

**PERSONNEL CELL**  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**

Dated: 02.01.2024

**Subject: First Appeal Application No.23/29, 23/30 & 23/31 of Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur-  
reg.**

With reference to three First Appeal Applications received from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur (through First Appellate Authority) against his earlier RTI Applications wherein he is requesting for various information under RTI Act, 2005.

Sl.	First Appeal Application No.	Information Sought
1	CRRIN/A/E/23-00029 dated 27.12.2023 against RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/23-00027 dated 01.12.2023	"I am Kanhaiya Thakur, son of Late Lallan Thakur, I need information about recruitment of my father who is not recruited in CRRI, all small to big information is required which is available in CRRI official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement of CRRI Director is necessary please do not mislead me and fabricate information because it is my right to know and I want to get justice"
2	CRRIN/A/E/23-00030 dated 27.12.2023 against RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/23-00030 dated 01.12.2023	* RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/23-00030 dated 01.12.2023 and CRRIN/R/X/23-00051 dated 04.12.2023 have not been received by this section.
3	CRRIN/A/E/23-00031 dated 27.12.2023 against RTI No. CRRIN/R/X/23-00051 dated 04.12.2023	

2. With regard to above, it is informed that all the information sought by the appellant has already been provided to him through the replies of various RTI and First Appeal Application filed by him. Even after getting the information, the appellant is seeking similar information through RTI Applications which are similar and repetitive.

3. In view of the repeated and similar RTI Applications & First Appeal, the Competent Authority had decided not to reply the RTI Application & First Appeal Application containing the similar repetitive information in consonance with CVO Circular No. 03/03/17 (CVC/RTI/MISC/16/006) dated 10.03.2017 which was forwarded by the CVO, CSIR. **Copy of the approval attached herewith.**

4. Further, it is informed that the RTI Application No. CRRIN/R/E/23/00030 dated 01.12.2023 and CRRIN/R/X/23/00051 dated 04.12.2023 against which the First Appeal Applications (appearing at Sl. No. 2 and 3 above) have been filed by the appellant have not been received by this section.

The above information may be forwarded to FAA, CRRI for further necessary action through CPIO, CRRI.

Submitted for approval please.

*(Handwritten signature)*  
02/01/2024  
(10/11/20)  
SOPT

*(Handwritten signature)*  
Pragya  
02/01/24

**CPIO, CRRI**

**FAA, CRRI**

*(Handwritten note)* Forwarded to FAA for n.g.Ols.

\* S.No. 2 & 3 was not sent to S.o.(P) due to Duplicate RTI's.

*(Handwritten signature)*

*(Handwritten note)* CPIO on leave

Dated 15/12/2023

**Subject: RTI Application No.1232 dated 06.12.2023 of Sh. Kanhiya Thakur-reg.**

PUC

PUC is RTI Application No.1232 dated 06.12.2023 (CRRIN/R/E/23/00027 dated 01.12.2023) received from Sh. Kanhiya Thakur wherein he is requesting the following information under RTI Act, 2005.

**Information Sought**

"I am Kanhiya Thakur son of Late Lallan Thakur I want information about my father recruitment denied reason in CRRI All minor to major information needed which is Available in CRRI official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement required of CRRI Director please don't provide me mislead and forge information as it is my right to know and I will go further to get Justice."

23.12.23  
19/12/23

2. It is informed that all the information sought by the applicant has been already provided to him through the replies of various RTI and First Appeal Application filed by him. Even after getting the information, the applicant is seeking similar information through repeated RTI Applications.

F/A

3. Further, CVO, CSIR vide its Circular No. 15-6(R2)/98-O&M-II(V) dated 03.05.017 forwarded a copy of the Circular No. 03/03/17 (Letter No.CVC/RTI/HSC/16/006) dated 10.03.2017 for compliance. As per the said circular, following decision of CVC has been conveyed with regard to seeking similar information through filing repeating RTI:

"3. The Commission after considering various aspects of the issue and the provisions of acts of similar nature in other countries, and also the decisions of earlier Information Commissioners has concluded that:

- (i) Even a single repetition of RTI application would demand the valuable time of the public authority, first appellate authority and if it also reaches second appeal, that of the Commission, which time could have been spent to hear another appeal or answer another application or perform other public duty.
- (ii) Every repetition of RTI application which was earlier responded will be an obstruction to flow of information and defeats the purpose of the RTI Act.

584  
12/11/23  
24/12/23

- 4. The Central Information Commission, vide its decision No. CIC/AD/2013/001326-SA dated 25.06.2014 has thus, decided that:
  - (i) No Scope of repating under RTI Act
  - (ii) Citizen has no Right to Repeat
  - (iii) Repetition shall be ground of refusal
  - (iv) Appeals can be rejected "

4. In view thereof, if agreed, CPIO may be requested to refuse the information, being repetitive in nature on similar issues/subject, sought by the applicant on the ground of repetition of information.

Submitted for approval please.

*(Signature)*  
15/12/2023  
SOP (for send)  
CA, CRRI  
Director, CRRI  
CPIO, CRRI.

*(Signature)*  
22/12/2023

RTI  
06/12/23

*(Signature)*



### सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022

(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 3/9/2022

सुनवाई की तिथि: 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सम्बन्ध में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हजरि थे :


1. श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRR
2. श्री मोश कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRR
3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बन्धित PIO, CRR
4. श्री निमिष मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR
6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आगुलिक, CRR


2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :


" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lallan Thakur to join CRR as CRR official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRR statement is it correct or not or CRR officials hide there seam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बन्धित फाइल (No. 1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) का निरीक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरीक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

  
Shri Kanhaiya Thakur,  
910, JJ Colony Ph-3,  
Madanpur Khadar,  
Sarita Vihar,  
Delhi-110076

  
Date 30-9-2022  
Kanhaiya Thakur

  
(श्री नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRR

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>			
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00030	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b>	27/12/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/E/23/00028	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b>	01/12/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>		<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b>	Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>			
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076		
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b>	India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	+	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>	
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>			
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>	
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b>	Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided		
<b>Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information		
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	I am Kanhaiya Thakur, son of Late Lallan Thakur, I need information about recruitment of my father who is not recruited in CRRI, All small to big information is required which is available in CRRI official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement of CRRI Director is necessary please do not mislead me and fabricate information because it is my right to know and I want to get justice. I will move forward		

Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 26.01.2024

No. CRRIN/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00029, 30 & 31

To

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 27/01/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO
1.	I am Kanhaiya Thakur, son of Late Lallan Thakur, I need information about recruitment of my father who is not recruited in CRRIN, All small to big information is required which is available in CRRIN official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement of CRRIN Director is necessary please do not mislead me and fabricate information because it is my right to know and I want to get justice. I will move forward (RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00029, Dt. 27/12/2023)	With regard to the RTI appeals (RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00029, Dt. 27/12/2023, RTI appeal No CRRIN/A/E/23/00030, dated 27/12/2023 and RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00031, Dt. 27/12/2023 replied received from PIO as " it is informed that all the information sought by the appellant has already been provided to him through the replies of various RTI and First Appeal applications filed by him. Even after getting the information, the appellant is seeking similar information through RTI applications, which are similar and repetitive". Kindly refer the attachments for further information.
2	I am Kanhaiya Thakur, son of Late Lallan Thakur, I need information about recruitment of my father who is not recruited in CRRIN, All small to big information is required which is available in CRRIN official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement of CRRIN Director is necessary please do not mislead me and fabricate information because it is my right to know and I want to get justice. I will move forward (RTI appeal No CRRIN/A/E/23/00030, dated 27/12/2023 )	
3	Transfer to CSIR-CRRIN New Delhi Laboratory/Institute. Under Section 6(3) of RTI Act 200 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00003, dated 20/01/2023 ) (Transferred appeal, same as above) (RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00031, Dt. 27/12/2023)	

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhaiya Thakur, visited CRRIN on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. Shri Kanhaiya Thakur also searched related file (File no.1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) and he did not require any further information from the above file. Hence, it is clear that, all the information related to the appellant, available in the institute has been provided to him. Accordingly, the hearing was **disposed off** after duly signed by the appellant (the same is enclosed the Scanned document for the reference).

No. CRRIN/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00029, 30 & 31

Page 1 of 5



These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,

CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in



**Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)**  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures:

1. 2 Page Notification from PIO
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members



2 Page Notification from PIO

F.No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL.  
**PERSONNEL CELL**  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**

Dated: 02.01.2024

**Subject: First Appeal Application No.23/29, 23/30 & 23/31 of Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur-  
 reg.**

With reference to three First Appeal Applications received from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur (through First Appellate Authority) against his earlier RTI Applications wherein he is requesting for various information under RTI Act, 2005.

Sl.	First Appeal Application No.	Information Sought
1	CRRIN/A/E/23/00029 dated 27.12.2023 against RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/23/00027 dated 01.12.2023	"I am Kanhaiya Thakur, son of Late Lallan Thakur, I need information about recruitment of my father who is not recruited in CRRI, all small to big information is required which is available in CRRI official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement of CRRI Director is necessary please do not mislead me and fabricate information because it is my right to know and I want to get justice"
2	CRRIN/A/E/23/00030 dated 27.12.2023 against RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/23/00030 dated 01.12.2023	RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/23/00030 dated 01.12.2023 and CRRIN/R/X/23/00051 dated 04.12.2023 have not been received by this section.
3	CRRIN/A/E/23/00031 dated 27.12.2023 against RTI No. CRRIN/R/X/23/00051 dated 04.12.2023	

2. With regard to above, it is informed that all the information sought by the appellant has already been provided to him through the replies of various RTI and First Appeal Application filed by him. Even after getting the information, the appellant is seeking similar information through RTI Applications which are similar and repetitive.

3. In view of the repeated and similar RTI Applications & First Appeal, the Competent Authority had decided not to reply the RTI Application & First Appeal Application containing the similar repetitive information in consonance with CVO Circular No. 03/03/17 (CVC/RTI/MISC/16/006) dated 10.03.2017 which was forwarded by the CVO, CSIR. Copy of the approval attached herewith.

4. Further, it is informed that the RTI Application No. CRRIN/R/E/23/00030 dated 01.12.2023 and CRRIN/R/X/23/00051 dated 04.12.2023 against which the First Appeal Applications (appearing at Sl. No. 2 and 3 above) have been filed by the appellant have not been received by this section.

The above information may be forwarded to FAA, CRRI for further necessary action through CPIO, CRRI.

Submitted for approval please.

*(Signature)*  
 02/01/2024  
 (10/11/23)

*Pragya*  
 02/01/24

S.O.P.I.  
CPIO, CRRI  
FAA, CRRI

*Forwarded to FAA for n.a.O.I.s.*

\* S.No. 2 & 3 was not sent to S.O.(P) due to Duplicate RTI's.

*(Signature)*

*CPIO on leave*

Dated 15/12/2023

**Subject: RTI Application No.1232 dated 06.12.2023 of Sh. Kanhiya Thakur-reg.**

**PUC** is RTI Application No.1232 dated 06.12.2023 (CRRIN/A/E/23/00027 dated 01.12.2023) received from Sh. Kanhiya Thakur wherein he is requesting the following information under RTI Act, 2005.

**Information Sought**

"I am Kanhiya Thakur son of Late Iollan Thakur I want information about my father recruitment denied reason in CRRI All minor to major information needed which is Available in CRRI official for my father Lollan Thakur and involvement required of CRRI Director please don't provide me mislead and forge information as it is my right to know and I will go further to get Justice."

2. It is informed that all the information sought by the applicant has been already provided to him through the replies of various RTI and First Appeal Application filed by him. Even after getting the information, the applicant is seeking similar information through repeated RTI Applications.

3. Further, CVO, CSIR vide its Circular No. 15-6(R2)\*98-O&M-II(Vig) dated 03.05.017 forwarded a copy of the Circular No. 03/03/17 (Letter No.CVC/RTI/MISC/16/006) dated 10.03.2017 for compliance. As per the said circular, following decision of CVC has been conveyed with regard to seeking similar information through filing repeating RTI:

"3. The Commission after considering various aspects of the issue and the provisions of acts of similar nature in other countries, and also the decisions of earlier Information Commissioners has concluded that:

- (i) Even a single repetition of RTI application would demand the valuable time of the public authority, first appellate authority and if it also reaches second appeal, that of the Commission, which time could have been spent to hear another appeal or answer another application or perform other public duty.
- (ii) Every repetition of RTI application which was earlier responded will be an obstruction to flow of information and defeats the purpose of the RTI Act.

4. The Central Information Commission, vide its decision No. CIC/AD/2013/001326-SA dated 25.06.2014 has thus, decided that:

- (i) No Scope of repoting under RTI Act
- (ii) Citizen has no Right to Repeat
- (iii) Repetition shall be ground of refusal
- (iv) Appeals can be rejected "

4. In view thereof, it is agreed, CPIO may be requested to refuse the information, being repetitive in nature on similar issues/subject, sought by the applicant on the ground of repetition of information.

Submitted for approval please.

*(Signature)*  
15/12/2023

SOPJ (for review)

CoA, CRRI

Director, CRRI

CPIO, CRRI.

*(Signature)*  
22/11/2023

12/11/2023

*(Signature)*  
06/12/23

*(Signature)*

### सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022  
(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 30.09.2022

सुनवाई की दिनांक : 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सन्दर्भ में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हज़िर थे :

1. डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRRJ
2. श्री मोरेश कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRRJ
3. श्री चंद्र कान्त, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRRJ
4. श्री निमित्त मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ
5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRRJ
6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आगुलितिक, CRRJ


2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

\* Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lalan Thakur to join CRRJ as CRRJ official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRRJ statement is it correct or not or CRRJ officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिपोर्ट/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल [No. 1(573)93-PL Correspondence] का निरीक्षण भी कराया गया एवं फाइल के निरीक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज़ नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

Kankhaya Thakur

  
(डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं  
First Appellate Authority, CRRJ

श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर  
Date 30.9.2022  
Kankhaya Thakur

<b>RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)</b>			
<b>Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :</b>	CRRIN/A/E/23/00031	<b>RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :</b>	27/12/2023
<b>RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):</b>	CRRIN/R/X/23/00051	<b>RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :</b>	04/12/2023
<b>Name (नाम) :</b>		<b>Gender (लिंग) :</b>	Male
<b>Address (पता) :</b>			
<b>Pin code (पिन कोड) :</b>	110076		
<b>State (राज्य) :</b>	Delhi	<b>Country (देश) :</b>	India
<b>Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :</b>	<b>Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :</b>		
<b>Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :</b>			
<b>Status (स्थिति) :</b>	Urban	<b>Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :</b>	
<b>Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :</b>	Indian	<b>Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :</b>	Yes
<b>CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :</b>	16332	<b>Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :</b>	Details not provided
<b>CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :</b>	Details not provided		
<b>Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :</b>	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information		
<b>Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :</b>	Transfer to CSIR-CRRI New Delhi Laboratory/Institute. Under Section 6(3) of RTI Act 2005		



Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 26.01.2024

No. CRRRI/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/E/23/00029, 30 & 31

To: \_\_\_\_\_

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received online on 27/01/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal, the reply was sought from the PIO Personnel Section and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Quarries	Reply to Your Quarries as received from PIO
1.	I am Kanhaiya Thakur, son of Late Lallan Thakur, I need information about recruitment of my father who is not recruited in CRRRI, All small to big information is required which is available in CRRRI official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement of CRRRI Director is necessary please do not mislead me and fabricate information because it is my right to know and I want to get justice. I will move forward (RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00029, Dt. 27/12/2023)	With regard to the RTI appeals (RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00029, Dt. 27/12/2023, RTI appeal No CRRIN/A/E/23/00030, dated 27/12/2023 and RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00031, Dt. 27/12/2023 replied received from PIO as " it is informed that all the information sought by the appellant has already been provided to him through the replies of various RTI and First Appeal applications filed by him. Even after getting the information, the appellant is seeking similar information through RTI applications, which are similar and repetitive". Kindly refer the attachments for further information.
2	I am Kanhaiya Thakur, son of Late Lallan Thakur, I need information about recruitment of my father who is not recruited in CRRRI, All small to big information is required which is available in CRRRI official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement of CRRRI Director is necessary please do not mislead me and fabricate information because it is my right to know and I want to get justice. I will move forward (RTI appeal No CRRIN/A/E/23/00030, dated 27/12/2023 )	
3	Transfer to CSIR-CRRRI New Delhi Laboratory/Institute. Under Section 6(3) of RTI Act 200 ( Ref CRRIN/A/E/23/00003, dated 20/01/2023 ) (Transferred appeal, same as above) (RTI appeal No. CRRIN/A/E/23/00031, Dt. 27/12/2023)	

This is to bring your kind notice that, the appellant Shri Kanhiya Thakur, visited CRRRI on 30/09/2022, on the request of FAA for hearing of the appeal for Ref: CRRIN/A/E/22/00012, dated 11/09/2022 and CRRIN/A/E/22/00013, dated 11/09/2022 for the same information as above. Shri Kanhiya Thakur also searched related file (File no.1(573)/93-PL Correspondence) and he did not require any further information from the above file. Hence, it is clear that, all the information related to the appellant, available in the institute has been provided to him. Accordingly, the hearing was **disposed off** after duly signed by the appellant (the same is enclosed the Scanned document for the reference).

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,

CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in



**Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)**  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 9810448759

Enclosures:

1. 2 Page Notification from PIO
2. 1 Page hearing Report dated.30.09.2022 duly signed by Appellant along with the Committee Members

2 Page Notification from PIO

F.No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**

Dated: 02.01.2024

**Subject: First Appeal Application No.23/29, 23/30 & 23/31 of Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur-  
 reg.**

With reference to three First Appeal Applications received from Sh. Kanhaiya Thakur (through First Appellate Authority) against his earlier RTI Applications wherein he is requesting for various information under RTI Act, 2005.

Sl.	First Appeal Application No.	Information Sought
1	CRRIN/A/E/23/00029 dated 27.12.2023 against RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/23/00027 dated 01.12.2023	"I am Kanhaiya Thakur, son of Late Lallan Thakur, I need information about recruitment of my father who is not recruited in CRRI. all small to big information is required which is available in CRRI official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement of CRRI Director is necessary please do not mislead me and fabricate information because it is my right to know and I want to get justice"
2	CRRIN/A/E/23/00030 dated 27.12.2023 against RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/23/00030 dated 01.12.2023	RTI No. CRRIN/R/E/23/00030 dated 01.12.2023 and CRRIN/R/X/23/00051 dated 04.12.2023 have not been received by this section.
3	CRRIN/A/E/23/00031 dated 27.12.2023 against RTI No. CRRIN/R/X/23/00051 dated 04.12.2023	

2. With regard to above, it is informed that all the information sought by the appellant has already been provided to him through the replies of various RTI and First Appeal Application filed by him. Even after getting the information, the appellant is seeking similar information through RTI Applications which are similar and repetitive.

3. In view of the repeated and similar RTI Applications & First Appeal, the Competent Authority had decided not to reply the RTI Application & First Appeal Application containing the similar repetitive information in consonance with CVO Circular No. 03/03/17 (CVC/RTI/MISC/16/006) dated 10.03.2017 which was forwarded by the CVO, CSIR. Copy of the approval attached herewith.

4. Further, it is informed that the RTI Application No. CRRIN/R/E/23/00030 dated 01.12.2023 and CRRIN/R/X/23/00051 dated 04.12.2023 against which the First Appeal Applications (appearing at Sl. No. 2 and 3 above) have been filed by the appellant have not been received by this section.

The above information may be forwarded to FAA, CRRI for further necessary action through CPIO, CRRI.

Submitted for approval please.

*(Signature)*  
 02/01/2024  
 (10/11/24)

*Pragya*  
 02/01/24

S.O.(P)

CPIO, CRRI

FAA, CRRI

*Forwarded to FAA for n.g.Ois.*

*\* S.No. 2 & 3 was not sent to S.O.(P) due to Duplicate RTI's.*

*(Signature)*

*CPIO on leave*

Dated 15/12/2023

**Subject: RTI Application No.1232 dated 06.12.2023 of Sh. Kanhiya Thakur-reg.**

**PUC** is RTI Application No.1232 dated 06.12.2023 (CRRIN/R/E/23/00027 dated 01.12.2023) received from Sh. Kanhiya Thakur wherein he is requesting the following information under RTI Act, 2005.

**Information Sought**

"I am Kanhiya Thakur son of Late Lallan Thakur I want information about my father recruitment denied reason in CRRRI All minor to major information needed which is Available in CRRRI official for my father Lallan Thakur and involvement required of CRRRI Director please don't provide me mislead and forge information as it is my right to know and I will go further to get Justice."

2. It is informed that all the information sought by the applicant has been already provided to him through the replies of various RTI and First Appeal Application filed by him. Even after getting the information, the applicant is seeking similar information through repeated RTI Applications.

3. Further, CVO, CSIR vide its Circular No. 15-6(82)98-O&M-II(Vig) dated 03.05.017 forwarded a copy of the Circular No. 03/03/17 (Letter No.CVC/RTI/MISC/16/006) dated 10.03.2017 for compliance. As per the said circular, following decision of CVC has been conveyed with regard to seeking similar information through filing repeating RTI:

"3. The Commission after considering various aspects of the issue and the provisions of acts of similar nature in other countries, and also the decisions of earlier Information Commissioners has concluded that:

- (i) Even a single repetition of RTI application would demand the valuable time of the public authority, first appellate authority and if it also reaches second appeal, that of the Commission, which time could have been spent to hear another appeal or answer another application or perform other public duty.
- (ii) Every repetition of RTI application which was earlier responded will be an obstruction to flow of information and defeats the purpose of the RTI Act.

4. The Central Information Commission, vide its decision No. CIC/AD/2013/001326-SA dated 25.06.2014 has thus, decided that:

- (i) No Scope of repeating under RTI Act
- (ii) Citizen has no Right to Repeat
- (iii) Repetition shall be ground of refusal
- (iv) Appeals can be rejected "

4. In view thereof, if agreed, CPIO may be requested to refuse the information, being repetitive in nature on similar issues/subject, sought by the applicant on the ground of repetition of information.

Submitted for approval please.

*Ramesh*  
15/12/2023

SOPD (for info)

CA, CRRRI

Director, CRRRI

CPIO, CRRRI.

*Seema*  
22/12/2023

*12/12/2023*

*RTI*  
06/12/23

23.12.23  
17-12-23

584  
12/12/23  
24/12/23



## सुनवाई की कार्रवाई

First Appeal Application No. (1) CRRIN/A/E/22/00012 Dated: 11.09.2022  
(2) CRRIN/A/E/22/00013 Dated: 3/9/2022

सुनवाई की तिथि: 30.09.2022

उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications के सन्दर्भ में, श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सुनवाई हेतु संस्थान में 30.09.2022 को प्रातः 11:30 बजे बुलाया गया। दिनांक 30.09.2022 प्रातः 11:30 को श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के First Appeal हेतु सुनवाई हुई जिसमें श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर के साथ निम्न अधिकारी हाज़िर थे :

1. डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती, वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं First Appellate Authority, CRR
  2. श्री नरेश कुमार शर्मा, प्रधान तकनीकी अधिकारी एवं CPIO, CRR *N. Kumar*
  3. श्री चंद्र कोंड, अनुभाग अधिकारी एवं सम्बंधित PIO, CRR
  4. श्री निमिष मिश्रा, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR *Nimish Mishra*
  5. श्री सचिन कुमार, वरिष्ठ सचिवालय सहायक, CRR *Sachin Kumar*
  6. श्री भजन सिंह, कनिष्ठ आशुलिपिक, CRR *Bhajan Singh*
2. सुनवाई के दौरान कन्हैया ठाकुर ने निम्न जानकारी मांगी :

" Information needed of that letter of receiving which has been sent to late Lailan Thakur to join CRR as CRR official says that letter sent to him by post so I want that post office receiving information to validate CRR statement is it correct or not or CRR officials hide there scam of recruitment "

3. श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी (Post Office Receiving) रिकॉर्ड/फाइल में उपलब्ध नहीं है। श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर को सम्बंधित फाइल (No. 1(573)93-PL Correspondence) का निरक्षण भी करवाया गया एवं फाइल के निरक्षण के बाद श्री कन्हैया ठाकुर द्वारा कोई दस्तावेज नहीं मांगा गया।

4. अतः उपरोक्त First Appeal Applications को निरस्त किया जाता है।

*Kanhaiya Thakur*

*N. Kumar*  
30/09/2022

(डॉ. नीलिमा चक्रवर्ती)  
वरिष्ठ प्रधान वैज्ञानिक एवं

First Appellate Authority, CRR

*प्रातः किया*  
*Date 30-9-2022*  
*Kanhaiya Thakur*

Offline Received

CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00001



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 10/03/ 2023

No. CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00001

**Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference Number as Above Received Offline on 23/02/2023 for the CPIO reply Ref No.CPIO/1124, dated.08.202022**

It is requested to give a suitable **Point Wise Reply** to the above RTI First Appeal by Shri R C Agarwal, within **Five days** under the RTI Act, 2005

Shri R C Agarwal seek the following information through the RTI No.1124, dated 24<sup>th</sup> January 2023 through Offline mode.

- 1.) What are the stipulated time limits/ schedule for passing the various types of bills e.g. Medical bills, etc. [“Suo-motu” information under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 4(2)].
- 2.) (a) What action has been taken so far on my request letter dated 27th sept. 2022 and subsequent reminder dated 20th Dec.2022, submitted to the Director, CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi, regarding item-wise details of my medical reimbursement bills mentioned therein?  
  
(b) If no response has been given even after four long months, what action has been taken against the Dealing assistant/ Officer responsible? Does Competent Authority intend to take any action for the delay in this regard?
- 3.) Please provide item-wise details, mentioning the reasons for deducting the amount for each and every item, for all the below mentioned medical reimbursement/ claim bills.

1	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 15,941.33 dated 01/12/2021 submitted on 03/12/2021;	Total amount claimed: Rs. 38,726/- only	Total amount reimbursed: Rs. 15,634/- only
2	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 4,830.00 dated 01/12/2021 submitted on 03/12/2021;		
3	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 8,946.00 dated 01/12/2021 submitted on 03/12/2021;		
4	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 2,406.00 dated 01/12/2021 submitted on 03/12/2021;		
5	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 1,872.00 dated 01/12/2021 submitted on 03/12/2021;		
6	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 4,172 dated 01/12/2021 submitted on 03/12/2021;		
7	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 559.00 dated 01/12/2021 submitted on 03/12/2021;		
1	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 4,327/- dated 28/2/2022 submitted on 02/3/2022;	Total amount claimed: Rs. 34,869/- only	Total amount reimbursed: Rs. 17,116/- only
2	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 376/- dated 28/2/2022 submitted on 02/3/2022;		
3	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs.1,089/- dated 28/2/2022 submitted on 02/3/2022;		
4	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs.7,012/- dated 28/2/2022 submitted on 02/3/2022;		

5	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 18,938/- dated 28/2/2022 submitted on 02/3/2022.		
6	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 3,127/- dated 28/2/2022 submitted on 02/3/2022.		
1	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 8,995/- dated 20/6/2022 submitted on 21/6/2022.	Total amount claimed: Rs. 10,404/- only	Total amount reimbursed: Rs. 8,787/- only
2	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 1,251/- dated 20/6/2022 submitted on 21/6/2022.		
3	Medical reimbursement bill for Rs. 158/- dated 20/6/2022 submitted on 21/6/2022.		

(As mentioned above, a huge amount has been deducted from these medical bills without mentioning any valid reason.)

4) And if there was any discrepancy in any of the bill, whether it had been communicated to the undersigned? If not, reason thereof?

5) If there are still some medical reimbursement bills pending (for the undersigned), the name and designation of the officer responsible may be given with the reasons for not clearing them in stipulated time limits/ schedule for passing.

To the above RTI, reply has been already sent by CPIO based on the information received from PIO (SO E-II) on vide Letter No. CPIO/1124, dated 08.02.2022.

Now, the Shri R C Agarwal, appealed to the FAA stating below:

### **The Information Sought:**

- 1) CPIO/ CRR1 office has failed to give any response or to furnish information without any reasonable cause, to undersigned's request to the stipulated time limits/ schedule for passing the various types of bills, within the time limit specified under sub-section (1) of Section 7 of this Act; which should be available as "Sup-motu" information under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 4(2). Reference given by the CPIO (CRR1) is in a different context and is irrelevant in this case.
- 2) (a) & (b): CPIO/ CRR1 Administration has failed to give any response or to furnish information without any reasonable cause, to undersigned's request on action taken so far on his request letter dated 27th sept. 2022 and subsequent reminder dated 20th Dec. 2022 (submitted to DRR1). Reference given by the CPIO (CRR1) is in different context.
- 3) Regarding the item-wise details, mentioning the reasons for deducting the amount for each and every item, of medical reimbursement bills (as per details mentioned in undersigned's RTI application dated 24/01/2023); CPIO has stated that reimbursement has been made as per CGHS rules. ~~But it is not true if it is true, undersigned may be given the item-wise details, mentioning the reasons for deducting the amount for each and every item [and the rule under which the amount has been deducted], of medical reimbursement bills (as mentioned in undersigned's RTI application dated 24/01/2023) and supply a photocopy set for all the of file pages of noting & bills made towards deductions made for each & every item in my submitted medical reimbursement bills.~~ Prescribed fee towards photocopy charges may be communicated to the undersigned for timely deposition of the same.

- 4) CPIO/ SO (E-II) has failed to furnish information that if there was any discrepancy in any of the bill, whether it had been communicated to the undersigned, without any reasonable cause. Reference given by the CPIO (CRR) is in a different context.
- 5) Regarding pending medical reimbursement bills in respect of undersigned, again CPIO/ End Custodian has knowingly given false /incorrect information that NO medical reimbursement bill is pending; whereas 8 nos. medical reimbursement bills dated 24/01/2023 amounting to a total Rs. 37,348/- were pending as on date of CPIO's reply i.e. 09/02/2023 and are still pending. Which proves that CPIO/ End Custodian has malafidely denied the request for information or knowingly given incorrect, false and misleading information; and thus, CPIO has failed to perform his duties under the relevant provisions of RTI Act, U/s 5(4).

Kindly give the reply within Five (5) working days from the date of issue of this letter failing which further action will be initiated.

It would be worthy to highlight hereunder the relevant provision of the act, u/s 5(4) and 5(5) that makes every person involved in the chain of processing information under RTI Act, responsible for the delay or non-provision of information considered eligible under this act.\* As per the provisions of the Act, u/s 20(1), non-compliance of submission of information within the time specified under sub-section (1) of the section 7 or malafidely denied the request for information or knowingly given incorrect / incomplete or misleading information, shall impose a penalty of Rs.250/- each day till information is furnished, on the officer responsible for the same. The information may be provided after approval from competent authority.

**(Dr Kayitha Ravinder)**  
First Appellate Authority

SO(E-II)

CPIO for information and kindly send the related files photocopy

Sr. (CoA) for information



Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 22.03.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00001

To,

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received Offline on 23/02/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal received through Offline, the reply was sought from the PIO, SO (E-II) and the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Queries	Reply to Your Queries as received from PIO
1.	What are the stipulated time limits/ schedule for passing the various types of bills	Appellant had asked questions in his RTI and has not sought any existing/available information as per RTI. Now again in his first appeal he did not ask for any information. Therefore, the appellant is hereby inform that no such information is available.
2	(a) & (b) What action has been taken so far on my request letter dated 27th sept. 2022 and subsequent reminder dated 20th Dec.2022	Appellant as again raised some questions and did not ask for the information. Therefore, the appellant is hereby inform that no such information is available.
3	Regarding the item-wise details, mentioning the reasons for deducting the amount for each and every item [and the rule under which the amount has been deducted], of medical reimbursement bills	Photocopies of noting from page No 58 to 61 are enclosed.
4	If there was any discrepancy in any of the bill, whether it had been communicated to the undersigned, without any reasonable cause	The appellant had asked questions and did not seek any information as per RTI act. The appellant is hereby inform that no such information is available.
5	Regarding pending medical reimbursement bills	The appellant is not asking for information. However, in the interest of RTI act it is inform that there is no pending bill.

PIO has given the requisite information. Further, in this regard, the appellant may be called for inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date after discussing with PIO.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 8368721775

Enclosures: 1. One Page noting from PIO  
2. Four Pages file noting

URGENT

E-II

PUC is RTI first appeal dated 10.03.2023 of Sh. R.C. Agarwal, Pensioner seeking the information from point No.-1 to 5.

In view of above the following point wise replies are submitted for consideration and approval.

**Point No.-1**

RTI 146  
20/3/23

**Reply:** Appellant had asked questions in his RTI and has not sought any existing/available information as per RTI act. Now again in his first appeal he did not asked for any information. Therefore the appellant is hereby inform that no such information is available.

**Point No.-2(a) & (b)**

**Reply:** Appellant has again raised some questions and did not ask for the information. Therefore the appellant is hereby inform that no such information is available.

**Point No.-3**

**Reply:** Photocopies of notings from page No. -58 to 61 are enclosed.

RTI 163  
17/3/23

**Point No.-4**

**Reply:** The appellant had asked questions and did not seek any information as per RTI act. The appellant is hereby inform that no such information is available.

**Point No.-5**

**Reply:** The appellant is again raising questions and not asking for information. However, in the interest of RTI act it is inform that there is no pending bill.

Submitted please.

S.O (E-II)

16/03/23  
16-03-2023  
(Muzamir) SSA  
S.O (E-II)  
DO  
CoA (or) (raw)

16/03/23  
17/3

CPIO may kindly see the above information

for further necessary action at his end.

16/03/23  
S.O (E-II)  
CPIO/FAA











To

01.04.2023

Dr. K. Ravinder  
 FAA, RTI

Dear Dr Ravinder

Refer to my RTI dated 22.02.23 and  
 informations provided on 28.03.2023.

Point No 1 → Kindly intimate date for  
 (1 to 5) making payment of gratuity  
 with 18% interest as per gratuity  
 provisions

Point No 1 → Information not given as  
 (Information) desired

Point No 2 → Does Yash Pandey was student  
 in CRR I during 2005

Point No 2 (6)  
 (Information) → Information is not given  
 about CRR I as institute engage  
 many students for desertation  
 work.

Point No 3  
 (8 & 9) → Does person named as Mr. Yash  
 Pandey visited CRR I between 1-15  
 April 2015 and on 24 2015

Point No 3  
 (8 and 9) → Information does not pertains to  
 3rd Party. It pertains to me and  
 CRR I, as I was coordinator, and  
 illegal visitor, if any on holiday  
 without authorized gate pass  
 breached to security of CRR I.  
 RTI rule is not complied.

Note →  
 kindly provide  
 information

01.04.2023

Dr Pramod Kumar Jais  
 (M-9910113992)  
 pramod.jais@airtel.com

## Reply



**OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025**

Dated: 04.05.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00002

To,

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received Offline on 05/04/2023 @2.00PM**

With reference to the above RTI appeal received through Offline, the reply was sought from the PIOs, SO (P Cell), SO(E-II), HOD (ILT) and Coordinator, AcSIR-CRRI the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Queries	Reply to Your Queries as received from PIO
1.	Reply received from PIO , SO (P Cell)	As per section 2(n) of RTI Act "Third Party" means a person other than the citizen making a request for information and includes a public authority. The applicant has sought records of another person namely Sh.Yash Pandey. As per RTI Act, 2005 the information is third party information is third party information and the applicant has also not established the involvement of large public interest. Therefore, being third party information, same is barred from the disclosure. ( Refer page No.2)
2	Reply received from PIO , SO (E-II)	Point 1 to 5: In this regard, It is stated that the amount recovery for Rs.3,30,268/- has been withheld from the DCRG of Dr.Pramod Kumar Jain, against the CSIR letter no. 5/1(3)/2008-PD, dated.31.07.2017. Further, it is informed that at present this Institute, has not been received any payment order from CSIR-HQ, for releasing the aforesaid withheld amount to him. ( Refer page No.3 to 4)
3	Reply received from PIO , HOD (ILT)	Stated that " we have already replied your quarry that he was not a student internship in CRRI". ( Refer page No.5)
4	Reply received from PIO , Coordinator, AcSIR-CRRI	Stated that "Yash Pandey was not the student of AcSIR-CRRI". ( Refer page No 6).

PIO has given the requisite information. Further, in this regard, the appellant may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date after discussing with PIO.

These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email : fdesk-cic@gov.in

Dr. Kayitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 8368721775

- Enclosures: 1. One Page noting from PIO, SO (P Cell),  
2. Two Page noting from PIO, SO (E-II),  
3. One Page noting from PIO, HOD (ILT) and  
4. One Page noting from PIO, Coordinator, AcSIR-CRRI



One Page noting from PIO, SO (P Cell)

**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**

No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL

**Security In-charge**

Dated: 25.04.2023

Subject: First Appeal No. CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00002 dated 19.04.2023 received from Dr. Pramod Kumar Jain – reg.

This section is in receipt of First Appeal No. CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00002 dated 19.04.2023 received from Dr. Pramod Kumar Jain under RTI Act, 2005 against RTI No. Nil dated 22.02.2023 (offline).


2. The appellant has sought the following information and information which can be provided to him under RTI Act, 2005 is as under:

S.No.	Information Sought	Information to be provided
01 to 07	Information does not pertain to this section.	
8 & 9	<p>Does person named as Mr. Yash Pandey visited CRRI between 1 to 15 April, 2015 and on 02.04.2015.</p> <p>Further, the appellant has stated that the information does not pertain to 3<sup>rd</sup> party. It pertains to him and CRRI as he was coordinator and illegal visitor, if any on holiday without authorized gate pass breached to security of CRRI. RTI rule is not complied</p>	<p>As per section 2(n) of RTI Act "<b>third party</b>" means a person other than the citizen making a request for information and includes a public authority.</p> <p>The Applicant has sought records of another person namely Sh. Yash Pandey. As per RTI Act, 2005 the information is third party information and the applicant has also not established the involvement of large public interest.</p> <p>Therefore, being third party information, same is barred from the disclosure.</p>

3. The above information may be provided to the appellant. This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority, CSIR-CRRI.


Submitted for approval please.

  
SO(P)



**FAA, CRRI**

Two Page noting from PIO, SO (E-II)

	<p>F.NO.RTI/2019/E-II Bill Establishment - II CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi</p>	<p>Dated: 24/04/2023</p>
	<p>Sub: RTI - First appeal Reference Number as Above Received Offline on 05/04/2023</p> <p>RTI Application No. CRRN/A/Offline/23/00002 dated: 19.04.2023 received from First appellate authority, Seeking the information under Right to Information Act, 2005-</p> <p>Dr. Praanod Kumar Jain asked the information through the RTI No. NI, Dated 22<sup>nd</sup> February 2023 through offline mode from OPD Enclosed as an Annexure-4</p> <p>The RTI No. CRR/PIO/RTI-1151 Dated: 02.03.2023 Annexure-1 has been replied on 16.03.2023.</p>	
	<p><i>Dr. Praanod Kumar Jain</i> Dr. Praanod Kumar Jain (First appellate authority)</p>	<p><i>Beenu</i> 24/04/23</p>

(151)

F.No. RTI/2019/E-II Bill  
ESTABLISHMENT-II  
CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi

Dated: 16.03.2023

Sub: Reply to RTI No. CRR/PIO/RTI-1151 dated: 02.03.2023 under RTI-Act 2005-reg.

PUC is an RTI Application No. CRR/PIO/RTI-1151 dated: 02.03.2023 received from Dr. Pramod Kumar Jain, seeking the information under Right to Information Act, 2005:-

Reply of this Section:-

Point No.1 to 5:- In this regard, it is stated that the amount of recovery for Rs. 3,30,268/- has been withheld from the DCRG of Dr. Pramod Kumar Jain, against the CSIR letter no. 5/1(3)/2008-PD, dated: 31.07.2017.

Further, it is informed that at present this Institute has not been received any payment order form CSIR-HQ, for releasing the aforesaid withheld amount to him.

*Prasad*  
17/3/23

*Sharma*

SO(E-II) / 1151

*143/12*

CPIO

2-11/147  
16/3/23

One Page noting from PIO, HOD (ILT)



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 19/04/2023

No. CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00002

**Sub: RTI - First Appeal Reference Number as Above Received Offline on 05/04/2023**

It is requested to give a suitable Point Wise Reply to the above RTI First Appeal by Dr Pramod Kumar Jain, within Five days under the RTI Act, 2005

Dr Pramod Kumar Jain asked the information through the RTI No.NIL, dated 22nd February 2023 through Offline mode from CPIO Enclosed as an Annexure-I

Now, Dr Pramod Kumar Jain appealed to the FAA ( enclosed as an Annexure-II). Kindly give the reply within Five (5) working days from the date of issue of this letter failing which further action will be initiated.

It would be worthy to highlight hereunder the relevant provision of the act, u/s 5(4) and 5(5) that makes every person involved in the chain of processing information under RTI Act, responsible for the delay or non-provision of information considered eligible under this act. As per the provisions of the Act, u/s 20(1), non-compliance of submission of information within the time specified under sub-section (1) of the section 7 or malafidely denied the request for information or knowingly given incorrect / incomplete or misleading information, shall impose a penalty of Rs.250/- each day till information is furnished, on the officer responsible for the same. The information may be provided after approval from competent authority.

*(Signature)*  
19/4/2023  
(Dr Kayitha Ravinder)  
First Appellate Authority

HOD (ILT)

AcSIR

SO(E-II)

SO (E-I)

SO (PC)

CPIO for information and kindly send the related files photocopy

(CoA) for information

*Mrs Kamla Masih may please  
check and reply urgently  
if reply was given the author  
copy  
Ravinder  
21/4/23*

*With ref. to your letter no. CRRIN/RIP/23/00002 dated 22/2/2023.  
we have already replied your query that he was not a student in  
for Internship in CRRI.*

*Ravinder*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
FAA

*Print  
21/4/23*



**One Page noting from PIO, Coordinator, AcSIR-CRRI**

No. CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00002

**Sub:** RTI-First appeal reference number as above received offline on 05/04/2023

It is to reference of RTI file No. CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00002 received on dated 19/04/2023

**Query Point No2:** - Does Yash Pandey was student in CRRI during 2005"

**Reply:** Yash Pandey was not the student of AcSIR-CRRI.

Coordinator-AcSIR-CRRI

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
(Dr. An. Ran. Sel.)

Co-ordinator  
AcSIR-Central Road Research Institute  
rd RRB-110025 / New Delhi-110025

11/7/23 Director, CRR1

Kindly look into this matter

CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/  
Off/23/00003

Dattatraya T Devare (Subhash)

15/4/23

A-102, Natasha Golf View Apartments,  
Inner Ring Road, Domjur, Bangalore - 560 071.  
Phones : 91 80 41147102, 91 9844119582 |  
Email: devaredt@gmail.com | detaav@gmail.com

8/6/23

Dr K Ravinder FAA RTI  
Central Road Research Institute  
New Delhi 110025

REMINDER



Dear Sir,

Attached is a copy of the letter Ref No  
CP10/1156 dated 6/4/2023 received from  
CP10, CRR1 regarding the issues of  
Vehicle Occupancy etc.

As I am not satisfied with the information  
provided by CP10, CRR1; I am filing  
this first appeal.

Kindly schedule an on-line hearing of  
my appeal at the earliest.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully

Dattatraya T. Devare

Director's Office  
Date... 17/7/23  
Diary No. 1045

FAA

18/7/23

Handwritten signature in green ink.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI-110 025



Dated : 06.04.2023.

Ref. No. - CPIO/1156

To

Ref. - Letter dated 02.03.2023 (Copy enclosed).

Sir,

With reference to the above reply received from concerned Division is as under:

**Reply of Point 1:** It is to submit that CSIR-CRRI has not conducted any studies on the subject of Vehicle Occupancy in the recent past including the aforesaid period.

**Reply of Point 2:** Not Applicable as per the reply given to question no.1.

**Reply of Point 3:** The research mandate of CSIR-CRRI is detailed in the given link of CSIR-CRRI website <https://crridom.gov.in/vision-mission-objectives>.

**Reply of Point 4:** While conceiving the Traffic Engineering and Transport Engineering / Planning recommendations, NUTP and the relevant IRC documents are always taken on board.

In case, you are not satisfied with above information, you may refer an appeal to Dr. K. Ravinder, FAA, RTI.

*(Signature)*  
21/4/2023  
( CPIO, CRRI )

Encls. As above.

## Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00003

Date: 14.08.2023

**Hearing Report of RTI No.Nil, Dt. 02.03.2023 and reply from CPIO, CSIR-CRRI through Letter no. CPIO/1156, Dt.06.04.2023**

The RTI Appellant is not satisfied with the reply given by CPIO, through letter No.CPIO/1156.dl.06/04/2023, the applicant requested for the online hearing through a letter No. Nil, Dt.15/4/2023. On request of Applicant, the online hearing has been conducted on 14/082023 at 10.30AM.The proceedings was as follows:

1. The Following officials were present
  - i) Dr.Kayitha Ravinder, Chief Scientist & FAA,CSIR-CRRI
  - ii) Dr S.Velmurugan, Chief Scientist & HOD ( TES ) Division, CSIR-CRRI
  - iii) Dr. Ch.Ravisekhar , Chief Scientist, HOD ( TPE ) Division, CSIR-CRRI
  - iv) Dr. Errampalli Madhu , Chief Scientist, TPE Division, CSIR-CRRI
  - v) Shri.Dattatraya T Devare ( Subhash) , RTI Applicant from Bangalore , Karnataka
2. The RTI Applicant was enquired about the "Vehicle Occupancy etc..", the same was explained, the applicant was particular about the increasing congestion on the Bangalore Roads in Karnataka in particular and on Indian Cities in general. It is explained that, to decrease the congestion on Indian roads, there are different policy levels measures to need to be implemented at the state government level/local government levels. In implementing these policy level decisions CSIR-CRRI has no say in this regard, with this the Appellant is satisfied. Further asked to give the related reports where congestion mitigation such as any City Mobility reports, which are available in the open source, the same is agreed will be sent in due course of time.
3. The RTI Applicant is very satisfied with the information discussed during meeting, hence the RTI Appeal is disposed off.

Shri.Dattatraya T Devare  
( Subhash) , RTI Applicant  
from Bangalore , Karnataka

Dr S.Velmurugan,  
Chief Scientist & HOD  
( TES ) Division

Dr. Ch.Ravisekhar ,  
Chief Scientist, HOD  
( TPE ) Division

Dr. Errampalli Madhu ,  
Chief Scientist, TPE  
Division

Dr.K. Ravinder  
Chairman



UNDER RTI Act 2005

Reference No.

To,

Dated: 26.10.2023

The Affiliate Information Officer,  
Under RTI Information Act, 2005  
Central Road research Institute,  
Delhi-Mathura Road, P.O.-CRR I (Okhla),  
New Delhi-110020.

**Subject: appeal against action of public information Officer CRR I is not provided information under RTI Act 2005 even after expiry of more than 30 days.**

Sir,

I had submitted application dated 10.09.2023 the providing information as contained in said letter/application dated 19.09.2023. How ever the said information has not been provided to me even after expiry of statutory period of 30 days.

I had paid requisite fee still needful not been done. The information as required to be provided reads as under.

1. Details of promotional scheme as implemented in CSIR for grant of time bound promotion to draftsman cadre by placing them in Group III and granting time bound promotion as Technical Assistant to Technical Officer kindly provide the policy/Circular issued any CSIR in the regard.
2. The criteria as followed to grant promotion to Draftsmen as Technical Assistant and thereafter to higher grades up to the level of Technical officers. kindly provide the Policy/Circular issued any CSIR in the regard.
3. Provide Circular in the CRR I letter no. 17(70)/2002-PL dated 23.11.2011.
4. Provide Circular in the CSIR letter no. 14(6)/11/89-lab Admn. Dated 15.02.2011.

**PRAYER**

It is therefore kindly requested to arrange the above information and send the same to my address given below.

Thanking You

Note:-

1. Postal order no.57F 443049, dated: 20.10.2023, Rs. 10/- as the RTI Fee issued from Dwarka mor post office, New Delhi-110078. Original copy Attached.

Your's faithfully

*Suwendu*  
(SUVENDU KUMAR BISWAS)  
Sr. D/Man Gr.II(4), Sr. Tech. (II)  
CRR I, New Delhi-110020  
Residential Address:  
272, OM Appartment,  
Sector -14, Dwarka,  
New Delhi -110078  
Mob. 9599721905  
Email: biswassuwendu25@gmail.com

*30/10/23*  
*A.A, CSIR*

30/10/23  
884

## Reply



OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
CENTRAL ROAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI - 110025

Dated: 20.11.2023

No. CRR/FAA/RTI/CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00004

To,

**Sub: Reply to your above RTI query received Offline on 30/10/2023**

With reference to the above RTI appeal received through Offline, the reply was sought from the PIO, SO (P Cell), the reply received is as below:

S.no.	Your Queries	Reply to Your Queries as received from PIO
1.	Details of promotional scheme as implemented in CSIR for grant of time bound promotion to <del>draftsman</del> cadre by placing them in Group III and granting time bound promotion as Technical Assistant to Technical Officer kindly provide the Policy / Circular issued by CSIR in this regard.	Promotional Scheme in CSIR for Group III is 'Revised MANAS' which is available in public domain i.e. www.csir.res.in.
2	The criteria as followed to grant promotion to Draftsmen as Technical Assistant and thereafter to higher grades up to the level of Technical Officers kindly provide the Policy / Circular issued by CSIR in this regard.	Being a policy matter, this information belong to CSIR. Applicant <del>may be requested</del> to seek information from CSIR <del>hrs</del> .
3	Provide Circular in the CRR/ Letter No.17(70)/2002-PL dated 23.11.2011	Copy Attached at Flag-A
4	Provide Circular in the CSIR Letter No. 14(6)/11/89-lab <del>Admn</del> . Dated 15.02.2011	(Total 05 pages)

PIO has given the requisite information. Further, in this regard, the appellant may be called for Inspection of Documents as per section 2(j) of RTI Act, 2005 on a mutually convenient date after discussing with PIO. These replies are issued with the approval of the Competent Authority. Further, with regard to clarification for reason of your queries as per RTI Act, 2005, CPIO/FAA is not supposed to give clarification/ advice/ opinion/ create information, but only to provide information in any form available to the authority. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you can opt to file a second appeal under Section (3) 19 of the Right to Information Act-2005, which is 90 days from the date of receipt of this communication with the Central Information Commission. The details are given below:

Second Appellate Officer,  
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangpath Marg  
Muzinda, New Delhi - 110 067  
Fax: 26186536  
Helpline No.: 011-26183053  
Email: [desk-cic@gov.in](mailto:desk-cic@gov.in)

Dr. Kavitha Ravinder, (FAA)  
Central Road Research Institute, Mathura Road  
New-Delhi 110025, Mobile: 8368721775

Enclosures: 1. Reply received from PIO, SO (P Cell),

Reply received from PIO, SO (P Cell),

F.No. 2(119)/2023-RTI-PL  
**PERSONNEL CELL**  
**CSIR-CRRI, New Delhi**

Dated: 10.11.2023

**Subject: First Appeal Application No.23/0004 dated 07.11.2023 of Sh. S K Biswas-reg.**

**PUC** is a First Appeal Application No. CRRIN/A/Offline/23/00004 dated 07.11.2023 received from Sh. S K Biswas (through First Appellate Authority) against his earlier RTI Application No. Nil dated 19.09.2023 wherein he is requesting for various information under RTI Act, 2005.

Sl.	Information Sought	Reply
1	Details of promotional scheme as implemented in CSIR for grant of time bound promotion to draftsman cadre by placing them in Group III and granting time bound promotion as Technical Assistant to Technical Officer kindly provide the Policy / Circular issued by CSIR in this regard.	Promotional Sceme in CSIR for Group III is 'Revised MANAS' which is available in public domain i.e. <a href="http://www.csir.res.in">www.csir.res.in</a> .
2	The criteria as followed to grant promotion to Draftsman as Technical Assistant and thereafter to higher grades up to the level of Technical Officers kindly provide the Policy / Circular issued by CSIR in this regard.	Being a policy matter, this information belong to CSIR. Applicant may be requested to seek information from CSIR hqrs.
3	Provide Circular in the CRRRI Letter No.17(79)/2002-PL dated 23.11.2011	Copy Attached at Flag-A (Total 05 pages)
4	Provide Circular in the CSIR Letter No. 14(6)/11/49-lab Admn. Dated 15.02.2011	

Further, it is informed that this office has not received any RTI application dated 10.09.2023 of Sh. S K Biswas as mentioned in his First Appeal application.

The above information may be forwarded to FAA, CRRRI for further necessary action.

Submitted for approval please.

*[Signature]*  
10/11/2023  
SO(P)

Co.A, CRRRI

*[Signature]*  
10/11/23

*[Signature]*  
10/11/2023

F.A/A. Received  
*[Signature]*  
14/11/2023  
1049AM

File no 17(70)/2002-PL

Dated : 23<sup>rd</sup> Nov 2011

The Joint Secretary (Admn)  
Council of Scientific & Industrial Research  
Anusandhan Bhawan  
2, Rafi Marg  
New Delhi-110 001

Subject: Pending Cases of Draftsmen at CRRJ -reg

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 14(6)/11/89-Lab Admn dated 15/02/2011, I am directed to inform you that in pursuance of the earlier VP,CSIR decision communicated vide letter no. 14 (6)/11/84-E.II dated 04/03/2005, Sh Y.N. Kaul, Draftsman (now retired) of this Institute was extended the benefit of replacement scale of pay of Rs. 425-700 & placement in Gr.III from his date of holding of the scale of Rs.380-640, in parity with Sh T.M.Vasudevan Ex-D/Man CRRJ with the condition that the benefit will be reviewed subject to the outcome of the pending writ petition in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Shri Y.N.Kaul was subsequently given assessment promotion from GIII(1) to III(2) and from Group III(2) to III(3) in parity with Sh T.M.Vasudevan. Such benefit was to be extended to other similarly placed draughtsmen also as conveyed vide aforesaid CSIR letter dt 04.03.2005.

Accordingly, the then Director, CRRJ approved that the cases of the D/Men as per list enclosed are similar to that of Sh T.M.Vasudevan & Sh Y.N.Kaul and therefore these similarly placed draughtsmen of CRRJ have also been extended the benefit of replacement scale of pay of Rs. 425-700 & placement in Gr.III. However, further assessment promotions from Gr.III (1) onwards upto III(3), have not been extended to other similar D/Men due to non-confirmation of the proposal of this Instt by CSIR Hqtrs. Meanwhile, we have received various representations from these D/Men reg their further assessment/promotion from Group III (1) onwards. It is pertinent to mention that Sh Y.N. Kaul has already been given assessment upto Group III(3) in parity with Sh Vasudevan strictly in terms of CSIR letter dt 04.03.05. Further, we have received a VIP reference from the National Commission of Minorities from Honble Sh.Hanspal, Member,NCM, in r/o Sh Y.N. Kaul to extend him the benefit of assessment from GIII(3) onwards. On the other hand other such similarly placed D/Men of CRRJ are yet awaiting their further assessment/promotion from Group III(1) onwards upto Group III(3). In this regard the issue of discrimination has also been alleged by one of the D/Men, viz Sh R.Rangarajan and he has complained to the National commission for Scheduled Castes that the case of Sh Kaul has been processed and Sh R.Rangarajan has been denied the same.

As regard similarity of other D/Men vis-a-vis Sh. T.M Vasudevan & Sh. Kaul, the Director, CRRJ is of following considered view:-

*"Since the cases of D/Men involve replacement scale of Rs. 425-700, similarity in these cases are apparent vis-à-vis the cases of Sh. Vasudevan and Sh. Kaul accordingly they have been extended the benefit of scale of Rs. 425-700 and placement in Gr.III (1) by my predecessor and I also hold the same view".*



Meanwhile, in the above context, this Institute is also in receipt of another letter no. 36-13(122)/2000-Law dated 04<sup>th</sup> March 2011 from LA, CSIR regarding CWP No 679/2002 -CRRi & Another's Vs Shri T. M Vasudevan & Others pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi wherein it has been asked to examine the issue and inform as to whether the facts of the five respondents in the pending Writ Petition are similar to that of Sh. Y.N Kaul or not. If all five respondents are similarly placed then further action for withdrawal of WP is to be taken and extend the benefit to the similarly placed respondents.

In this regard Director, CRRi has expressed the following view:-


*" regarding withdrawal of the petition in the High Court, since similarity of the cases have already been established by CRRi, however, I am of the view that it would be appropriate the CSIR takes final call on the issue of withdrawal of Writ Petition"*

In view of these circumstances, it is requested to kindly convey the approval of the competent authority in the following issues:-

1. Regarding confirmation for extension of benefit of replacement of scale of Rs. 425-700 and placement in Gr.III (1) to other similarly placed draftsmen of this Institute and their assessment promotion from Gr.III (1) onwards.
2. whether the benefit of assessment from Gr.III(3) onwards can be extended to Sh. Y.N.Kaul.

This issues with the approval of the Director, CRRi.

Yours faithfully,

  
(B.K.SINGH)  
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

End : as above

Copy to:-

Legal Adviser,  
Council of Scientific & Industrial Research  
Anusandhan Bhawan  
2, Rafi Marg  
New Delhi-110 001

SO (2) CRRi  
  
Recd:  
  
28/11/2014

वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान परिषद्  
COUNCIL OF SCIENTIFIC & INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH  
अनुसंधान भवन, 2, रफी मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110 001  
Anusandhan Bhawan, 2, Rafi Marg, New Delhi- 110 001



For/speed post

No. 36-13(122)/2000-Law | 3567

Dated 04.03.2011

From

संयुक्त सचिव (प्रशासन)  
Joint Secretary (Admn.)

To

The Controller of Administration,  
Central Road Research Institute (CRR),  
Delhi-Mathura Road,  
P.O. CRR,  
New Delhi - 110 020

Sub:- C.W. P. No. 679/2002-CRR & Anr. Vs. Sh. T.M. Vasudevan &  
Ors. before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Sir,

With reference to the subject mentioned above, kindly refer to CSIR letter No. 14(6)/11/89-Lab. Admn. dated 15.02.2011 (copy enclosed). In this regard, I am directed to request you to inform whether facts of the five Respondents in the pending Writ Petition are similar to that of Sh. Y.N. Kaul or not. If all five respondents are similarly placed then further action for withdrawal of WP is to be taken and extend the benefit to the similarly placed respondents.

You are, therefore, requested to examine the issue and intimate the facts to this office at the earliest.

Yours faithfully,

Raviyada  
(Ravi Yadav)  
Section Officer(Legal)

Handwritten initials/signature

AO-13/11  
11/3/11

COA-718  
1/5/11



184-E II

Dated 1.01.2005

दान  
(विषय)  
JTE  
(RCH)  
NOIA)

सहायक सचिव (प्रशासन)  
Deputy Secretary (Admin)

The Director,  
Central Road Research Institute  
Delhi-Mathura Road  
P.O. CRRRI  
New Delhi - 110 020.

Sub: Revision of pay scale of Draftsmen--case of Shri Y. N. Kaul, Sr. Draftsman-Reg

Sir,

I am directed to invite a reference to your letter No.17 (70)/2002-PL dated 11.11.2004 concerning the above subject and to state that keeping in view the Judgments given by the Hon'ble CAT, Chandigarh, Full bench Judgement given by the Hon'ble CAT, Delhi, representation of Shri Y.N. Kaul and relevant documents of the case, the Competent Authority, has decided that benefit given to Shri T.M. Vasudevan etc may also be extended to Shri Y.N. Kaul and other similar cases.

Accordingly, you may extend the benefit of revision of pay to Shri Y.N.Kaul and similarly placed other persons with the condition that the benefit will be reviewed subject to the outcome of the pending Writ Petition in the High Court of Delhi.

Yours faithfully,

  
(Sushil Kurhaz)  
Deputy Secretary

*Amil*  
*103/05*  
*50/05*  
*DRRD*

*DRRD may kindly see for information.*

वैज्ञानिक तथा आध्यात्मिक अनु- नि पारषद्  
COUNCIL OF SCIENTIFIC & INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH  
अनुसंधान भवन, 2, रफी मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110 001  
Anusandhan Bhawan, 2, Rafi Marg, New Delhi- 110 001



No. 14(6)/11/89-Lab.Admn.

Dated 15/02/2011

From

संयुक्त सचिव (प्रशासन)  
Joint Secretary (Admn.)

To

The Director,  
Central Road Research Institute  
Delhi-Mathura Road  
P.O CRR  
New Delhi - 110 020.

DR/1

COA/AO

22/2

As-1289(2)  
22/2/11

Sub: Pending assessments due from Gr.III(3) onwards w.e.f. 1991 in respect of  
Shri Y.N. Kaul, Pensioner - reg

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No.17(70)/2002-PL dated 21.12.2009 on the above cited subject and to state that the Competent Authority with the concurrence of Legal Adviser, CSIR and Financial Adviser, CSIR has been pleased to accord approval to implement CSIR circular No.16(63)/73-E.II/Vol-XIV dated 26.5.1988 (copy enclosed) in the case of Shri Y.N. Kaul as well as all the other similarly placed Draftsmen/Sr. Draftsmen. You are, therefore, requested to identify such other Draftsmen in your Institute as are covered under the said circular and they may also be allowed all the consequential benefit for assessment promotion as per rules.

Yours faithfully,

(Sangeeta Banerjee)  
Under Secretary

DR-614  
22/2/11

Copy to:-

The Director of all National Labs./Institutes of CSIR - for action as may be required for implementation of instructions referred to above.

Under Secretary

SD Indrani (P)  
DKish  
22/2/11

Phones : EPABX-23710138, 23710144, 23710158, 23710468, 23710805, 23711251, 23714238, 23714248, 23714708, 23715303  
Fax : 91-11-23714788  
Gram : CONSEARCH, NEW DELHI  
Website : <http://www.csir.res.in>